## Appendix B Supplementary Descriptive Tables

Table B.1—Awareness, perceived eligibility, and interest in applying to the FSP among potentially eligible nonparticipant households

|  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ever heard of the FSP? |  |
| Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 96.4 \% \\ & (0.8) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| No | $\begin{gathered} 3.6 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% |
| Perceived eligibility |  |
| Eligible | $\begin{aligned} & 43.2 \% \\ & (2.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| Ineligible | $\begin{gathered} 35.0 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unsure | $\begin{gathered} 18.2 \\ (2.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Never heard of FSP | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.6 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% |
| Would apply if certain of eligibility? |  |
| Would apply | $\begin{gathered} 69.1 \\ (2.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Would not apply | $\begin{aligned} & 27.1 \\ & (2.4) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Unsure if would apply | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.9 \\ (0.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 100.0\% |
| Unweighted $N$ | 1220 |
| a Excludes the 51 households that never heard of the FSP. |  |
| Standard errors shown in parentheses. |  |
| Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding. |  |

Table B.2—Level of need of eligible nonparticipant households by perceived eligibility
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lccc}\hline & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Don't believe } \\
\text { eligible for }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Believe } \\
\text { eligible for } \\
\text { food stamps }\end{array}
$$ <br>

Level of need \& \& Total\end{array}\right]\)|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic characteristics of household |  |  |  |
| Earnings in previous month (\%) | $52.0 \%$ | $46.8 \%$ | $(4.0)$ |

Table B.2-Level of need of eligible nonparticipant households by perceived eligibilityContinued
a Includes responses of "unsure," "don’t know," and those who never heard of the FSP. This is consistent with the approach used in multivariate modeling.
b Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
c The food security questions reference the year preceding the survey.
d Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 19 to 293 depending upon the item.
*** $\uparrow \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

| Table B.3—Characteristics of eligible nonparticipant households by perceived eligibility |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Don't believe <br> eligible for <br> food stamps | Believe <br> eligible for <br> food stamps | Total |
| Characteristics |  |  |  |
| Demographic characteristics of household head |  |  |  |
| Female (\%) | $72.9 \%$ | $74.5 \%$ | $(2.5)$ |

a Includes responses of "unsure," "don't know," and those who never heard of the FSP. This is consistent with the approach used in multivariate modeling.
b Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 129 depending upon the item.
***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $(*)$ or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

Table B.4—Perceptions of stigma associated with the FSP among nonparticipant households by perceived eligibility

| Stigma index | Don't believe eligible for food stamps ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Believe eligible for food stamps | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 No stigma | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 64.5 \% \\ & (2.9) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56.7 \% \\ & (4.0) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.1 \% \\ & (2.2) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 Low stigma | $\begin{gathered} 15.4 \\ (2.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.1 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.3 \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.3 \\ (2.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0 \\ (1.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ (1.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.7 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \\ (1.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 High stigma | $\begin{gathered} 5.9 \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.2 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Mean stigma | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ (0.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ (0.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {b }}$ | 707 | 513 | 1220 |

a Includes responses of "unsure," "don't know," and those who never heard of the FSP. This is consistent with the approach used in multivariate modeling.
b Tabulations exclude 26 households with missing data.
*** $\uparrow \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
Numbers without asterisks $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

Table B.5—Reasons for perceived ineligibility ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Previously <br> received food <br> stamps |  | Never received <br> food stamps |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stated reasons | Total |  |  |
| Earn too much money |  |  |  |
| Told ineligible or previously denied | $60.50^{*}$ | $49.1 \%$ | $(4.0)$ |

a Includes only households that did not think they were eligible for food stamps or were unsure of their eligibility.
${ }^{* * *}, \dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $(*)$ or daggers ( $\dagger$ ) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

Table B.6-Level of need of eligible nonparticipant households who do not believe they are eligible for food stamp benefits by reason for perceived ineligibility

|  | Perceived ineligible <br> because reached <br> time limit on cash <br> assistance | Perceived <br> ineligible for <br> other reasons | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of need |  |  |  |
| Economic characteristics of household |  |  |  |
| Earnings in previous month (\%) | $93.0^{* *}$ | 51.7 | $(3.5)$ |

## Table B.6-Level of need of eligible nonparticipant households by perceived eligibilityContinued

a Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
b The food security questions reference the year preceding the survey.
c Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 168 depending upon the item.
***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) or daggers ( $\dagger$ ) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

Table B.7-Characteristics of eligible nonparticipant households who do not believe they are eligible for food stamp benefits by reason for perceived ineligibility

| Characteristics | Perceived ineligible because reached time limit on cash assistance | Perceived ineligible for other reasons | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demographic characteristics of household head |  |  |  |
| Female (\%) | 94.1* | 73.4 | 73.8 |
|  | (5.6) | (2.8) | (2.8) |
| Age (mean years) | 41.0 | 49.5 | 49.3 |
|  | (6.0) | (1.6) | (1.6) |
| Black (\%) | 63.7 | 21.6 | 22.5 |
|  | (18.4) | (4.2) | (4.1) |
| Hispanic (\%) | 10.1 | 13.4 | 13.3 |
|  | (6.7) | (3.1) | (3.0) |
| Non-English speaker (\%) | 6.3 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
|  | (4.8) | (1.4) | (1.4) |
| Non-U.S. citizen (\%) | 7.7 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
|  | (6.3) | (1.2) | (1.2) |
| High school graduate (\%) | 48.8 | 64.9 | 64.6 |
|  | (19.6) | (3.0) | (3.0) |
| Previous FSP recipient (\%) | 92.0** | 49.8 | 50.8 |
|  | (5.6) | (4.0) | (3.9) |
| Household composition |  |  |  |
| One-person household (\%) | 0.0†† | 40.3 | 39.4 |
|  | (-) | (3.3) | (3.3) |
| Multiple adults without children (\%) | 0.0 | 27.9 | 27.3 |
|  | (-) | (2.6) | (2.6) |
| Single adult with children (\%) | 27.3 | 10.9 | 11.3 |
|  | (16.3) | (1.5) | (1.7) |
| Multiple adults with children (\%) | 72.7 | 20.9 | 22.1 |
|  | (16.3) | (2.4) | (2.3) |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| All adults elderly (\%) | 0.0*** | 26.4 | 25.8 |
|  | (-) | (3.3) | (3.2) |
| Any members with disabilities (\%) | 10.7* | 35.5 | 35.0 |
|  | (6.7) | (3.0) | (3.1) |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {a }}$ | 21 | 633 | 654 |
| a Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 61 depending upon the item. |  |  |  |
| ***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively. |  |  |  |
| **, $\dagger \dagger \quad$ Statistically significant differen categories (chi-squared test), re | level relative to the exclud | category (t-test) | oss all lis |
| *, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant differen categories (chi-squared test), re | at level relative to the exc | ded category (t-tes | ross all lis |
| Numbers without asterisks $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories. |  |  |  |
| Standard errors shown in parentheses. |  |  |  |
| Totals may not add to 100.0\% due to rounding. |  |  |  |

Table B.8-Level of need of eligible nonparticipant households who do not believe they are eligible for food stamp benefits by reason for perceived ineligibility

| Level of need | Perceived ineligible because received lump sum payment | Perceived ineligible for other reasons | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic characteristics of household |  |  |  |
| Earnings in previous month (\%) | 91.6** | 51.7 | 52.5 |
|  | (4.2) | (3.2) | (3.1) |
| Mean earnings of those with positive earnings | \$1,529 | \$1,213 | \$1,220 |
|  | (\$329) | (\$60) | (\$59) |
| Unearned income (\% receiving) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| Cash assistance | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
|  | (1.0) | (1.0) | (0.9) |
| Social Security/SSI | 29.6 | 49.3 | 48.9 |
|  | (15.4) | (3.4) | (3.3) |
| Other | 3.6** | 23.9 | 23.5 |
|  | (2.7) | (2.8) | (2.7) |
| Percent of poverty (\%) |  |  |  |
| Less than 50\% | 18.9 | 11.8 | 11.9 |
|  | (13.1) | (1.8) | (1.8) |
| 50-less than 100\% | 12.8 | 24.1 | 23.9 |
|  | (5.2) | (2.9) | (2.8) |
| 100-less than 130\% | 37.5 | 36.5 | 36.5 |
|  | (22.3) | (3.5) | (3.5) |
| Greater than 130\% | 30.8 | 27.7 | 27.8 |
|  | (13.2) | (3.5) | (3.5) |
| Assets |  |  |  |
| Bank accounts (\%) | 53.9 | 75.3 | 75.0 |
|  | (10.7) | (2.4) | (2.4) |
| Other financial assets (\%) | 0.0* | 9.8 | 9.6 |
|  | (0.0) | (2.9) | (2.8) |
| Mean amount in accounts | \$592 | \$865 | \$861 |
|  | (\$78) | (\$181) | (\$177) |
| Mean (non-zero) amount in accounts | \$658 | \$995 | \$990 |
|  | (\$90) | (\$204) | (\$200) |
| Any motor vehicles | 80.0 | 67.6 | 67.9 |
|  | (11.6) | (3.5) | (3.5) |
| Food security status (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |
| Food secure | 25.5 | 61.3 | 60.7 |
|  | (9.5) | (2.7) | (2.7) |
| Food insecure without hunger | 72.0 | 19.9 | 20.8 |
|  | (9.4) | (2.4) | (2.4) |
| Food insecure with hunger | 2.5 | 18.8 | 18.5 |
|  | (2.0) | (3.3) | (3.3) |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {c }}$ | 19 | 635 | 654 |

