RECOMMENDED QUESTIONS

FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

Not counting juice, how often do you eat fruit? (# per day, week, month, year; never)					
Preliminary Ran	nk High	Instrument	BRFSS (2003)		
Administration					
Population	Nationa	l, state, local			
Subgroup	Wisconsin/Medicare/women; Chicago/low-income/Hispanic/non- pregant/WIC/women; Arizona/adults/45+, Augusta, GA; Adults 30-74 in Cancer Prevention Study in MN; Low-income parents.				
Sample Size(s)		n=507 in WI, n=97 in Chicago, n=93 in AZ, n=193 in Augusta, GA Serdula M et al. 1993; n=201 Smith-Warner SA, et al. 1997; n=1465 Weaver M et al. 1999.			
Mode	Self:Paper/pencil; Interviewer:CAPI and CATI (18%).				
Documented Description					
Other Languages	X	Spanish			
Low-Income	X	Study population in Chie stamp participants.	cago had low incomes. Used with WIC and food		
Low Education Lev	vel X	Most of study population	n in Chicago had limited education.		
Evidence					
Reliability	X		oopulation at baseline and 3 months. Correlation l consistency alpha coefficient was .77.		
Internal Validity	X		fficients: .56, .54, .35, and .58 FFQ; .66 and .33 ary recall; .70 diet recall and .68 FFQ.		
External Validity					
Sensitive to Change	e				
Related to					
Outcome(s)	X 7	Comitivo tostino			
Other	X	Cognitive testing.			

Notes: The BRFSS estimates of fruits and vegetable consumption were lower than the FFQ, but similar to the food records or recalls.

Citations: Serdula M et al. 1993; Smith-Warner SA et al. 1997; Weaver M et al. 1999; CDC 2003.

How often do you eat carrots? (# per day, week, month, year; never)					
Preliminary Rar	nk High	n Instrument BRFSS (2003)			
Administration					
Population	National	l, state, local			
Subgroup	pregant/	sin/Medicare/women; Chicago/low-income/Hispanic/non- WIC/women; Arizona/adults/45+, Augusta, GA; Adults 30-74 in Cancer Ion Study in MN; Low-income parents.			
Sample Size(s)		n=507 in WI, n=97 in Chicago, n=93 in AZ, n=193 in Augusta, GA Serdula M et al. 1993; n=201 Smith-Warner SA, et al. 1997; n=1465 Weaver M et al. 1999.			
Mode	Self:Pap	per/pencil; Interviewer:CAPI and CATI (18%).			
<u>1</u>	Documer	nted Description			
Other Languages	X	Spanish			
Low-Income	X	Study population in Chicago had low incomes. Used with WIC and food stamp participants.			
Low Education Lev	vel X	Most of study population in Chicago had limited education.			
Evidence					
Reliability	X	Test-retest with control population at baseline and 3 months. Correlation coefficient = .49. Internal consistency alpha coefficient was .77.			
Internal Validity	X	Criterion correlation coefficients: .40, .57, .41, and .51 FFQ; 23 and .31 dietary records; .34 dietary recall; .45 diet recall and .63 FFQ.			
External Validity					
Sensitive to Chang	e				
Related to					
Outcome(s)					
Other	X	Cognitive testing.			

Notes: The BRFSS estimates of fruits and vegetable consumption were lower than the FFQ, but similar to the food records or recalls.

Citations: Serdula M et al. 1993; Smith-Warner SA et al. 1997; Weaver M et al. 1999; CDC 2003.

How often do you eat green salad? (# per day, week, month, year; never)					
Preliminary Rai	nk High	Instrument BRFSS (2003)			
Administration					
Population	National	l, state, local			
Subgroup	pregant/	sin/Medicare/women; Chicago/low-income/Hispanic/non- WIC/women; Arizona/adults/45+, Augusta, GA; Adults 30-74 in Cancer on Study in MN; Low-income parents.			
Sample Size(s)	n=507 in WI, n=97 in Chicago, n=93 in AZ, n=193 in Augusta, GA Serdula M et al. 1993; n=201 Smith-Warner SA, et al. 1997; n=1465 Weaver M et al. 1999.				
Mode	Self:Pap	er/pencil; Interviewer:CAPI and CATI (18%).			
]	Documer	nted <u>Description</u>			
Other Languages	X	Spanish			
Low-Income	X	Study population in Chicago had low incomes. Used with WIC and food stamp participants.			
Low Education Le	vel X	Most of study population in Chicago had limited education.			
Evidence					
Reliability	X	Test-retest with control population at baseline and 3 months. Correlation coefficient = .66. Internal consistency alpha coefficient was .77.			
Internal Validity	X	Criterion correlation coefficients: .55, .63, .13, and .37 FFQ; .50 and .16 dietary records; .11 dietary recall; .59 diet recall and .66 FFQ.			
External Validity					
Sensitive to Chang	e				
Related to					
Outcome(s)					
Other	X	Cognitive testing.			

