# Appendix B Supplementary Descriptive Tables

Table B.1—Awareness, perceived eligibility, and interest in applying to the FSP among potentially eligible nonparticipant households

	Percent
Ever heard of the FSP?	
Yes	96.4%
	(8.0)
No	3.6
	(0.8)
Total	100.0%
Perceived eligibility	
Eligible	43.2%
	(2.5)
Ineligible	35.0
	(2.4)
Unsure	18.2
	(2.0)
Never heard of FSP	3.6
	(0.8)
Total	100.0%
Would apply if certain of eligibility?	
Would apply	69.1
	(2.5)
Would not apply	27.1
	(2.4)
Unsure if would apply	3.9
	(0.8)
Total <sup>a</sup>	100.0%
Unweighted N	1220
Excludes the 51 households that never heard of the FSP.	
Standard errors shown in parentheses.	
Totals may not add to 100.0% due to rounding.	

Table B.2—Level of need of eligible nonparticipant households by perceived eligibility Don't believe **Believe** eligible for eligible for Level of need food stamps<sup>a</sup> food stamps Total Economic characteristics of household Earnings in previous month (%) 52.0% 46.8% 49.8% (2.9)(4.0)(2.2)\$1219 \$1130 Mean earnings of those with positive earnings \$1181 (\$58)(\$62)(\$41) Unearned income (% receiving)b Cash assistance 1.0 1.3 1.1 (0.9)(0.7)(0.6)Social Security/SSI 48.0 48.2 48.1 (3.2)(3.5)(2.2)Other 23.1 20.4 22.0 (2.6)(2.7)(1.8)Percent of poverty (%) Less than 50% 13.2††† 20.4 16.3 (1.9)(3.1)(1.5)50-less than 100% 23.6 35.8 29.0 (2.8)(3.8)(2.3)100-less than 130% 34.8 18.5 27.6 (3.5)(3.4)(3.0)Greater than 130% 28.5 25.3 27.1 (3.5)(3.5)(2.6)Assets Bank accounts (%) 73.8\*\* 62.2 68.7 (3.9)(2.3)(2.5)Other financial assets (%) 9.3 9.8 9.5 (2.7)(3.2)(2.3)\$804 Mean amount in accounts \$938 \$600 (\$132)(\$204)(\$128)Mean (non-zero) amount in accounts \$1078 \$675 \$915 (\$159)(\$228)(\$147)62.4 Any motor vehicles 66.2 56.9 (3.2)(5.2)(3.2)Food security status (%)<sup>c</sup> Food secure 60.9††† 47.9 55.2 (2.6)(3.8)(2.5)Food insecure without hunger 20.3 20.3 20.3 (2.2)(2.3)(1.8)Food insecure with hunger 18.8 31.9 24.5 (3.0)(3.2)(3.7)Total 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% Unweighted N<sup>d</sup> 707 513 1220

### Table B.2—Level of need of eligible nonparticipant households by perceived eligibility—Continued

- a Includes responses of "unsure," "don't know," and those who never heard of the FSP. This is consistent with the approach used in multivariate modeling.
- b Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
- c The food security questions reference the year preceding the survey.
- d Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 19 to 293 depending upon the item.
- \*\*\*, ††† Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*\*, †† Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*, † Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks (\*) or daggers (†) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Table B.3—Characteristics of eligible nonparticipant households by perceived eligibility Don't believe **Believe** eligible for eligible for food stamps<sup>a</sup> Characteristics Total food stamps Demographic characteristics of household head 72.9% 74.5% 73.6% Female (%) (2.8)(2.5)(1.9)Age (mean years) 49.3 47.1 48.3 (1.7)(1.6)(1.3)22.3\* 31.2 Black (%) 26.1 (5.2)(3.9)(4.0)Hispanic (%) 13.6 9.6 11.9 (2.7)(2.2)(2.2)Non-English speaker (%) 6.7 4.8 5.9 (1.6)(1.1)(1.1)Non-U.S. citizen (%) 4.2 5.8 5.1 (1.6)(1.2)(1.1)High school graduate (%) 63.8 61.8 62.9 (3.1)(4.4)(2.6)47.6\*\*\* Previous FSP recipient (%) 59.1 52.6 (3.8)(3.1)(2.9)Household composition One-person household (%) 39.3 46.7 42.5 (3.0)(3.6)(2.1)Multiple adults without children (%) 27.3 19.9 24.1 (2.4)(2.1)(1.6)Single adult with children (%) 10.7 12.6 11.5 (1.6)(1.8)(1.0)Multiple adults with children (%) 22.8 20.8 21.9 (2.2)(2.6)(1.6)100.0% Total 100.0% 100.0% All adults elderly (%) 26.8 25.2 26.1 (3.3)(3.0)(2.5)Any members with disabilities (%) 38.0 34.4 36.0 (3.0)(3.4)(1.8)Unweighted N<sup>b</sup> 707 513 1220