Table B.8-Level of need of eligible nonparticipant households who do not believe they are eligible for food stamp benefits by reason for perceived ineligibility-Continued
a Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
b The food security questions reference the year preceding the survey.
c Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 168 depending upon the item.
*** $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $(*)$ or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc}\hline \text { Table B.9—Characteristics of eligible nonparticipant households who do not believe they are } \\ \text { eligible for food stamp benefits by reason for perceived ineligibility }\end{array}\right]$


## Table B.10-Level of need of eligible nonparticipant households by whether would apply for benefits if knew eligible-Continued

a Includes responses of "don't know."
b Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
c The food security questions reference the year preceding the survey.
d The 51 households that never heard of the FSP were not asked whether they would apply. Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 17 to 273 depending upon the item.
*** $\uparrow \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

| Characteristics | Would not apply if eligible ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Would apply if eligible | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demographic characteristics of household head |  |  |  |
| Female (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 73.5 \% \\ & (4.6) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74.5 \% \\ & (2.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74.2 \% \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Age (mean years) | $\begin{gathered} 50.2 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.4 \\ (1.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.3 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Black (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 13.8^{* * *} \\ (2.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.9 \\ (5.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.4 \\ (4.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Hispanic (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 8.5 \\ (2.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.0 \\ & (2.6) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ (2.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-English speaker (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.4 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.5 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ (1.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-U.S. citizen (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 2.1^{*} \\ (1.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ (1.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| High school graduate (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 70.3^{\star *} \\ (3.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.4 \\ (3.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63.4 \\ (2.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Previous FSP recipient (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 39.2^{* * *} \\ & (4.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 61.4 \\ (2.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.6 \\ & (2.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| Household composition ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |
| One-person household (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 50.2 \\ (4.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.2 \\ (2.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.6 \\ (2.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Multiple adults without children (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 21.6 \\ (3.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0 \\ (2.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.9 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Single adult with children (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 8.7 \\ (1.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.3 \\ (1.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Multiple adults with children (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 19.5 \\ (2.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.5 \\ (2.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.6 \\ (1.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| All adults elderly (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 29.6 \\ (4.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.7 \\ & (2.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.5 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Any members with disabilities (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 27.0^{* * *} \\ & (3.8) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.3 \\ (2.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.3 \\ & (1.8) \end{aligned}$ |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {b }}$ | 333 | 836 | 1169 |

## Table B.11—Characteristics of eligible nonparticipant households by whether would apply for benefits if eligible-Continued

a Includes responses of "don’t know."
b The 51 households that never heard of the FSP were not asked if they would apply. Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 118 , depending upon the item.
*** $\uparrow \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

| Whether would apply for benefits if knew eligible | Don't believe eligible for food stamps ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Believe eligible for food stamps | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Would apply | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 66.1 \% \\ & (3.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.7 \% \\ & (3.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 69.1 \% \\ & (2.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| Would not apply | $\begin{gathered} 29.3 \\ (3.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.2 \\ (3.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.1 \\ (2.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Unsure if would apply | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ (1.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.0 \\ (1.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ (0.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {b }}$ | 707 | 513 | 1220 |
| a Includes responses of "unsure" and "don't know." |  |  |  |
| b Tabulations exclude the 51 households that never hear | SP and were not | whether they |  |
| *** $\uparrow \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 perce categories (chi-squared test), respectively. | relative to the exclu | d category (t-tes | oss all lis |
| **, $\dagger \dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 perce categories (chi-squared test), respectively. | relative to the exclu | category (t-tes | oss all lis |
| *, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 perc categories (chi-squared test), respectively. | relative to the ex | ed category (t-te | ross all li |
| Numbers without asterisks (*) or daggers ( $\dagger$ ) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories. |  |  |  |
| Standard errors shown in parentheses. |  |  |  |
| Totals may not add to 100.0\% due to rounding. |  |  |  |

Table B.13-Perceptions of stigma associated with the FSP among nonparticipant households by whether would apply for benefits if knew eligible

| Stigma index | Would not apply if eligible ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Would apply if eligible | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 No stigma | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 60.8 \% \\ & (4.6) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 61.3 \% \\ & (2.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 61.2 \% \\ & (2.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 Low stigma | $\begin{gathered} 16.3 \\ (3.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.6 \\ (2.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.5 \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} 7.9 \\ (1.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.4 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0 \\ (1.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} 7.1 \\ (2.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.9 \\ (0.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 High stigma | $\begin{gathered} 7.9 \\ (2.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.8 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.4 \\ (1.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Mean stigma | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.9 \\ (0.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.7 \\ (0.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ (0.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{N}^{\text {b }}$ | 333 | 836 | 1169 |

a Includes responses of "don't know."
b The 51 households that never heard of the FSP were not asked if they would apply. Tabulations exclude 26 households with missing data.
${ }^{* * *}, \dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
${ }^{* *}, \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $(*)$ or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

| Table B.14—Reasons households would not apply for FSP benefits ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table B.15-Outcome of application process

| Outcome ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All applicants | Circumstantially eligible applicants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approved | 71.4\% | 82.5\% |
|  | (1.8) | (1.5) |
| Denied | 28.6 | 17.5 |
|  | (1.8) | (1.5) |
| Circumstantially ineligible | 9.1 |  |
|  | (1.4) | - |
| Did not complete the application process | 11.8 | 12.0 |
|  | (1.4) | (1.5) |
| No certification interview | 3.5 | 3.1 |
|  | (0.9) | (1.0) |
| Incomplete verification | 6.4 | 6.9 |
|  | (1.1) | (1.3) |
| Other | 1.5 | 1.7 |
|  | (0.5) | (0.5) |
| Reason not reported | 0.3 | 0.3 |
|  | (0.2) | (0.2) |
| Voluntary withdrawal ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.0 | 1.4 |
|  | (0.8) | (0.5) |
| Other | 2.8 | 2.1 |
|  | (0.7) | (0.5) |
| Don't know | 2.9 | 2.0 |
|  | (1.1) | (0.7) |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Unweighted $N$ | 1064 | 910 |
| a Outcome as reported in case records |  |  |
| b Household may have withdrawn its application before or after completing all application requirements. |  |  |
| Standard errors shown in parentheses. |  |  |
| Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding. |  |  |


| Characteristic of Household Head | Eligible nonparticipants | Eligible applicants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 0.4\%†† $\dagger$ | 4.0\% |
|  | (0.2) | (0.9) |
| 20 to 49 | 55.3 | 79.9 |
|  | (3.2) | (1.5) |
| 50 to 59 | 13.6 | 8.9 |
|  | (1.6) | (1.2) |
| 60 and over | 30.7 | 7.2 |
|  | (2.8) | (1.1) |
| Gender |  |  |
| Male | 26.4 | 25.4 |
|  | (1.9) | (2.3) |
| Female | 73.6 | 74.6 |
|  | (1.9) | (2.3) |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |
| White (non-Hispanic) | $52.8 \dagger \dagger$ | 49.3 |
|  | (4.1) | (3.7) |
| Black (non-Hispanic) | 26.1 | 29.8 |
|  | (4.0) | (4.2) |
| Hispanic | 11.9 | 17.0 |
|  | (2.2) | (2.9) |
| Other (non-Hispanic) | 9.2 | 3.9 |
|  | (1.4) | (1.2) |
| Primary language |  |  |
| English | 94.1 | 94.7 |
|  | (1.1) | (1.1) |
| Spanish | 4.5 | 4.1 |
|  | (1.0) | (1.0) |
| Other | 1.3 | 1.2 |
|  | (0.7) | (0.5) |
| Citizenship |  |  |
| U.S. citizen | 94.9 | 94.8 |
|  | (1.1) | (1.3) |
| Non-citizen | 5.1 | 5.2 |
|  | (1.1) | (1.3) |
| Marital Status |  |  |
| Married | $33.1+\dagger \dagger$ | 24.4 |
|  | (3.1) | (2.9) |
| Divorced/separated | 26.7 | 31.7 |
|  | (2.1) | (2.6) |
| Widowed | 17.4 | 4.8 |
|  | (2.2) | (1.0) |
| Never married | 22.8 | 39.1 |
|  | (3.2) | (3.4) |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {a }}$ | 1220 | 910 |

