## NONALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES

## Nonalcoholic Beverages

> | Yesterday, how many cans or glasses or regular soda (such as cola, lemon-lime), |
| :--- |
| sweetened carbonated beverages (such as Clearly Canadian), or sweetened non- |
| carbonated beverages (such as Gatorade, Snapple, SoBe) did you drink? [NOTE TO |
| INTERVIEWER: THAT WOULD BE A LARGE GLASS OR A 12 OZ CAN OR |
| BOTTLE, DO NOT INCLUDE DIET DRINKS OR CARBONATED WATER]. (\#) |

Preliminary Rank Medium Instrument California Dietary Practices Survey (2001)


Notes:
Citations: Oppen M et al. 2002.


Notes:
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Notes:
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```
How often were your fruit drinks diet or sugar-free drinks? (almost never or never, about \(1 / 4\) of the time, about \(1 / 2\) of the time, about \(3 / 4\) of the time, almost always or always)
```

| Preliminary Rank | Instrument HighNCI Diet History Questionnaire, NCI Food <br> Propensity Questionnaire added and pilot tested <br> in NHANES 2003 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Administration |  |
| Population | National, local |
| Subgroup | Tested with Washington DC participants ages 25-70 (64\% female, 14\% AfricanAmerican); tested in Eating at America's Table Study (nationally representative sample, RDD, 20-70 years of age, 79\% White, 10\% African-American, 51\% women); RDD of adults 20-70 years old in Thompson FE et al. 2002b validation study with Eating at America's Table Study sample. |
| Sample Size(s) | $\mathrm{n}=623$ in Thompson FE et al. 2002a validation study; $\mathrm{n}=1,301$ in Eating at America's Table Study; $\mathrm{n}=202$ men and 260 women in smaller Thompson FE et al. 2002b validation study with Eating at America's Table Study sample. |
| Mode | Self:Paper/pencil. |

## Documented

## Description

| Other Languages | $\mathbf{X}$ | Spanish |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Low-Income | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |

Low Education Level $4.8 \%$ had less than a HS degree in Eating at America's Table Study; 1\% had less than a HS degree in Thompson FE et al. 2002a; 79\% had more than a HS degree in Thompson FE et al. 2002b.

## Evidence

Reliability
Internal Validity
X Compared to Daily Food Report: r=0.48 for women and r=0.49 for men for energy for entire instrument compared to four 24-hour recalls; adjusted deattenuated correlations for entire instrument across nutrients range from 0.51 to 0.77 for women and 0.41 to 0.83 for men compared to four 24-hour recalls; $r=0.68$ for entire instrument for men and 0.54 for women compared to four 24 -hour recalls.
External Validity
Sensitive to Change
Related to
Outcome(s)
Other
X Cognitive testing indicates instrument is easy to use; HHHG questions were redesigned based on cognitive think-aloud interviewing and incorporated into a test-DHQ.

Citations: NCI 2004; Thompson FE et al. 2002a; Subar AF et al. 2001; Thompson FE et al. 2002b; NCHS/NHANES 2004.

## How often were these soft drinks, soda, or pop diet or sugar-free? (almost never or never, about $1 / 4$ of the time, about $1 / 2$ of the time, about $3 / 4$ of the time, almost always or always)

| Preliminary Rank | Instrument HighNCI Diet History Questionnaire, NCI Food <br> Propensity Questionnaire added and pilot tested <br> in NHANES 2003 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Administration |  |
| Population | National, local |
| Subgroup | Tested with Washington DC participants ages 25-70 (64\% female, 14\% AfricanAmerican); tested in Eating at America's Table Study (nationally representative sample, RDD, 20-70 years of age, 79\% White, 10\% African-American, 51\% women); RDD of adults 20-70 years old in Thompson FE et al. 2002b validation study with Eating at America's Table Study sample. |
| Sample Size(s) | $\mathrm{n}=623$ in Thompson FE et al. 2002a validation study; $\mathrm{n}=1,301$ in Eating at America's Table Study; $\mathrm{n}=202$ men and 260 women in smaller Thompson FE et al. 2002b validation study with Eating at America's Table Study sample. |
| Mode | Self:Paper/pencil. |

## Documented

## Description

| Other Languages | $\mathbf{X}$ | Spanish |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Low-Income | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |

Low-Income $\quad \mathbf{X}$