Notes: The BRFSS estimates of fruits and vegetable consumption were lower than the FFQ, but similar to the food records or recalls.

Citations: Serdula M et al. 1993; Smith-Warner SA et al. 1997; Weaver M et al. 1999; CDC 2003.

During the past week did you have citrus fruit or citrus juice? (Y, N)					
Preliminary Ran	nk Ideal	I Instrument Food Behavior Checklist (1997)			
Administration					
Population	Local				
Subgroup	counties	African American and White FSP participants from 7 counties in CA. 8 California counties among women eligible for food stamps. 9 counties in California of women receiving food stamps.			
Sample Size(s)	n=95, n=	=100, n=132.			
Mode	Interview	wer:Telephone and in-person among a group.			
Documented Description					
Other Languages	X	Spanish			
Low-Income	X				
Low Education Le	vel				
Evidence					
Reliability	X	Test-retest correlation coefficient $= .58$.			
Internal Validity	 X Correlation coefficient to servings of fruit from 24 hour recall = .29. Coefficient to average of fruit = .27. 				
External Validity					
Sensitive to Chang	e	Not significant.			
Related to Outcome(s)	X	Correlation to serum carotenoid level =.35.			
Other	X	A Flesch Reading Ease score of 96 and a Flesch Kincaid score of 2.8 indicates less than fourth grade reading level.			
Notos.					

Notes:

How many servings of vegetables do you eat each day? (#)				
Preliminary Rai	nk Ideal	I Instrument Food Behavior Checklist (1997)		
Administration				
Population	Local			
Subgroup	counties	American and White FSP participants from 7 counties in CA. 8 California among women eligible for food stamps. 9 counties in California of women g food stamps.		
Sample Size(s)	n=95, n=	=100, n=132.		
Mode	Interview	wer:Telephone and in-person among a group.		
Documented Description				
Other Languages	X	Spanish		
Low-Income	X			
Low Education Le	vel			
Evidence				
Reliability	X	Test-retest correlation coefficient $= .58$.		
Internal Validity	X	X Correlation coefficient to servings of vegetables from 24 hour recall = .38. Coefficient to average of vegetables = .32 and fiber = .35.		
External Validity				
Sensitive to Chang	ge			
Related to Outcome(s)	X	Correlation to serum carotenoid level =.33.		
Other	X	A Flesch Reading Ease score of 96 and a Flesch Kincaid score of 2.8 indicates less than fourth grade reading level.		
Notes:				

Notes:

		usually do things now. Do you eat two or more servings of meal? (usually/always, often, sometimes, rarely, never)		
Preliminary Ra	nk Ideal	IInstrumentFood Behavior Checklist (1997)		
Administration				
Population	Local			
Subgroup	counties	American and White FSP participants from 7 counties in CA. 8 California among women eligible for food stamps. 9 counties in California of women g food stamps.		
Sample Size(s)	n=95, n=	=100, n=132.		
Mode	Interview	wer:Telephone and in-person among a group.		
	Docume	nted <u>Description</u>		
Other Languages	X	Spanish		
Low-Income	X			
Low Education Le	evel			
Evidence				
Reliability	X	Test-retest correlation coefficient $= .55$.		
Internal Validity	X	 X Correlation coefficient to servings of vegetables from 24 hour recall = .26. Coefficient to average of vegetables = .28 and fiber = .27. 		
External Validity				
Sensitive to Chang	ge			
Related to Outcome(s)	X	Correlation to serum carotenoid level =.35.		
Other	X	A Flesch Reading Ease score of 96 and a Flesch Kincaid score of 2.8 indicates less than fourth grade reading level.		
Notes•				

Notes:

How many servings of fruit do you eat each day? (#)				
Preliminary Ran	nk Ideal	Instrument Food Behavior Checklist (1997)		
Administration				
Population	Local			
Subgroup	African American and White FSP participants from 7 counties in CA. 8 California counties among women eligible for food stamps. 9 counties in California of women receiving food stamps.			
Sample Size(s)	n=95, n=	=100, n=132.		
Mode	Interview	wer:Telephone and in-person among a group.		
Documented Description				
Other Languages	X	Spanish		
Low-Income	X			
Low Education Le	vel			
Evidence				
Reliability	X	Test-retest correlation coefficient $= .42$.		
Internal Validity	X	X Correlation coefficient to servings of fruit from 24 hour recall = $.39$. Coefficient to average of fruit = $.39$ and fiber = $.32$.		
External Validity				
Sensitive to Chang	e X	p value = <.01		
Related to Outcome(s)	X	Correlation to serum carotenoid level =.31.		
Other	X	A Flesch Reading Ease score of 96 and a Flesch Kincaid score of 2.8 indicates less than fourth grade reading level.		