## Table B.16-Characteristics of eligible nonparticipant and applicant households-Continued

a Tabulations exclude households with missing data ranging from 17 to 129 for the nonparticipants and 0-149 for the applicants.
***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) or daggers ( $\dagger$ ) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

| Household Composition | Eligible nonparticipants | Eligible applicants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One-person households | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 42.5 \% \dagger \dagger \dagger \\ & (2.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 37.2 \% \\ (3.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Multiple adults without children | $\begin{gathered} 24.1 \\ (1.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.6 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Single adults with children | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.5 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Multiple adults with children | $\begin{gathered} 21.9 \\ (1.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.7 \\ (2.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Child-only households |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ (0.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| All adults elderly | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26.1*** } \\ & (2.5) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.8 \\ (0.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Some members with disabilities | $\begin{aligned} & 36.0^{* * *} \\ & (1.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.9 \\ (2.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {a }}$ | 1220 | 910 |
| a Tabulations exclude 9 applicant | ng data. |  |
| ***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant di categories (chi-squared t | tevel relative to the excluded | (t-test) or across all li |
| **, $\dagger \dagger \quad$ Statistically significant di categories (chi-squared te | nt level relative to the excluded | (t-test) or across all li |
| *, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant di categories (chi-squared t | ent level relative to the exclude | ry (t-test) or across all |
| Numbers without asterisks $(*)$ or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories. |  |  |
| Standard errors shown in parentheses. |  |  |
| Totals may not add to 100.0\% due to rounding. |  |  |


|  | Eligible nonparticipants | Eligible applicants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earnings |  |  |
| Earnings in previous month (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 49.8 \% * * * \\ & (2.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.0 \% \\ & (2.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean household earnings of those with positive earnings | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1181 * * * \\ (\$ 41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 746 \\ (\$ 30) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean household earnings of all households | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 529^{* * *} \\ & (\$ 37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 293 \\ (\$ 21) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unearned income-percent receiving ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Cash assistance | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1^{* * *} \\ & (0.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.4 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| SSI | $\begin{gathered} 19.9 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.3 \\ (2.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Social Security | $\begin{aligned} & 24.6^{* * *} \\ & (2.6) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.7 \\ (2.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Other unearned income | $\begin{gathered} 19.6 \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.2 \\ (1.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total income-percent of poverty |  |  |
| Less than 50\% | $16.3 \dagger \dagger \dagger$ (1.5) | $\begin{gathered} 57.0 \\ (2.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 50 to less than $100 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0 \\ (2.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.5 \\ & (2.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| 100 to less than 130\% | $\begin{aligned} & 27.6 \\ & (3.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.9 \\ (1.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Greater than 130\% | $\begin{gathered} 27.1 \\ (2.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ (0.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Assets |  |  |
| Bank accounts (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 68.7^{* * *} \\ & (2.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.8 \\ & (2.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| Other financial assets (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 9.5^{* * *} \\ & (2.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.9 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean amount in accounts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$804*** } \\ & (\$ 132) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 77 \\ (\$ 11) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean (non-zero) amount in accounts | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 915^{* * *} \\ & (\$ 147) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 271 \\ (\$ 35) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {b }}$ | 1220 | 910 |

Table B.18-Economic characteristics of eligible nonparticipant and applicant householdsContinued
a Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
b Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 19-286 for the eligible nonparticipants and 0-213 for the applicants..
*** $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

Table B.19—Level of need of circumstantially eligible applicants

| Level of need | Completed application process | Did not complete application process | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic characteristics of household |  |  |  |
| Earnings in previous month (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 32.2 \% * * * \\ & (2.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.9 \% \\ & (5.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.2 \% \\ & (2.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean earnings of those with positive earnings | $\begin{gathered} \$ 721 \\ (\$ 36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 831 \\ (\$ 63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 747 \\ (\$ 30) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unearned income (\% receiving) ${ }^{a}$ Cash assistance | $\begin{aligned} & 9.8^{* * *} \\ & (1.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.5 \\ (1.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Social Security/SSI | $\begin{gathered} 26.8 \\ (2.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.8 \\ (6.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.1 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other | $\begin{gathered} 22.2 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.9 \\ (5.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.3 \\ (1.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percent of poverty (\%) <br> Less than 100\% | $\begin{gathered} 89.7^{* *} \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.2 \\ (7.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87.5 \\ (2.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 100\% and greater | $\begin{gathered} 10.3^{\star *} \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.8 \\ (7.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.5 \\ (2.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Assets <br> Bank accounts (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 29.1 \\ (2.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.3 \\ (5.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.2 \\ (2.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Other financial assets (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4^{* * *} \\ & (0.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean assets | $\begin{gathered} \$ 77 \\ (\$ 11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 85 \\ (\$ 39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 78 \\ (\$ 11) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean (non-zero) amount in accounts | $\begin{gathered} \$ 257 \\ (\$ 34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 342 \\ (\$ 123) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 269 \\ (\$ 33) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {b }}$ | 748 | 139 | 887 |
| Food security status (\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
| Food secure | $\begin{gathered} 37.3 \\ (2.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.5 \\ (6.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.7 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Food insecure without hunger | $\begin{aligned} & 31.7 \\ & (2.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.4 \\ (6.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.9 \\ (2.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Food insecure with hunger | $\begin{gathered} 31.0 \\ (2.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.1 \\ (8.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.3 \\ (2.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {d }}$ | 424 | 85 | 509 |

## Table B.19-Level of need of circumstantially eligible applicants-Continued

a Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
b Tabulations exclude the 23 households whose application status could not be determined (and therefore total column differs from table B.20). Tabulations also exclude households with missing record abstraction data, ranging from 12 to 79 depending upon the item.
c The food security questions reference the year preceding the survey.
d Tabulations exclude the 14 households with missing survey data.
***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
${ }^{* *}, \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
Numbers without asterisks $\left(^{*}\right)$ or daggers ( $\dagger$ ) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.


## Table B.20-Characteristics of circumstantially eligible applicant households-Continued

a Survey data only (unweighted $\mathrm{n}=509$ ).
b Tabulations exclude the 23 households whose application status could not be determined (and therefore total column differs from tables B. 18 and B.19). Tabulations also exclude households with missing data ranging from 1 to 149 depending upon item.
***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $\left(^{*}\right)$ or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

Table B.21—Circumstances precipitating Food Stamp Program application

|  | Reason Reported |  |  | Most Important Reason |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circumstance | Completed application process (\%) | Did not complete application process (\%) | Total (\%) | Completed application process (\%) | Did not complete application process (\%) | Total (\%) |
| Harder to make ends meet | $\begin{gathered} \hline 86.7 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 89.7 \\ (4.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 87.1 \\ (1.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31.1 \\ (3.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29.5 \\ (6.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.9 \\ (3.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Loss of income | $\begin{gathered} 62.4 \\ (3.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.3 \\ (7.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 61.8 \\ (2.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.4 \\ (3.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.9 \\ (6.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.5 \\ (3.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Earned less | $\begin{gathered} 54.3 \\ (3.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52.9 \\ & (7.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.1 \\ (2.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.8 \\ & (2.5) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.6 \\ (6.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.2 \\ (2.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stopped working | $\begin{aligned} & 36.8 \\ & (3.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.2 \\ (9.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.8 \\ (2.9) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Worked fewer hours | $\begin{gathered} 12.0 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.0 \\ (8.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.1 \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Wage rate reduced | $\begin{aligned} & 6.4^{* * *} \\ & (1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ (1.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.6 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Lost unearned income | $\begin{gathered} 15.9 \\ (2.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ (9.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.1 \\ (3.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.5^{\star *} \\ & (1.7) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.8 \\ (1.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Lost TANF income | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \\ (8.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.3 \\ (1.4) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Lost unemployment compensation | $\begin{gathered} 2.7^{* *} \\ (0.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ (0.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Lost SSI | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ (2.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Lost child support | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ (2.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.7 \\ (2.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.8 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Lost help from relative/friend | $\begin{gathered} 12.1 \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.3 \\ (9.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0 \\ (2.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.7 \\ (0.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.6 \\ (1.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.0 \\ (0.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Household member became sick/ disabled | $\begin{aligned} & 22.8^{* * *} \\ & (3.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.2 \\ (3.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.9 \\ (2.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.4^{*} \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Contributing adult died | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ (0.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Contributing adult left household | $\begin{gathered} 16.7 \\ (2.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.3 \\ (9.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.4 \\ (2.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.4 \\ (1.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.9 \\ (4.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.9 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Due to a marital breakup | $\begin{gathered} 10.2 \\ (2.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.6 \\ (9.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Increased rent, mortgage, utilities | $\begin{gathered} 25.3 \\ (3.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.4 \\ (5.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.2 \\ (2.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.5 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.1 \\ (3.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.8 \\ (1.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Moved, released from institution | $\begin{gathered} 30.4 \\ (2.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.5 \\ (5.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.4 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.8 \\ (2.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-contributing household member added | $\begin{gathered} 12.0 \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.9 \\ (9.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.6 \\ (2.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \\ (1.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ (1.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Newborn | $\begin{gathered} 5.8 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \\ (8.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.6 \\ (1.4) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Older child | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ (0.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.9 \\ (0.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Adult | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ (0.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ (1.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ (0.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Just learned about the FSP | $\begin{gathered} 12.3 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.9 \\ (6.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0 \\ (1.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.3 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.3 \\ (6.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.3 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ |


| Table B.21—Circumstances precipitating Food Stamp Program application—Continued |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Reason Reported |  |  |

a Tabulations exclude the 5 households with missing data.
***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