4.8\% had less than a HS degree in Eating at America's Table Study; 1\% had less than a HS degree in Thompson FE et al. 2002a; 79\% had more than a HS degree in Thompson FE et al. 2002b.

## Evidence

Reliability
Internal Validity
X Compared to Daily Food Report: r=0.48 for women and r=0.49 for men for energy for entire instrument compared to four 24 -hour recalls; adjusted deattenuated correlations for entire instrument across nutrients range from 0.51 to 0.77 for women and 0.41 to 0.83 for men compared to four 24-hour recalls; $r=0.68$ for entire instrument for men and 0.54 for women compared to four 24 -hour recalls.
External Validity
Sensitive to Change
Related to
Outcome(s)
Other
X Cognitive testing indicates instrument is easy to use; HHHG questions were redesigned based on cognitive think-aloud interviewing and incorporated into a test-DHQ.

Citations: NCI 2004; Thompson FE et al. 2002a; Subar AF et al. 2001; Thompson FE et al. 2002b; NCHS/NHANES 2004.

How often did you drink other fruit drinks (such as cranberry cocktail, Hi-C, lemonade, or Kool-Aid, diet or regular)? (1 time per month or less, 2-3 times per month, 1-2 times per week, 3-4 times per week, 5-6 times per week, 1 time per day, 2-3 times per day, 4-5 times per day, 6 or more times per day)
Preliminary Rank High Instrument NCI Diet History Questionnaire, NCI Food Propensity Questionnaire added and pilot tested in NHANES 2003

| Administration |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Population N | National, local |
| Subgroup $\begin{array}{ll}\text { T } \\ & \text { A } \\ & \text { s } \\ & \text { w } \\ & \text { s }\end{array}$ | Tested with Washington DC participants ages 25-70 (64\% female, 14\% AfricanAmerican); tested in Eating at America's Table Study (nationally representative sample, RDD, 20-70 years of age, 79\% White, 10\% African-American, 51\% women); RDD of adults 20-70 years old in Thompson FE et al. 2002b validation study with Eating at America's Table Study sample. |
| Sample Size(s) ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | n=623 in Thompson FE et al. 2002a validation study; n=1,301 in Eating at America's Table Study; $\mathrm{n}=202$ men and 260 women in smaller Thompson FE et al. 2002b validation study with Eating at America's Table Study sample. |
| Mode S | Self:Paper/pencil. |
| Documented Description |  |
| Other Languages | X Spanish |
| Low-Income | X |
| Low Education Leve | 4.8\% had less than a HS degree in Eating at America's Table Study; 1\% had less than a HS degree in Thompson FE et al. 2002a; 79\% had more than a HS degree in Thompson FE et al. 2002b. |
| Evidence |  |
| Reliability |  |
| Internal Validity | X Compared to Daily Food Report: $r=0.48$ for women and $r=0.49$ for men for energy for entire instrument compared to four 24-hour recalls; adjusted deattenuated correlations for entire instrument across nutrients range from 0.51 to 0.77 for women and 0.41 to 0.83 for men compared to four 24-hour recalls; $r=0.68$ for entire instrument for men and 0.54 for women compared to four 24 -hour recalls. |
| External Validity |  |
| Sensitive to Change |  |
| Related to Outcome(s) |  |
| Other | X Cognitive testing indicates instrument is easy to use; HHHG questions were redesigned based on cognitive think-aloud interviewing and incorporated into a test-DHQ. |

Citations: NCI 2004; Thompson FE et al. 2002a; Subar AF et al. 2001; Thompson FE et al. 2002b; NCHS/NHANES 2004.

Each time you drank fruit drinks, how much did you usually drink? (less than 1 cup/8 ounces, 1 to 2 cups/ $\mathbf{8}$ to 16 ounces, more than 2 cups/ 16 ounces)


## Documented

## Description

| Other Languages | $\mathbf{X}$ | Spanish |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Low-Income | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |

Low Education Level

## Evidence

Reliability
Internal Validity

External Validity
Sensitive to Change
Related to
Outcome(s)
Other
4.8\% had less than a HS degree in Eating at America's Table Study; 1\% had less than a HS degree in Thompson FE et al. 2002a; 79\% had more than a HS degree in Thompson FE et al. 2002b.

X Compared to Daily Food Report: $\mathrm{r}=0.48$ for women and $\mathrm{r}=0.49$ for men for energy for entire instrument compared to four 24-hour recalls; adjusted deattenuated correlations for entire instrument across nutrients range from 0.51 to 0.77 for women and 0.41 to 0.83 for men compared to four 24-hour recalls; $r=0.68$ for entire instrument for men and 0.54 for women compared to four 24 -hour recalls.