Notes:

During the past week did you have raw vegetables? (Y, N)				
Preliminary Rai	nk High	Instrument	Food Behavior Checklist (1997)	
Administration				
Population	Local			
Subgroup	counties		participants from 7 counties in CA. 8 California r food stamps. 9 counties in California of women	
Sample Size(s)	n=95, n=	=100, n=132.		
Mode	Interviev	wer:Telephone and in-perse	on among a group.	
Documented Description				
Other Languages	X	Spanish		
Low-Income	Χ			
Low Education Le	vel			
Evidence				
Reliability	X	Test-retest correlation co	efficient = .78.	
Internal Validity	X			
External Validity				
Sensitive to Chang	je –			
Related to Outcome(s)		No significant correlation	n to serum carotenoid level.	
Other	X	A Flesch Reading Ease s indicates less than fourth	core of 96 and a Flesch Kincaid score of 2.8 grade reading level.	
Notos				

Notes:

During the past week did you have cooked vegetables? (Y, N)					
Preliminary Rai	nk Med	ium	Instrument	Food Behavior Checklist (1997)	
Administration					
Population	Local				
Subgroup	counties		omen eligible fo	participants from 7 counties in CA. 8 California or food stamps. 9 counties in California of women	
Sample Size(s)	n=95, n=	=100, n=1	32.		
Mode	Interviev	Interviewer: Telephone and in-person among a group.			
Documented Description			Description		
Other Languages	X	Spanish	L		
Low-Income	X				
Low Education Level					
Evidence					
Reliability		Control	group reliability	v test not significant.	
Internal Validity	No significant correlations.				
External Validity					
Sensitive to Chang	ge				
Related to Outcome(s)		No sign	ificant correlatio	on to serum carotenoid level.	
Other	X		•	score of 96 and a Flesch Kincaid score of 2.8 h grade reading level.	
Notor					

Notes:

In the past month, about how often did you: Drink 100% orange juice or grapefruit juice? Drink other 100% fruit juices, not counting fruit drinks? Eat green salad (with or without other vegetables)? Eat French fries or fried potatoes? Eat baked, boiled, or mashed potatoes? (never, 1-3 times per month, 1-2 times per week, 3-4 times per week, 5-6 times per week, 1 time per day, 2 times per day, 3 times per day, 4 times per day, 5 or more times per day) About how many servings of vegetables, overall, do you eat per day or per week, not counting salad or potatoes? (number of servings per day, week, month, year) About how many servings of fruit do you eat per day or per week, not counting juices? (number of servings per day, week, month, year) (7-item set)

Preliminary Ra	nk Ideal	Instrument	National 5 A Day Survey, local NCI 5 A Day projects (1997)				
Administration							
Population	National	National, local (5 adult NCI 5 A Day projects)					
Subgroup	African- followup centers, less), Se Black C 72% fen Promotio	Nationally representative survey (random digit dialing, 18+ years old, oversampled African-Americans and Latinos, 17% and 15% below 130% poverty at baseline and followup), Massachusetts' TreatWell 5 A Day Program (22 community health centers, 23% Hispanic, 18% African-American, 20% had 12th grade education or less), Seattle's 5 A Day program (28 worksites with cafeterias), North Carolina's Black Churches United for Better Health (50 churches in 10 randomized counties, 72% female, 98% African American, mean age 53.8), Maryland WIC 5 A Day Promotion Program (16 WIC sites in Baltimore City and six Maryland counties, 55% African-American, 41% White, 100% female, mean age 27).					
Sample Size(s)	n=1,359 Black C	National 5 A Day survey n=2,837 baseline and n=2,602 followup, TreatWell study n=1,359 (only women's responses included in analysis n=1,096), North Carolina's Black Churches United for Better Health n=3,737 baseline and n=2,519 follow-up, Maryland WIC 5 A Day Promotion Program n=3,122, Warneke et al. study n=146.					
Mode	Self:Pap	er/pencil; Interviewer:In-j	person interview.				
	Docume	nted	Description				
Other Languages							
Low-Income	Χ	WIC participants					
Low Education Le	evel21.1% of baseline and 19.8% of final sample had less than a HS degr Range of education levels in 5 A Day studies and projects with 10-30 having less than a HS degree.						
Evidence							
Reliability	X	r=0.40 vs r=0.67, fruit e	part indicates poor reliability (corrected fruit juice xcluding juice r=0.18 vs r=0.68, fruit and fruit yegetables r=0.69 vs 0.69, total r=0.72 vs 0.70).				