Table B.22—Reasons for not completing the application process

| Stated reasons | Situation occurred | Reason for not completing the application process |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Process-related |  |  |
| Difficulty of application process | $\begin{gathered} 28.7 \\ (9.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.6 \\ (8.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Time to get benefits too long | $\begin{gathered} 13.3 \\ (8.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.9 \\ (6.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Wait in the office too long | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ (5.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.5 \\ (4.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Application form | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.6 \\ (3.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ (2.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Getting to food stamp office | $\begin{gathered} 6.4 \\ (3.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.3 \\ (2.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Confusion about process | $\begin{gathered} 5.6 \\ (3.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.6 \\ (3.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Costs of application | $\begin{gathered} 17.5 \\ (7.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \\ (6.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Travel costs | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ (4.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \\ (1.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Miss work | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ (4.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ (4.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Pay child/elder care to apply | $\begin{gathered} 8.6 \\ (5.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ (4.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Verification and anti-fraud requirements | $\begin{gathered} 14.2 \\ (7.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \\ (6.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Acquiring documents | $\begin{gathered} 14.2 \\ (7.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \\ (6.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Third-party verification | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.9 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Fingerprinting | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.9 \\ (0.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.9 \\ (0.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Home visits | 0.0 - | 0.0 - |
| Costs of participation | $\begin{gathered} 6.4 \\ (5.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ (1.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Monthly reporting | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ (0.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ (0.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Frequent recertifications | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.5 \\ (4.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stigma | 0.0 - | 0.0 |
| Perceived ineligibility due to TANF diversion | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ (1.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ (1.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Citizenship issues | 0.0 - | 0.0 |

Table B.22-Reasons for not completing the application process-Continued

| Stated reasons | Situation occurred | Reason for not completing the application process |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not process-related |  |  |
| Perceived ineligibility due to income/assets | $\begin{gathered} 46.2 \\ (11.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.6 \\ (11.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Benefits too small | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ (3.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ (3.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| FSP benefits | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ (3.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.1 \\ (3.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Bundled benefits | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.1 \\ (3.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 |
| Situation changed and no longer needed benefits | $\begin{gathered} 25.8 \\ (12.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.8 \\ (12.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Other | $\begin{gathered} 7.9 \\ (6.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ (0.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Type of reason ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Only process-related reasons | $\begin{gathered} 11.2 \\ (5.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.8 \\ (5.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Only not process-related reasons | $\begin{gathered} 48.9 \\ (10.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52.8 \\ & (9.8) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Mix of process and not process-related reasons | $\begin{gathered} 23.2 \\ (8.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.5 \\ (7.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| No reason reported | $\begin{gathered} 16.7 \\ (6.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.0 \\ (6.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Unweighted $N$ | 52 | 52 |

a Groups defined as above.
Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

Table B.23-Expected benefits and costs of FSP participation

| Expected benefits | Completed application process | Did not complete application process | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected FSP benefit |  |  |  |
| \$50 or less | 8.4\% $\dagger$ | 0.0\% | 7.3\% |
|  | (2.3) | (-) | (1.9) |
| \$51-50 | 11.8 | 19.7 | 12.9 |
|  | (1.8) | (7.7) | (1.9) |
| \$101-150 | 18.6 | 15.5 | 18.1 |
|  | (2.8) | (5.9) | (2.6) |
| \$151-200 | 14.2 | 25.9 | 15.8 |
|  | (2.5) | (11.8) | (3.1) |
| \$201-300 | 15.5 | 5.0 | 14.0 |
|  | (2.4) | (2.6) | (1.9) |
| Over \$300 | 5.5 | 3.8 | 5.3 |
|  | (1.4) | (2.9) | (1.3) |
| Unsure | 25.9 | 30.1 | 26.5 |
|  | (4.0) | (7.3) | (3.9) |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Other applications filed |  |  |  |
| Cash assistance (TANF, GA, SSI) | 27.4 | 34.5 | 28.4 |
|  | (3.4) | (9.2) | (3.1) |
| Medical assistance (Medicaid, SCHIP) | 59.0 | 52.0 | 58.0 |
|  | (3.4) | (7.0) | (3.3) |
| Other | 8.8** | 2.0 | 7.9 |
|  | (2.7) | (1.1) | (2.3) |
| Stigma index |  |  |  |
| 0 No stigma | 55.0\% $\dagger$ | 59.7\% | 55.5\% |
|  | (3.4) | (9.3) | (3.3) |
| 1 Low stigma | 20.7 | 21.9 | 20.9 |
|  | (3.2) | (6.5) | (3.0) |
| 2 | 14.1 | 8.2 | 13.5 |
|  | (2.3) | (4.0) | (2.2) |
| 3 | 5.8 | 0.9 | 5.3 |
|  | (1.2) | (0.9) | (1.2) |
| 4 High stigma | 4.3 | 9.2 | 4.8 |
|  | (1.8) | (8.1) | (1.7) |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Mean stigma | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
|  | (0.1) | (0.3) | (0.1) |
| Convenience |  |  |  |
| Location convenient (\%) | 83.4 | 76.5 | 82.4 |
|  | (3.0) | (6.9) | (2.7) |
| Office hours convenient (\%) | 85.3* | 69.6 | 82.9 |
|  | (3.0) | (6.2) | (2.3) |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {a }}$ | 424 | 85 | 509 |

## Table B.23-Expected benefits and costs of FSP participation-Continued

a Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 3 to 46 depending upon the item.
*** $\uparrow \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
Numbers without asterisks $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

## Table B.24-Satisfaction with FSP application process

| Satisfaction | Completed application process | Did not complete application process | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfied with receptionist | $\begin{gathered} \hline 87.9 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 84.3 \\ (5.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 87.4 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Satisfaction with caseworker Satisfied with caseworker | $\begin{gathered} 87.1 \\ (3.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77.7 \\ (7.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86.1 \\ (2.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Dissatisfied with caseworker | $\begin{gathered} 12.9 \\ (3.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.3 \\ (7.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.9 \\ (2.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Satisfied with overall application process | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 75.9 \% * * * \\ & \text { (3.4) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 43.1 \% \\ (7.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 71.1 \% \\ & (2.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| Treatment at food stamp office compared to other government offices <br> Treated better | $\begin{gathered} 21.4 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0 \\ (5.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.8 \\ (1.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Treated same | $\begin{gathered} 61.6 \\ (3.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.4 \\ (8.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.5 \\ (2.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Treated worse | $\begin{gathered} 17.1^{*} \\ (3.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.6 \\ & (8.3) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.6 \\ (2.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {a }}$ | 424 | 85 | 509 |

a Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 3 to 46 depending upon the item.
***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

Table B.25-Costs of FSP application for households that completed the process

|  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of trips to FSP office (mean) | 2.4 |
|  | (0.1) |
| Total travel time, all trips (mean hours) | 2.2 |
|  | (0.2) |
| Total time at FSP office (mean hours) | 3.9 |
|  | (0.2) |
| Number of additional trips to acquire documentation (mean) | 1.2 |
|  | (0.1) |
| Number of additional trips for TANF or Medicaid (mean) | 0.4 |
|  | (0.1) |
| Missed work to apply for benefits (\%) | 12.4 |
|  | (2.3) |
| Paid child/elder care to apply for benefits (\%) | 8.7 |
|  | (1.7) |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {a }}$ | 424 |
| a Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 10 depending upon the item. |  |
| Standard errors shown in parentheses. |  |


| FSP status | Percent |
| :---: | :---: |
| Not receiving food stamps at TANF div |  |
| Did not apply for, or receive food stamps | $\begin{aligned} & 15.0 \% \\ & (9.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| Approved application | $\begin{gathered} 47.8 \\ (10.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Denied application ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.1 \\ & (3.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| Receiving food stamps at TANF divers |  |
| Interim month, continued participating | $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ (1.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Recertification, approved | $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \\ (6.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Recertification, denied ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \\ (10.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% |
| Unweighted N | 99 |
| a Because households receiving TANF lump sum payments are categorically eligible for food stamps, all denials are assumed to occur because the household did not complete the required application or recertification process. |  |
| Standard errors shown in parentheses. |  |
| Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding. |  |

Table B.27-Characteristics of households receiving lump sum TANF diversion

|  | Currently <br> receiving or <br> approved for <br> food stamps | Did not apply <br> or application/ <br> recertification <br> was denied | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristics |  |  |  |
| Demographic characteristics of household head |  |  |  |
| Female (\%) | 96.7 | 93.1 | $(6.1)$ |

a Includes child-only households.
b Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 2 depending upon the item.
***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