X Cognitive testing indicates instrument is easy to use; HHHG questions were redesigned based on cognitive think-aloud interviewing and incorporated into a test-DHQ.

Citations: NCI 2004; Thompson FE et al. 2002a; Subar AF et al. 2001; Thompson FE et al. 2002b; NCHS/NHANES 2004.


Citations: NCI 2004; Thompson FE et al. 2002a; Subar AF et al. 2001; Thompson FE et al. 2002b; NCHS/NHANES 2004.

How often did you drink soft drinks, soda, or pop in the summer? (1 time per month or less, 2-3 times per month, 1-2 times per week, 3-4 times per week, 5-6 times per week, 1 time per day, 2-3 times per day, 4-5 times per day, 6 or more times per day)


Documented

## Description

| Other Languages | $\mathbf{X}$ | Spanish |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |

Low-Income X
Low Education Level

## Evidence

Reliability
Internal Validity

External Validity
Sensitive to Change
Related to
Outcome(s)
Other
4.8\% had less than a HS degree in Eating at America's Table Study; 1\% had less than a HS degree in Thompson FE et al. 2002a; 79\% had more than a HS degree in Thompson FE et al. 2002b.

X Cognitive testing indicates instrument is easy to use; HHHG questions were redesigned based on cognitive think-aloud interviewing and incorporated into a test-DHQ.

Citations: NCI 2004; Thompson FE et al. 2002a; Subar AF et al. 2001; Thompson FE et al. 2002b; NCHS/NHANES 2004.

How often did you drink soft drinks, soda, or pop during the rest of the year? (1 time per month or less, 2-3 times per month, 1-2 times per week, 3-4 times per week, 5-6 times per week, 1 time per day, 2-3 times per day, 4-5 times per day, 6 or more times per day)


Documented

## Description

| Other Languages | $\mathbf{X}$ | Spanish |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Low-Income | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |

Low-Income X
4.8\% had less than a HS degree in Eating at America's Table Study; 1\% had less than a HS degree in Thompson FE et al. 2002a; 79\% had more than a HS degree in Thompson FE et al. 2002b.

## Evidence

Reliability
Internal Validity
X Compared to Daily Food Report: $\mathrm{r}=0.48$ for women and $\mathrm{r}=0.49$ for men for energy for entire instrument compared to four 24-hour recalls; adjusted deattenuated correlations for entire instrument across nutrients range from 0.51 to 0.77 for women and 0.41 to 0.83 for men compared to four 24 -hour recalls; $\mathrm{r}=0.68$ for entire instrument for men and 0.54 for women compared to four 24 -hour recalls.

## External Validity

Sensitive to Change
Related to
Outcome(s)
Other
X Cognitive testing indicates instrument is easy to use; HHHG questions were redesigned based on cognitive think-aloud interviewing and incorporated into a test-DHQ.
Citations: NCI 2004; Thompson FE et al. 2002a; Subar AF et al. 2001; Thompson FE et al. 2002b; NCHS/NHANES 2004.

> Each time you drank soft drinks, soda, or pop, how much did you usually drink? (less than 12 ounces or less than 1 can or bottle, 12 to 16 ounces or 1 can or bottle, more than 16 ounces or more than 1 can or bottle)


## Documented

## Description

| Other Languages | $\mathbf{X}$ | Spanish |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Low-Income | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |

Low-Income X
4.8\% had less than a HS degree in Eating at America's Table Study; 1\% had less than a HS degree in Thompson FE et al. 2002a; 79\% had more than a HS degree in Thompson FE et al. 2002b.

## Evidence

Reliability
Internal Validity
X Compared to Daily Food Report: r=0.48 for women and r=0.49 for men for energy for entire instrument compared to four 24 -hour recalls; adjusted deattenuated correlations for entire instrument across nutrients range from 0.51 to 0.77 for women and 0.41 to 0.83 for men compared to four 24-hour recalls; $r=0.68$ for entire instrument for men and 0.54 for women compared to four 24 -hour recalls.
External Validity
Sensitive to Change
Related to
Outcome(s)
Other
X Cognitive testing indicates instrument is easy to use; HHHG questions were redesigned based on cognitive think-aloud interviewing and incorporated into a test-DHQ.

Citations: NCI 2004; Thompson FE et al. 2002a; Subar AF et al. 2001; Thompson FE et al. 2002b; NCHS/NHANES 2004.