Internal Validity	X	r=0.52 (95% confidence limits=0.46 to 0.57) between screener and Willett's 61-item FFQ; r=0.52 between screener and 3-day food records; r=0.77 for fruit juice, r=0.58 for fruit excluding juice, r=0.68 for fruit and fruit juice, r=0.34 for vegetables, r=0.53 for total between screener and 31-item FFQ (Warneke et al. 2001); r=0.33 to 0.57 for fruit and 0.24 to 0.32 for vegetables compared to dietary recalls, 100- and 122- item FFQ, and serum carotenoids (Kristal et al. 2000); r=0.52 for men and 0.50 for women compared to dietary recall (underestimated intake compared to FFQ) (Thompson et al. 2000).	
External Validity			
Sensitive to Change	Х	Fruit and vegetable intake increased in the intervention groups.	
Related to Outcome(s)	X	All 5 A Day sites used the same survey as a pretest and post test. Intervention effects: Arizona's 5 A Day for the Overlooked Worker Program 0.46 servings (p<0.002), Massachusetts' TreatWell 5 A Day Program 0.55 servings for worksite-plus-family intervention group (p=0.05), Seattle's 5 A Day program 0.3 serving (p=0.06), Black Churches United for Better Health 0.85 servings (p<0.0001), Maryland WIC 5 A Day Promotion Program 0.43 servings (p=0.002); r=0.27 for fruit and serum carotenoids, r=0.15 for vegetables and serum carotenoids, r=0.58 for total fruit and vegetable intake and serum carotenoids	
Other		Based on the national 5 A Day surveys and other fruit and vegetable screeners (i.e. BRFSS).	

Notes: Simple to administer and analyze, well suited for population level surveillance and intervention evaluation.

Citations: Havas S et al. 1994; Hunt MK et al. 1998; Sorensen G et al. 1999.

Over the last month, how often did you eat tomato sauce? Include tomato sauce on pasta or macaroni, rice, pizza and other dishes. (never, 1-3 times last month, 1-2 times per week, 3-4 times per week, 1 time per day, 2 times per day, 3 times per day, 4 times per day, 5 or more times per day)

Preliminary Ra	nk High	Instrument	NCI All-Day Screener		
Administration					
Population	National				
Subgroup	Study; F	RDD of adults 20-70 years old who were part of the NCI Eating at America's Table Study; Random sample of members from the Calibration Study of the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study (50-69 years of age).			
Sample Size(s)	n=202 m	nen and n=260 women fro	m EATS; n=874 from NIH-AARP.		
Mode	Self:Pap	er/pencil.			
	Documer	nted	Description		
Other Languages					
Low-Income					
Low Education Le	evel	79% had received more	than a HS degree in Thompson FE et al. 2002b.		
Evidence					
Reliability	1				
Internal Validity	X r=0.66 for men and 0.51 for women between complete All Day screener and four nonconsecutive 24-hour recalls; r=0.54 for men and 0.59 for women for All Day screener compared to dietary recall (underestimated intake compared to FFQ).				
External Validity		1	-/		
Sensitive to Chang	ge				
Related to					
Outcome(s)					
Other	X	Cognitive, think-aloud i	nterviews with 30 men and women.		
Notes:					

Citations: Thompson FE et al. 2002a; Thompson FE et al. 2002b.

During the past 12 months, how often per day, per week, per month or per year did you eat dark green vegetables, such as the food listed on this card? (# OF TIMES PER DAY, WEEK, MONTH OR YEAR; NEVER IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS) (See notes)

Preliminary Ra	nk Higł	n Instrument	NHANES Diet Behavior and Nutrition Sample Person Questionnaire 2001-2002
Administration			
Population	National		
Subgroup	Nationally representative; Question for 60+ years of age only; Survey oversamples older persons (60 years and over), African Americans, Mexican Americans, low income persons (less than 130 percent of poverty), and adolescents 12-19 years old.		
Sample Size(s)	n=approximately 7,000 interviewed annually (all ages).		
Mode	Interviewer:In-person interview; trained interviewer using CAPI; individual setting at respondent's home.		
Documented Description			
Other Languages	X	Spanish	
Low-Income	X		
Low Education Level			
Evidence			
Reliability	X	Some items underwent n	reliability testing.
Internal Validity			
External Validity			
Sensitive to Change			
Related to Outcome(s)			
Other	X	survey collaborators, NO	led or modified based on recommendations from CHS staff, and other interagency work groups, and I testing of English-Spanish speaking participants.

Notes: The following examples of dark green vegetables are given to the respondent on the DBQ1 hand card: broccoli; spinach; romaine and other dark green lettuce; turnip, beet and mustard greens; collards; kale; chard.

Citations: NCHS/NHANES 2004; An C et al. 2003.