Table B.28—Level of need of households receiving lump sum TANF diversion

|  | Currently <br> receiving or <br> approved for <br> food stamps |  | Did not apply <br> or application/ <br> recertification <br> was denied |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of need |  |  | Total |
| Economic characteristics of household |  |  |  |
| Earnings in previous month (\%) | 49.8 | 49.1 | $(9.0)$ |

## Table B.28-Level of need of households receiving lump sum TANF diversion-Continued

a Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
b The food security questions reference the year preceding the survey.
c Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 43 depending upon the item.
${ }^{* * *}, \dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
${ }^{* *}, \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $\left(^{*}\right)$ or daggers ( $\dagger$ ) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

Table B.29-Circumstances precipitating application for assistance of households receiving lump sum TANF diversion

|  | Reason reported |  |  | Most important reason |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circumstance | Currently receiving or approved for food stamps (\%) | Did not apply or application/ recertification was denied (\%) | Total (\%) | Currently receiving or approved for food stamps (\%) | Did not apply or application/ recertification was denied (\%) | Total (\%) |
| Loss of income | $\begin{gathered} \hline 71.5 \\ (5.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 70.5 \\ (6.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71.1 \\ (5.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 33.1 \\ (6.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 37.5 \\ (4.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 34.6 \\ (5.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Harder to make ends meet | $\begin{gathered} 72.1 \\ (7.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68.7 \\ (14.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.9 \\ (8.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.3 \\ (8.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.2 \\ (4.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.9 \\ (6.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Household member become sick/ disabled | $\begin{gathered} 25.4 \\ (7.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.8 \\ (13.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.3 \\ (9.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ (10.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.7 \\ (10.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.8 \\ (10.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-contributing household member added | $\begin{aligned} & 11.8 \\ & (3.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.4 \\ (5.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.3 \\ (3.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.5 \\ (2.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.8 \\ (5.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.4 \\ (3.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Moved, released from institution | $\begin{gathered} 25.3 \\ (5.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.6 \\ (6.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.1 \\ (4.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.5 \\ (6.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.7 \\ (5.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Increased expenses | $\begin{gathered} 30.1 \\ (8.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.9 \\ (5.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.4 \\ (4.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2 \\ (6.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.8 \\ (1.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ (4.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Contributing adult died/left household | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \\ (3.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ (4.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ (2.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ (2.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ (4.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.2 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Just learned about the FSP | $\begin{gathered} 5.4 \\ (4.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.9 \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ (3.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other | $\begin{gathered} 7.1 \\ (3.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.8 \\ (3.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ (2.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.8 \\ (3.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Not reported | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ (2.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ (2.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  |  |  | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Unweighted N | 67 | 32 | 99 | 67 | 32 | 99 |

a Tabulations exclude the 3 households with missing data.
***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

Table B.30-Expected benefits and costs of FSP participation of households receiving lump sum TANF diversion


## Table B.30-Expected benefits and costs of FSP participation of households receiving lump sum TANF diversion-Continued

a Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 18 .
***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks (*) or daggers ( $\dagger$ ) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

Table B.31—Reported TANF diversion among FSP applicants

| Type of TANF diversion | All households | Households with children |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Lump-sum payment |  | 1.4 |
| Job search diversion |  | $(0.5)$ |
| Alternative resources | 7.3 | 2.4 |
|  | $(1.5)$ | $(0.8)$ |
| Unweighted $\boldsymbol{N}$ | 4.3 | 12.0 |

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Table B.32-Status of food stamp application among households with children, by receipt of TANF diversion

| FSP application status | Lump Sum Payment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Received | Did not receive |
| Approved | $\begin{gathered} \hline 94.5 \\ (5.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83.9 \\ (2.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Denied | $\begin{gathered} 5.5 \\ (5.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.1 \\ (2.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Did not complete application | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (一) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.2 \\ (3.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| No certification interview | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Incomplete verification | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.3 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (一) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Don't know reason | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ (0.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Voluntary withdrawal | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ (2.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.5 \\ (5.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Don't know why denied | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ (0.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Unweighted N | 22 | 293 |
|  | Job Search |  |
| FSP application status | Subject to diversion | Not subject to diversion |
| Approved | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 90.6 \\ & (5.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83.4 \\ (2.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Denied | $\begin{gathered} 9.4 \\ (5.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.6 \\ (2.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Did not complete application | $\begin{gathered} 8.7 \\ (5.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.1 \\ (3.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| No certification interview | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ (1.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Incomplete verification | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ (4.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ (2.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ (2.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Don't know reason | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Voluntary withdrawal | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ (2.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Other | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Don't know why denied | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.5 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ (0.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Unweighted N | 47 | 269 |

## Table B.32-Status of food stamp application among households with children, by receipt of TANF diversion-Continued

| FSP application status | Alternative Resources |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subject to diversion | Not subject to diversion |
| Approved | $92.1$ | $83.7$ |
|  | (5.4) | (2.6) |
| Denied | 7.9 | 16.3 |
|  | (5.4) | (2.6) |
| Did not complete application | 7.9 | 11.1 |
|  | (5.4) | (3.3) |
| No certification interview | 4.2 | 1.8 |
|  | (4.4) | (1.0) |
| Incomplete verification | 3.7 | 6.3 |
|  | (3.0) | (2.2) |
| Other | 0.0 | 2.4 |
|  | (-) | (1.2) |
| Don't know reason | 0.0 | 0.6 |
|  | (-) | (0.6) |
| Voluntary withdrawal | 0.0 | 2.8 |
|  | (-) | (2.1) |
| Other | 0.0 | 1.2 |
|  | (-) | (0.5) |
| Don't know why denied | 0.0 | 1.3 |
|  | (-) | (0.9) |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Unweighted $N$ | 22 | 293 |

$* * *, \dagger \dagger \dagger$
$* *, \dagger \dagger \begin{aligned} & \text { Statistically significant difference at the } 1 \text { percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all } \\ & \text { listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively. } \\ & \text { Statistically significant difference at the } 5 \text { percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all } \\ & \text { listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively. }\end{aligned}$
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Statistically significant difference at the } 10 \text { percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all } \\ & \text { listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively. }\end{aligned}$

Numbers without asterisks (*) or daggers ( $\dagger$ ) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

a Based on survey data only.
$* * *, \dagger \dagger \dagger \begin{aligned} & \text { Statistically significant difference at the } 1 \text { percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all } \\ & \text { listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively. }\end{aligned}$
${ }^{* *, \dagger \dagger} \begin{aligned} & \text { Statistically significant difference at the } 5 \text { percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all } \\ & \text { listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively. }\end{aligned}$
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Statistically significant difference at the } 10 \text { percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all } \\ & \text { listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively. }\end{aligned}$

Numbers without asterisks (*) or daggers ( $\dagger$ ) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

| Table B.34—Characteristics of circumstantially eligible applicant households with children, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| by whether subject to job search diversion ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |

Table B.35-Characteristics of circumstantially eligible applicant households with children, by whether subject to alternative resources diversion ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Characteristics | Subject to alternative resources diversion | Not subject to alternative resources diversion | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household demographics |  |  |  |
| Female | 100.0** | 91.8 | 92.4 |
|  | - | (1.6) | (1.4) |
| Age | 33.8 | 31.0 | 31.3 |
|  | (2.9) | (0.7) | (0.7) |
| Black | 32.3 | 30.4 | 30.7 |
|  | (15.2) | (3.9) | (3.9) |
| Hispanic | 8.9 | 17.3 | 16.4 |
|  | (6.8) | (3.6) | (3.1) |
| Non-English speaking | 4.2 | 7.2 | 7.4 |
|  | (4.4) | (1.6) | (1.5) |
| Non-citizen | 4.2 | 6.2 | 6.1 |
|  | (4.4) | (1.9) | (1.8) |
| High school graduate | 78.1 | 61.2 | 61.8 |
|  | (10.9) | (4.4) | (3.7) |
| Household composition |  |  |  |
| One adult | $0.0 \dagger \dagger$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | (-) | (-) | (-) |
| Multiple adults, no children | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | (-) | (-) | (-) |
| Single adult with children | 85.0 | 66.2 | 67.3 |
|  | (9.2) | (4.6) | (4.9) |
| Multiple adults with children | 15.0 | 30.5 | 29.6 |
|  | (9.2) | (4.6) | (4.8) |
| Child only | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
|  | (-) | (1.0) | (1.0) |
| All adults elderly | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
|  | (-) | (1.7) | (1.6) |
| All adults with disabilities | 16.1 | 3.1 | 4.0 |
|  | (11.0) | (1.5) | (1.6) |
| Unweighted N | 22 | 293 | 315 |

a Based on survey data only.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
* * *, \dagger \dagger \dagger & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Statistically significant difference at the } 1 \text { percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all } \\
\text { listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively. }
\end{array} \\
* *+\dagger \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Statistically significant difference at the } 5 \text { percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all } \\
\text { listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively. }
\end{array} \\
{ }^{*, ~} \dagger \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Statistically significant difference at the } 10 \text { percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all } \\
\text { listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively. }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