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

a Includes responses of "unsure," "don't know," and those who never heard of the FSP. This is consistent with the approach used in multivariate modeling.

b Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 129 depending upon the item.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.4—Perceptions of stigma associated with the FSP among nonparticipant households by perceived eligibility

Stigma ind	ex	Don't believe eligible for food stamps <sup>a</sup>	Believe eligible for food stamps	Total
0 No	stigma	64.5%	56.7%	61.1%
		(2.9)	(4.0)	(2.2)
1 Lo	w stigma	15.4	22.1	18.3
		(2.7)	(2.6)	(1.8)
2		10.0	12.3	11.0
		(1.7)	(2.2)	(1.4)
3		4.2	5.7	4.8
		(1.4)	(1.7)	(1.0)
4 Hi	gh stigma	5.9	3.2	4.8
		(1.5)	(1.3)	(1.2)
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean sti	gma	0.7	0.8	0.7
		(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Unweighte	d N <sup>b</sup>	707	513	1220

a Includes responses of "unsure," "don't know," and those who never heard of the FSP. This is consistent with the approach used in multivariate modeling.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

b Tabulations exclude 26 households with missing data.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.5—Reasons for perceived ineligibility<sup>a</sup>

	Previously received food stamps	Never received food stamps	Total
Stated reasons			
Earn too much money	60.5%*	49.1%	54.9%
	(4.0)	(4.9)	(3.0)
Told ineligible or previously denied	56.4***	23.4	40.2
	(5.5)	(3.6)	(3.5)
Employed	44.3	35.1	39.8
	(4.0)	(4.3)	(2.9)
Receive other government assistance	21.6	16.6	19.1
	(4.1)	(3.3)	(2.8)
Car is worth too much	16.0	14.0	15.0
	(3.4)	(3.5)	(3.1)
Have too much in savings	4.2***	19.8	11.9
•	(1.7)	(4.5)	(2.7)
Citizenship status	6.3	5.5	5.9
	(1.8)	(1.8)	(1.2)
Reached time limit on cash assistance	4.0**	0.4	2.2
	(1.5)	(0.3)	(8.0)
Received lump sum payment	2.3	1.6	2.0
	(1.2)	(0.8)	(0.7)
When told was ineligible			
Within the last month	1.4	10.0	4.0
	(0.9)	(6.5)	(2.2)
Within the last 6 months	34.2	16.5	28.8
	(5.9)	(8.6)	(5.2)
Within the last year	16.5	21.7	18.1
•	(3.5)	(8.3)	(3.5)
More than a year ago	47.1	49.0	47.7
· -	(6.3)	(6.5)	(4.9)
Don't know	0.8	2.8	1.4
	(0.6)	(1.7)	(0.7)
Unweighted N	351	303	654

a Includes only households that did not think they were eligible for food stamps or were unsure of their eligibility.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.6—Level of need of eligible nonparticipant households who do not believe they are eligible for food stamp benefits by reason for perceived ineligibility

