



United States
Department
of Agriculture

Economic
Information
Bulletin
Number 11

April 2006



An Electronic Report from the Economic Research Service

www.ers.usda.gov

The First Decade of Genetically Engineered Crops in the United States

**Jorge Fernandez-Cornejo and Margriet
Caswell, with contributions from Lorraine
Mitchell, Elise Golan, and Fred Kuchler**

Abstract

Ten years after the first generation of genetically engineered (GE) varieties became commercially available, adoption of these varieties by U.S. farmers is widespread for major crops. Driven by farmers' expectations of higher yields, savings in management time, and lower pesticide costs, the adoption of corn, soybean, and cotton GE varieties has increased rapidly. Despite the benefits, however, environmental and consumer concerns may have limited acceptance of GE crops, particularly in Europe. This report focuses on GE crops and their adoption in the United States over the past 10 years. It examines the three major stakeholders of agricultural biotechnology and finds that (1) the pace of R&D activity by producers of GE seed (the seed firms and technology providers) has been rapid, (2) farmers have adopted some GE varieties widely and at a rapid rate and benefited from such adoption, and (3) the level of consumer concerns about foods that contain GE ingredients varies by country, with European consumers being most concerned.

Keywords: genetically engineered crops, agricultural biotechnology, seed industry, research and development, adoption, crop yields, pesticide use, corn, soybeans, cotton

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank William Lin, Paul Heisey, Keith Wiebe, Marca Weinberg, Utpal Vasavada, and Mary Bohman of ERS for the helpful comments provided on earlier drafts of this report. We also thank Andrew Rude from the Foreign Agricultural Service, Neil E. Hoffman from the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, John W. Radin from the Agricultural Research Service, Daniel Jones from the Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service, and Matthew C. Rousu from Susquehanna University. We also thank Lou King for editorial assistance and Anne Pearl for graphics and layout.

Contents

Summary	iii
Introduction	1
Rapid Change and Pace of R&D Activity Characterize the Seed Industry and Technology Providers	2
From the Laboratory to the Field	3
Adoption of GE Crops by U.S. Farmers Increases Steadily	8
U.S. Farmers Expect To Profit From Adopting GE Crops	9
Adoption of GE Crops and Yields	9
Adoption and Net Returns, Household Income, and Pesticide Use	10
Consumer Demand Affects R&D, Adoption, and Marketing of GE-Derived Products	15
Adoption Offers Market Benefits to Many Stakeholders	19
Conclusion	21
References.	22

Summary

Over the past decade, developments in modern biotechnology have expanded the scope of biological innovations by providing new tools for increasing crop yields and agricultural productivity. The role that biotechnology will play in agriculture in the United States and globally will depend on a number of factors and uncertainties. What seems certain, however, is that the ultimate contribution of agricultural biotechnology will depend on our ability to identify and measure its potential benefits and risks.

What Is the Issue?

Ten years after the first generation of genetically engineered (GE) varieties of major crops became commercially available, adoption of these varieties by U.S. farmers has become widespread. United States consumers eat many products derived from these crops—including some cornmeal, oils, sugars, and other food products—largely unaware of their GE content. Despite the rapid increase in the adoption of GE corn, soybean, and cotton varieties by U.S. farmers, questions remain regarding the impact of agricultural biotechnology. These issues range from the economic and environmental impacts to consumer acceptance.

What Did the Study Find?

This study examined the three major stakeholders in agricultural biotechnology: **seed suppliers and technology providers, farmers, and consumers.**

Seed suppliers/technology providers. Strengthening of intellectual property rights protection in the 1970s and 1980s increased returns to research and offered greater incentives for private companies to invest in seed development and crop biotechnology. Since 1987, seed producers have submitted nearly 11,600 applications to USDA's Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service for field testing of GE varieties. More than 10,700 (92 percent) have been approved. Approvals peaked in 2002 with 1,190. Most approved applications involved major crops, with nearly 5,000 for corn alone, followed by soybeans, potatoes, and cotton. More than 6,600 of the approved applications included GE varieties with herbicide tolerance or insect resistance. Significant numbers of applications were approved for varieties with improved product quality, viral resistance, and enhanced agronomic properties such as drought and fungal resistance.

Farmers. Adoption of GE soybeans, corn, and cotton by U.S. farmers has increased most years since these varieties became commercially available in 1996. By 2005, herbicide-tolerant soybeans accounted for 87 percent of total U.S. soybean acreage, while herbicide-tolerant cotton accounted for about 60 percent of total cotton acreage. Adoption of insect-resistant crops is concentrated in areas with high levels of pest infestation and varies across States. Insect-resistant cotton was planted on 52 percent of cotton acreage in 2005—ranging from 13 percent in California to 85 percent in Louisiana. Insect-resistant corn accounted for 35 percent of the total acreage in 2005, following the introduction of a new variety to control the corn rootworm.

The economic impact of GE crops on producers varies by crop and technology. Herbicide-tolerant cotton and corn were associated with increased returns, as were insect-resistant cotton and corn when pest infestations were more prevalent. Despite the rapid adoption of herbicide-tolerant soybeans, there was little impact on net farm returns in 1997 and 1998. However, the adoption of herbicide-tolerant soybeans is associated with increased off-farm household income, suggesting that farmers adopt this technology because the simplicity and flexibility of the technology permit them to save management time, allowing them to benefit from additional income from off-farm activities.

Genetically engineered crops also seem to have environmental benefits. Overall pesticide use is lower for adopters of GE crops, and the adoption of herbicide-tolerant soybeans may indirectly benefit the environment by encouraging the adoption of soil conservation practices.

Consumers. Most surveys and consumer studies indicate consumers have at least some concerns about foods containing GE ingredients, but these concerns have not had a large impact on the market for these foods in the United States. Despite the concerns of U.S. consumers, “GE-free” labels on foods are not widely used in the United States. Manufacturers have been active in creating a market for GE-free foods. Between 2000 and 2004, manufacturers introduced more than 3,500 products that had explicit non-GE labeling, most of them food products.

In the European Union and some other countries, however, consumer concerns have spurred a movement away from foods with GE ingredients. Despite the fact that some European consumers are willing to consume foods containing GE ingredients, very few of these foods are found on European grocery shelves.

How Was the Study Conducted?

This report examined the three major stakeholders of agricultural biotechnology: GE seed suppliers and technology providers (biotech firms), farmers, and consumers. To examine biotech and seed firms, we used information from the literature as well as from the database of USDA approvals of field testing for new GE varieties. To study seed users, we drew on ERS studies based on USDA farm surveys, and to review the consumer perspective, we summarized surveys of consumers’ attitudes from the literature.