Numbers without asterisks $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

| Characteristics | Received TANF lump sum diversion | Did not receive TANF lump sum diversion | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic characteristics |  |  |  |
| Earnings in previous month (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 25.7 \\ (16.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.6 \\ (3.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.0 \\ (3.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean earnings of those with earnings | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,365^{* *} \\ (\$ 236) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 865 \\ (\$ 49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 871 \\ (\$ 48) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unearned income ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |
| Cash assistance (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 31.9 \\ (16.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.6 \\ (2.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.1 \\ (1.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Social Security/SSI (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 18.6 \\ (11.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ (3.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ (3.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 21.8 \\ (14.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.0 \\ (4.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.9 \\ (4.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percent of Poverty |  |  |  |
| Less than 50\% (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 64.0 \\ (16.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.6 \\ (4.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.9 \\ (4.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| 50-100\% (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 17.2 \\ (9.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.0 \\ (3.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.7 \\ (3.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| 100-130\% (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 18.8 \\ (16.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.6 \\ (4.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.7 \\ (4.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| >130\% (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Assets |  |  |  |
| Any bank accounts (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 5.3^{\star *} \\ (5.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.9 \\ (4.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.2 \\ (3.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Any other assets (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean assets | $\begin{gathered} \$ 26 \\ (\$ 28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 72 \\ (\$ 20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 71 \\ (\$ 20) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean assets of those with assets | $\begin{gathered} \$ 494^{\star * *} \\ (\$ 21) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 190 \\ (\$ 51) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 191 \\ (\$ 49) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Unweighted N | 22 | 293 | 315 |

a Based on survey data only.
b Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
${ }^{* *}, \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category ( $t$-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

| Characteristics | Subject to job search diversion | Not subject to job search diversion | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic characteristics |  |  |  |
| Earnings in previous month (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 24.5^{* *} \\ (9.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.4 \\ (3.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.0 \\ (3.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean earnings of those with earnings | $\begin{gathered} \$ 874.2 \\ (\$ 57.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 867.9 \\ (\$ 50.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 871 \\ (\$ 48) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unearned income ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |
| Cash assistance (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ (4.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.7 \\ (2.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.1 \\ (1.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Social Security/SSI (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 24.9 \\ (13.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \\ (3.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.3 \\ & (3.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| Other (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 42.9 \\ (14.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.7 \\ (3.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.9 \\ (4.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Percent of Poverty |  |  |  |
| Less than 50\% (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 58.7 \\ (13.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.6 \\ (4.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.9 \\ (4.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| 50-100\% (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 20.1 \\ (9.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.4 \\ (4.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.7 \\ & (3.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| 100-130\% (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 18.4 \\ (13.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ (4.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.7 \\ (4.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| >130\% (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ (2.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ (1.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Assets |  |  |  |
| Any bank accounts (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 27.9 \\ (9.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.3 \\ & (4.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.2 \\ (3.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Any other assets (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0^{*} \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean assets | $\begin{gathered} \$ 88 \\ (\$ 58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 69 \\ (\$ 21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 71 \\ (\$ 20) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean assets of those with assets | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 295 \\ (\$ 164) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 182 \\ (\$ 55) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 191 \\ (\$ 49) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Unweighted N | 47 | 268 | 315 |

a Based on survey data only.
b Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
${ }^{* *}, \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category ( $t$-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

| Characteristics | Subject to alternative resources diversion | Not subject to alternative resources diversion | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic characteristics |  |  |  |
| Earnings in previous month (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 68.9 \\ (12.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.8 \\ & (3.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.0 \\ (3.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean earnings of those with earnings | $\begin{gathered} \$ 703^{\star *} \\ (\$ 51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 889 \\ (\$ 52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 871 \\ (\$ 48) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unearned income ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |
| Cash assistance (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \\ (8.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.6 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.1 \\ (1.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Social Security/SSI (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \\ (6.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ (3.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ (3.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 41.6 \\ (21.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.9 \\ (3.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.9 \\ (4.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percent of Poverty |  |  |  |
| Less than 50\% (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 28.0 \\ (11.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.0 \\ (4.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.9 \\ (4.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| 50-100\% (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 34.2 \\ (14.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.8 \\ & (3.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.7 \\ (3.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| 100-130\% (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 33.1 \\ (23.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.6 \\ (3.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.7 \\ (4.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| >130\% (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ (5.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.6 \\ (1.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Assets |  |  |  |
| Any bank accounts (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 46.4 \\ (24.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.8 \\ (4.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.2 \\ (3.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Any other assets (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ (0.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ (0.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean assets | $\begin{gathered} \$ 33 \\ (\$ 33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 74 \\ (\$ 21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 71 \\ & (\$ 20) \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean assets of those with assets | $\begin{gathered} \$ 68 \\ (\$ 92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 202 \\ (\$ 51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 191 \\ (\$ 49) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unweighted $N$ | 22 | 293 | 315 |
| a Based on survey data only. <br> b Households can report more than one source of unearned income. |  |  |  |
| ***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively. |  |  |  |
| **, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively. |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} *, \dagger & \text { Statistically significant difference } \\ & \text { listed categories (chi-squared test), }\end{aligned}$ | percent level re ely. | the excluded cate | or acr |
| Numbers without asterisks (*) or daggers ( $\dagger$ ) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories. |  |  |  |
| Standard errors shown in parentheses. |  |  |  |
| Totals may not add to 100.0\% due to rounding. |  |  |  |

Table B.39-Status of active food stamp caseload

| Status | Percent | Weighted N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-recertification month-continue | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 85.1 \% \\ & (0.6) \end{aligned}$ | 6,356,227 |
| Non-recertification month-close | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | 180,178 |
| Recertification month-continue | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.7 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | 726,094 |
| Recertification month-close | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ (0.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 203,174 |
| Total | 100.0\% | 7,465,674 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Unweighted N | 1980 |  |
| a Active caseload estimates from study data. FNS administrative data indicate that 7,303,373 households received FSP benefits in June 2000. |  |  |
| Standard errors shown in parentheses. |  |  |
| Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding. |  |  |



## Table B. 40—Reasons for closure in recertification and interim months ${ }^{\text {a }}$ —Continued

a Excludes the 26 households with missing data.
***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) or daggers ( $\dagger$ ) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.
Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

| Characteristics ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Survey sample | Abstract data only sample | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demographic characteristics of household head |  |  |  |
| Female (\%) | 81.7 | 80.2 | 81.1 |
|  | (5.7) | (5.9) | (4.3) |
| Age (mean years) | 34.5 | 33.5 | 34.1 |
|  | (1.6) | (1.5) | (1.0) |
| Black (\%) | 33.8 | 27.9 | 32.4 |
|  | (7.0) | (9.8) | (5.5) |
| Hispanic (\%) | 4.9*** | 45.2 | 14.6 |
|  | (2.2) | (9.3) | (3.1) |
| Non-English speaker (\%) | 3.2 | 4.3 | 3.6 |
|  | (1.8) | (2.1) | (1.5) |
| Non-U.S. citizen (\%) | 2.7 | 1.8 | 2.4 |
|  | (1.7) | (0.9) | (1.3) |
| Household composition |  |  |  |
| One-person household (\%) | 38.7 | 33.0 | 36.5 |
|  | (7.8) | (5.8) | (5.7) |
| Multiple adults without children (\%) | 0.4 | 9.8 | 4.0 |
|  | (0.4) | (4.8) | (2.1) |
| Single adult with children (\%) | 30.2 | 35.9 | 32.4 |
|  | (7.3) | (8.6) | (4.8) |
| Multiple adults with children (\%) | 30.6 | 20.0 | 26.6 |
|  | (7.3) | (6.7) | (5.5) |
| Child only (\%) | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 |
|  | (-) | (1.0) | (0.4) |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| All adults elderly (\%) | 2.4 | 4.7 | 3.3 |
|  | (1.7) | (2.5) | (1.4) |
| All adults with disabilities (\%) | 14.8 | 13.1 | 14.2 |
|  | (5.2) | (3.9) | (3.7) |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{N}^{\text {b }}$ | 71 | 106 | 177 |
| a Household characteristics as of the beginning of the certification period that ended in June 2000. <br> b Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 52 depending upon the item. |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{* * *}, \dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively. |  |  |  |
| **, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant differenc listed categories (chi-squared test), | elative to the | luded category (t | across |
| *, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant differen listed categories (chi-squared te | relative to | cluded category | or across |
| Numbers without asterisks $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories. |  |  |  |
| Standard errors shown in parentheses. |  |  |  |
| Totals may not add to 100.0\% due to rounding. |  |  |  |

