



# America's Eating Habits: Food Away From Home

Michelle J. Saksena, Abigail M. Okrent, Tobenna D. Anekwe, Clare Cho, Christopher Dicken, Anne Effland, Howard Elitzak, Joanne Guthrie, Karen S. Hamrick, Jeffrey Hyman, Young Jo, Biing-Hwan Lin, Lisa Mancino, Patrick W. McLaughlin, Ilya Rahkovsky, Katherine Ralston, Travis A. Smith, Hayden Stewart, Jessica Todd, and Charlotte Tuttle

## What Is the Issue?

Over the past several decades, Americans have grown to rely on the convenience of foods prepared outside of the home. Unfortunately, food away from home (FAFH) often contains fewer fruits and vegetables and have more calories, fat, and sodium than food prepared at home (FAH), and consuming FAFH is associated with obesity. Recently passed labeling legislation aims to help consumers make healthier FAFH choices and to encourage FAFH suppliers to produce more healthful options. To explore Americans' eating away from home behavior, this report presents research on three broad FAFH topics: (1) food choices and availability; (2) nutrition and diet quality; and (3) food policies, including menu labeling and food assistance programs.

## What Did the Study Find?

**Food choices and availability of FAFH.** Over the past 30 years, FAFH's share of U.S. households' food budgets and total food spending grew steadily. FAFH options also became more widely available as growing numbers and types of businesses—including grocery stores—served prepared foods. Apart from the Great Recession (2007-09), these trends continued uninterrupted from 1987 to 2017, but the changes were not uniform across socioeconomic groups or business types.

- Spending on FAFH surpassed spending on FAH for the first time in 2010, increasing its share of total food spending from 44 percent (30 years prior) in 1987 to 50.2 percent in 2010.
- Higher income households spent more on FAFH and bought it more frequently than lower income households. Households with incomes greater than 300 percent of the Federal poverty guidelines obtained FAFH on 5.5 occasions per week, while households whose incomes were less than or equal to Federal poverty guidelines obtained FAFH on 4.2 occasions per week.
- For households with an elderly individual (over 64 years old), the share of household food spending on FAFH was 8 percent lower than for other households. Also, Americans who were 35–44 years old consumed FAFH more often than other Americans.

ERS is a primary source of economic research and analysis from the U.S. Department of Agriculture, providing timely information on economic and policy issues related to agriculture, food, the environment, and rural America.

- In 2000–15, quick-service restaurants (QSRs), also referred to as fast-food and limited-service restaurants, drove the industry’s growth both in sales and number of outlets. The fastest-growing segment of the QSRs was fast casuals—e.g., Chipotle Mexican Grill and Panera Bread—which combines counter service with the perceived ambiance and product quality of full-service restaurants (FSRs).
- Much of the growth in foodservice establishments occurred in urban U.S. counties, consistent with patterns of urban and rural migration. As rural populations declined, FSRs in rural areas were particularly hard hit, leaving QSRs to dominate.
- Spending on FAFH declined during the Great Recession, by \$47 billion (18 percent) in real dollars from 2006 to 2010, and rebounded thereafter.
- During the Great Recession, households replaced spending at FSRs with unprepared foods purchased at retail stores (like grocery stores), but households’ share of spending for QSRs stayed constant. In 2014, household expenditures on FAFH had yet to rebound to pre-Recession levels.
- Despite the downturn in household spending on FAFH during the Great Recession, the number of chain QSRs grew, and consumers spent a greater share of their FAFH dollars at these restaurants.

***Nutritional composition and diet quality.*** The nutritional composition of FAFH across all income levels and all FAFH types (except school foods) was consistently lower quality and more caloric than that of FAH. Though FAFH is known to have lower diet quality, access to FAFH did not seem to affect FAFH consumption and did not correlate with diminished overall diet quality.

- FAFH’s share of total average daily energy intake increased from 17 percent in 1977–78 to 34 percent in 2011–12, and consumption of QSR foods was the largest source of this growth.
- On the whole, FAFH contained more saturated fats and sodium, and less calcium, iron, and fiber than FAH—however, the nutritional composition of FAFH varied across outlet types. For example, in 2009–12, the fat content of school lunches (a type of FAFH) was almost identical to that of FAH (33 percent) while the fat content of QSR foods averaged 39 percent.
- Although frequent QSR customers purchased less vegetables, fish, and nuts, their overall diet quality was no worse than that of QSR nonconsumers.

***Policies that affect FAFH.*** FAFH consumption is influenced by public policy mainly on two fronts. First, current food assistance programs with in-kind food benefits affect food choices and diet quality of participating low-income households. For example, new requirements that improve nutrition of school meals directly affect children’s diet quality. Second, new menu labeling regulations may help consumers make more informed food choices at restaurants.

- The average household Healthy Eating Index (HEI-2010) for FAFH was lower than for FAH, regardless of SNAP participation or income.
- School meals provided by the National School Lunch Program and School Breakfast Program contained higher levels of calcium than both FAH and other sources of FAFH and adhered better to USDA’s *Dietary Guidelines for Americans* than other sources of FAFH.

## **How Was the Study Conducted?**

This report uses a variety of data sources and techniques to examine FAFH trends. The analysis was done primarily using descriptive statistics (e.g., means, differences, and correlations) and literature review. The main data sources were the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), USDA ERS’s Food Expenditure Series, the National Household Food Acquisition and Purchase Survey (FoodAPS), the Consumer Expenditure Survey, U.S. Census Bureau’s Monthly Retail Trade and Foodservices series, NPD ReCount, and Euromonitor Passport. These data sources include self-reported information and measurable individual characteristics collected by household survey, establishment information, and proprietary industry data.