Table B.42-Characteristics of food stamp households: Survey sample vs. Abstract data only sample

| Level of need ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Survey sample | Abstract data only sample | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic characteristics of household |  |  |  |
| Earnings in previous month (\%) | 52.4 | 45.0 | 49.5 |
|  | (7.2) | (7.5) | (5.7) |
| Mean earnings of those with positive earnings | \$870 | \$969 | \$905 |
|  | (\$117) | (\$146) | (\$90) |
| Unearned income (\% receiving) ${ }^{b}$ |  |  |  |
| Cash assistance | 16.0 | 21.2 | 17.9 |
|  | (5.3) | (5.0) | (4.1) |
| Social Security/SSI | 20.6 | 19.7 | 20.3 |
|  | (7.3) | (4.9) | (4.7) |
| Other | 13.1 | 16.9 | 14.5 |
|  | (4.8) | (5.0) | (3.5) |
| Percent of poverty (\%) |  |  |  |
| Less than 100\% | 65.0*** | 88.7 | 74.1 |
|  | (7.5) | (2.8) | (5.0) |
| 100\% and greater | 35.0*** | 11.3 | 25.9 |
|  | (7.5) | (2.8) | (5.0) |
| Assets |  |  |  |
| Bank accounts (\%) | 26.7 | 22.8 | 25.4 |
|  | (7.0) | (7.9) | (5.4) |
| Other financial assets (\%) | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
|  | (1.0) | (1.9) | (0.9) |
| Mean amount in accounts | \$61 | \$118 | \$79 |
|  | (\$34) | (\$66) | (\$32) |
| Mean (non-zero) amount in accounts | \$251 | \$790 | \$373 |
|  | (\$118) | (\$161) | (\$135) |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {c }}$ | 71 | 106 | 177 |
| a Household characteristics as of the beginning of the certification period that ended in June 2000. <br> b Households can report more than one source of unearned income. <br> b Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 2 to 33 depending upon the item. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| ***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively. |  |  |  |
| **, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 listed categories (chi-squared test), respecti | lative to th | luded category (t- | r across |
| *, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 listed categories (chi-squared test), respecti | relative to | cluded category | or acros |
| Numbers without asterisks $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative t the excluded category or across all listed categories. |  |  |  |
| Standard errors shown in parentheses. |  |  |  |
| Totals may not add to 100.0\% due to rounding. |  |  |  |

Table B.43-Characteristics of food stamp households, by FSP status

| Characteristics ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Food stamp case status |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Continued in FSP | Left FSP |
| Demographic characteristics of household head |  |  |
| Female (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 77.4 \\ (2.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79.7 \\ (2.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Age (mean years) | $\begin{aligned} & 41.9^{* * *} \\ & (0.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.8 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Black (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 25.3 \\ (4.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.8 \\ (4.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Hispanic (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 13.7^{* * *} \\ & (2.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.7 \\ (4.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-English speaker (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 7.5 \\ (1.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-U.S. citizen (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 5.1 \\ (1.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ (0.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Household composition |  |  |
| One-person household (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 42.0 \dagger \dagger \dagger \\ & (4.4) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.4 \\ (3.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Multiple adults without children (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ (0.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Single adult with children (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 38.2 \\ (3.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.1 \\ (3.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Multiple adults with children (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 13.3 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.5 \\ (3.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Child only (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ (0.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| All adults elderly (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 11.7^{* * *} \\ & (1.8) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.8 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| All adults with disabilities (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 37.8^{* * *} \\ & (4.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| One-person household, not elderly, without disabilities, not child-only (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 8.6^{* * *} \\ & (1.2) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.4 \\ (2.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {b }}$ | 1865 | 479 |

a Household characteristics as of the beginning of the certification period that ended in June 2000.
b Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 2 to 594 depending upon the item.
***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
${ }^{* *}, \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
Numbers without asterisks $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) or daggers ( $\dagger$ ) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

Table B.44—Level of need of food stamp households, by FSP status

| Level of need ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Food stamp case status |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Continued in FSP | Left FSP |
| Economic characteristics of household |  |  |
| Earnings in previous month (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 26.3^{* * *} \\ & (2.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.2 \\ (2.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean earnings of those with positive earnings | $\begin{gathered} \$ 791 * * * \\ (\$ 37) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,004 \\ (\$ 58) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unearned income (\% receiving) ${ }^{b}$ Cash assistance | $\begin{gathered} 23.0^{*} \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.1 \\ (2.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Social Security/SSI | $\begin{aligned} & 44.2^{\star * *} \\ & (2.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.3 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other | $\begin{aligned} & 23.5 \\ & (2.4) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.3 \\ & (3.4) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Percent of poverty (\%) |  |  |
| Less than 100\% | $\begin{aligned} & 88.3^{* * *} \\ & (1.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77.8 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| 100\% and greater | $\begin{aligned} & 11.7^{* * *} \\ & (1.7) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.2 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Assets |  |  |
| Bank accounts (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 31.7 \\ (3.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.7 \\ (3.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other financial assets (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2.9^{*} \\ (1.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean amount in accounts | $\begin{gathered} \$ 88 \\ (\$ 24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 133 \\ & (\$ 38) \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean (non-zero) amount in accounts | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 347 \\ & (\$ 84) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 468 \\ (\$ 121) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {c }}$ | 1865 | 479 |

${ }^{* * *}, \dagger \dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
**, $\dagger \dagger$ Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
*, $\dagger \quad$ Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category ( t -test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
Numbers without asterisks $\left(^{*}\right)$ or daggers ( $\dagger$ ) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

Table B.45-Characteristics of food stamp households, by status

| Characteristics ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Food stamp case status |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-recertification monthcontinue | Non-recertification monthclose | Recertification monthcontinue | Recertification monthclose |
| Demographic characteristics of household head |  |  |  |  |
| Female (\%) | 77.4 | 77.0 | 77.6 | 82.1 |
|  | (2.6) | (4.5) | (3.4) | (2.6) |
| Age (mean years) | 42.1 | 36.9 | 39.9* | 34.8*** |
|  | (0.8) | (1.6) | (0.8) | (1.0) |
| Black (\%) | 25.1 | 18.5 | 27.2 | 28.3 |
|  | (4.4) | (5.4) | (4.8) | (5.5) |
| Hispanic (\%) | 13.5 | 29.4*** | 15.4 | 17.0 |
|  | (2.5) | (5.4) | (2.9) | (4.1) |
| Non-English speaker (\%) | 7.3 | 8.4 | 9.0* | 5.2 |
|  | (1.6) | (2.6) | (2.2) | (1.7) |
| Non-U.S. citizen (\%) | 4.9 | 6.3 | 6.6* | 2.3** |
|  | (1.1) | (1.6) | (1.8) | (0.9) |
| Household composition |  |  |  |  |
| One-person household (\%) | 43.1 | $31.2 \dagger \dagger$ | $31.7 \dagger \dagger \dagger$ | $33.4 \dagger \dagger \dagger$ |
|  | (4.5) | (5.0) | (3.9) | (4.3) |
| Multiple adults without children (\%) | 5.3 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 4.7 |
|  | (0.9) | (2.1) | (1.0) | (2.1) |
| Single adult with children (\%) | 37.5 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 34.3 |
|  | (3.1) | (5.2) | (3.1) | (3.8) |
| Multiple adults with children (\%) | 12.9 | 19.8 | 17.1 | 26.8 |
|  | (1.3) | (3.9) | (2.1) | (4.5) |
| Child only (\%) | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
|  | (0.5) | (0.6) | (0.5) | (0.5 |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| All adults elderly (\%) | 12.1 | 4.2*** | 8.6*** | 3.3*** |
|  | (1.9) | (1.5) | (1.6) | (1.3) |
| All adults with disabilities (\%) | 39.0 | 12.0*** | 27.1*** | 11.8*** |
|  | (4.1) | (3.0) | (3.1) | (2.8) |
| Unweighted N | 1865 | 215 | 753 | 264 |
| a Household characteristics as of the beginning of the certification period that ended in June 2000. <br> b Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 2 to 355 depending upon the item. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ***, $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Compared to non-recertification month continuation cases, statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively. |  |  |  |  |
| **, $\dagger \dagger$ Compared to non-recertification relative to the excluded category | n cases, statist <br> ll listed catego | ly significant <br> (chi-squared | fference at the st), respectivel | percent level |
| *, $\dagger \quad$ Compared to non-recertification relative to the excluded category | cases, statistic ll listed catego | ly significant <br> (chi-squared | fference at the <br> st), respectively | percent level |
| Numbers without asterisks $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ or daggers $(\dagger)$ are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories. |  |  |  |  |
| Standard errors shown in parentheses. |  |  |  |  |
| Totals may not add to 100.0\% due to rounding. |  |  |  |  |

Table B. 46 -Level of need of food stamp households due for recertification, by status

| Level of need ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Food stamp case status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Continued in FSP | Closed due to incomplete recertification requirements ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Closed due to circumstantial ineligibility ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Economic characteristics of household |  |  |  |
| Earnings in previous month (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 35.0 \dagger \\ (3.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.9 \\ (6.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.7 \\ & (7.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean earnings of those with positive earnings | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 803 \dagger \dagger \dagger \\ & (\$ 38) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 930 \\ (\$ 94) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,362 \\ (\$ 109) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Unearned income (\% receiving) ${ }^{d}$ Cash assistance | $\begin{gathered} 24.3 \\ (2.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.4 \\ (4.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.1 \\ (7.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Social Security/SSI | $\begin{gathered} 34.7 \dagger \dagger \\ (2.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.6 \\ (3.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.1 \\ (5.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other | $\begin{gathered} 25.8 \dagger \\ (3.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.1 \\ (3.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.6 \\ (5.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Percent of poverty (\%) |  |  |  |
| Less than 100\% | $\begin{aligned} & 91.0 \dagger \dagger \dagger \\ & (1.3) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78.8 \\ (4.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64.6 \\ (8.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| 100\% and greater | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0 \dagger \dagger \dagger \\ & (1.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.2 \\ (4.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.4 \\ (8.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Assets |  |  |  |
| Bank accounts (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 29.7 \\ (3.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.1 \\ & (5.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.2 \\ (7.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other financial assets (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ (1.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean amount in accounts | $\begin{gathered} \$ 83 \\ (\$ 45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 107 \\ & (\$ 47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 114 \\ & (\$ 30) \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean (non-zero) amount in accounts | $\begin{gathered} \$ 383 \\ (\$ 184) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 502 \\ (\$ 168) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 378 \\ & (\$ 83) \end{aligned}$ |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{N}^{\text {e }}$ | 753 | 177 | 87 |

a Household characteristics as of the beginning of the certification period that ended in June 2000.
b Includes households identified in case records as having not completed recertification requirements or having voluntarily withdrawn their recertification.
c Includes households identified in case records as denied for eligibility-related reasons or for reasons other than not completing recertification requirements and voluntary withdrawal.
d Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
e Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 227 depending upon the item.
$\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Differences among the three groups of households statistically significant at the 1 percent level.
$\dagger \dagger$ Differences among the three groups of households statistically significant at the 5 percent level.
$\dagger$ Differences among the three groups of households statistically significant at the 10 percent level.
Numbers without daggers ( $\dagger$ ) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level.
Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

Table B.47-Characteristics of food stamp households due for recertification, by status

| Characteristics ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Food stamp case status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Continued in FSP | Closed due to incomplete recertification requirements ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Closed due to circumstantial ineligibility ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Demographic characteristics of household head |  |  |  |
| Female (\%) | 77.6 | 81.8 | 82.7 |
|  | (3.4) | (3.9) | (4.4) |
| Age (mean years) | 39.9††† | 33.6 | 37.3 |
|  | (0.8) | (1.1) | (2.4) |
| Black (\%) | 27.2 | 30.2 | 24.6 |
|  | (4.8) | (6.4) | (7.5) |
| Hispanic (\%) | $15.4 \dagger \dagger$ | 22.6 | 6.4 |
|  | (2.9) | (5.3) | (3.0) |
| Non-English speaker (\%) | 9.0†† | 3.8 | 8.0 |
|  | (2.2) | (1.5) | (3.8) |
| Non-U.S. citizen (\%) | $6.6 \dagger \dagger$ | 2.2 | 2.6 |
|  | (1.8) | (1.0) | (2.0) |
| Household composition |  |  |  |
| One-person household (\%) | $31.7 \dagger$ | 34.0 | 32.1 |
|  | (3.9) | (4.8) | (8.1) |
| Multiple adults without children (\%) | 5.6 | 6.4 | 1.2 |
|  | (1.0) | (3.2) | (1.0) |
| Single adult with children (\%) | 44.4 | 35.8 | 31.1 |
|  | (3.1) | (4.9) | (9.1) |
| Multiple adults with children (\%) | 17.1 | 23.0 | 34.5 |
|  | (2.1) | (4.7) | (8.7) |
| Child only (\%) | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
|  | (0.5) | (0.6) | (0.8) |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| All adults elderly (\%) | $8.6 \dagger \dagger$ | 3.9 | 2.2 |
|  | (1.6) | (1.7) | (1.9) |
| All adults with disabilities (\%) | 27.2††† | 13.6 | 8.3 |
|  | (3.1) | (3.2) | (4.9) |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{N}^{\text {d }}$ | 753 | 177 | 87 |

a Household characteristics as of the beginning of the certification period that ended in June 2000.
b Includes households identified in case records as having not completed recertification requirements or having voluntarily withdrawn their recertification.
c Includes households identified in case records as denied for eligibility-related reasons or for reasons other than not completing recertification requirements and voluntary withdrawal.
d Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 288 depending upon the item.
$\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Differences among the three groups of households statistically significant at the 1 percent level.
$\dagger \dagger$ Differences among the three groups of households statistically significant at the 5 percent level.
$\dagger$ Differences among the three groups of households statistically significant at the 10 percent level.
Numbers without daggers ( $\dagger$ ) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level.
Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

| Table B.48-Food security status households that did not complete the recertification process |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Food security status ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Percent |
| Food secure | 33.6 <br> $(7.1)$ |
| Food insecure without hunger | 39.4 <br> $(7.4)$ |
| Food insecure with hunger | 27.0 <br> $(6.3)$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |
| Unweighted $\boldsymbol{N}^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ | 71 |
| a The food security questions reference the year preceding the survey. |  |
| b Tabulations exclude the 11 households with missing data. |  |
| Standard errors shown in parentheses. |  |
| Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding. |  |

Table B.49-Status of recertification application for households that did not complete the recertification process

| Circumstance | Total sample | Survey sample | Excluding "not reported" |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total sample | Survey sample |
| No application filed | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 33.0 \\ & (5.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 63.3 \\ (7.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 44.5 \\ & (6.8) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 65.7 \\ (7.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Certification interview not completed | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0 \\ & (4.5) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.7 \\ & (5.8) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.9 \\ (6.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2 \\ (6.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Verification not completed | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ (2.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.1 \\ (5.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ (3.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.6 \\ (6.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Sanctioned | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.0 \\ (2.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ (0.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ (3.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.6 \\ (0.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Voluntary withdrawal | $\begin{array}{r} 7.9 \\ (3.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.6 \\ & (4.2) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ (0.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Other | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ (3.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.4 \\ (3.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.1 \\ (4.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.6 \\ (3.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Not reported | $\begin{gathered} 25.9 \\ (3.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.7 \\ (3.3) \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Unweighted N | 177 | 71 | 128 | 67 |

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.

| Stated reasons | Situation happened to respondent | Reason for not completing the certification process |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perceived ineligibility | $\begin{gathered} 33.8 \\ (10.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.9 \\ (8.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Due to income／assets | $\begin{aligned} & 33.8 \% \\ & (10.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.9 \% \\ & (8.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| Due to TANF diversion | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (一) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (一) \end{aligned}$ |
| Due to TANF ineligibility | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ |
| Due to receipt of TANF | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Difficulty of recertification process | $\begin{gathered} 25.1 \\ (8.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.9 \\ (5.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Confusion about process | $\begin{gathered} 24.5 \\ (8.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.3 \\ (5.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Getting to food stamp office | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ (2.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ (2.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Costs of recertification process | $\begin{gathered} 34.6 \\ (6.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.6 \\ (6.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Verification requirements too difficult | $\begin{gathered} 26.6 \\ (7.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.4 \\ (7.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Miss work | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ (6.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ (4.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Treated badly by office staff | $\begin{gathered} 5.7 \\ (3.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ (2.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Travel costs | $\begin{gathered} 3.6 \\ (2.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pay child／elder care to apply | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Costs of participation | $\begin{gathered} 17.8 \\ (6.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.0 \\ & (4.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| Frequent recertifications | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ (4.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.9 \\ (4.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Monthly reporting | $\begin{gathered} 5.9 \\ (3.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.7 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stigma | $\begin{gathered} 5.7 \\ (4.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (一) \end{aligned}$ |
| Job search requirements | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ |
| Child support／child immunization requirements | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (一) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & (一) \end{aligned}$ |


| Table B.50—Reasons apparently circumstantially eligible households did not complete the recertification process-Continued |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stated reasons | Situation occurred | Reason for not completing the application process |
| Situation improved | $\begin{gathered} 27.8 \\ (9.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.3 \\ (8.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Type of reason ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Only process-related reasons | $\begin{gathered} 29.7 \\ (9.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.9 \\ (7.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Only other reasons | $\begin{gathered} 23.2 \\ (7.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.0 \\ (8.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mix of process-related and other reasons | $\begin{gathered} 29.3 \\ (10.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.1 \\ (6.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| No reason reported | $\begin{gathered} 17.8 \\ (5.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.0 \\ (6.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Unweighted $N^{\text {b }}$ | 54 | 54 |

a "Other reasons" include: perceived ineligibility due to income/assets and situation improved. Process reasons include all other reasons listed in table.
b The four households that were apparently circumstantially ineligible were not included. The tabulations also exclude 13 households with missing data.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.
Totals may not add to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding.