Level of need	Perceived ineligible because reached time limit on cash assistance	Perceived ineligible for other reasons	Total
Economic characteristics of household			
Earnings in previous month (%)	93.0**	51.7	52.5
	(5.5)	(3.2)	(3.1)
Mean earnings of those with positive earnings	\$1,391	\$1,216	\$1,220
	(\$223)	(\$60)	(\$59)
Unearned income (% receiving) <sup>a</sup>			
Cash assistance	0.0	1.1	1.1
	(—)	(1.0)	(0.9)
Social Security/SSI	29.2	49.3	48.9
	(17.7)	(3.4)	(3.3)
Other	3.0**	23.9	23.5
	(2.5)	(2.8)	(2.7)
Percent of poverty (%)	· · ·	· · ·	· · ·
Less than 50%	20.9	11.7	11.9
	(12.9)	(1.8)	(1.8)
50-less than 100%	14.0	24.1	23.9
	(7.3)	(2.9)	(2.8)
100-less than 130%	35.9	36.5	36.5
	(24.3)	(3.6)	(3.5)
Greater than 130%	29.2	27.7	27.8
	(15.0)	(3.5)	(3.5)
Assets			
Bank accounts (%)	77.2	74.9	75.0
(11)	(10.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)
Other financial assets (%)	0.0*	9.8	9.6
,	(0.0)	(2.9)	(2.8)
Mean amount in accounts	\$349***	\$872	\$861
	(\$121)	(\$181)	(\$177)
Mean (non-zero) amount in accounts	\$503**	\$998	\$990
, ,	(\$82)	(\$205)	(\$200)
Any motor vehicles	90.3*	67.3	67.9
-	(5.8)	(3.6)	(3.5)
Food openity of the country of the	` '	, ,	\ -/
Food security status (%) <sup>b</sup> Food secure	AE E	64.0	CO 7
i oou secule	45.5 (10.5)	61.0	60.7
Food incoours without hunger	(19.5)	(2.7)	(2.7)
Food insecure without hunger	45.8 (10.0)	20.3	20.8
Food incoours with hunger	(19.0)	(2.4)	(2.4)
Food insecure with hunger	8.7	18.7	18.5
	(4.2)	(3.4)	(3.3)
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted N <sup>c</sup>	21	633	654
			—Continu

### Table B.6—Level of need of eligible nonparticipant households by perceived eligibility—Continued

- a Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
- b The food security questions reference the year preceding the survey.
- c Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 168 depending upon the item.
- \*\*\*, ††† Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*\*, †† Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*, † Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks (\*) or daggers (†) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Table B.7—Characteristics of eligible nonparticipant households who do not believe they are eligible for food stamp benefits by reason for perceived ineligibility

	Perceived ineligible because reached time limit on cash	Perceived ineligible for	
Characteristics	assistance	other reasons	Total
Demographic characteristics of household head			
Female (%)	94.1*	73.4	73.8
	(5.6)	(2.8)	(2.8)
Age (mean years)	41.0	49.5	49.3
	(6.0)	(1.6)	(1.6)
Black (%)	63.7	21.6	22.5
	(18.4)	(4.2)	(4.1)
Hispanic (%)	10.1	13.4	13.3
	(6.7)	(3.1)	(3.0)
Non-English speaker (%)	6.3	4.9	4.9
	(4.8)	(1.4)	(1.4)
Non-U.S. citizen (%)	7.7	3.9	3.9
,	(6.3)	(1.2)	(1.2)
High school graduate (%)	48.8	64.9	64.6
	(19.6)	(3.0)	(3.0)
Previous FSP recipient (%)	92.0**	49.8	50.8
. ,	(5.6)	(4.0)	(3.9)
Household composition			
One-person household (%)	0.0††	40.3	39.4
one percent neaconcia (70)	(—)	(3.3)	(3.3)
Multiple adults without children (%)	0.0	27.9	27.3
manipro dadno minodi erindren (70)	(—)	(2.6)	(2.6)
Single adult with children (%)	27.3	10.9	11.3
on greature management (74)	(16.3)	(1.5)	(1.7)
Multiple adults with children (%)	72.7	20.9	22.1
manapie addite mai emarem (70)	(16.3)	(2.4)	(2.3)
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	-		
All adults elderly (%)	0.0***	26.4	25.8
	(—)	(3.3)	(3.2)
Any members with disabilities (%)	10.7*	35.5	35.0
	(6.7)	(3.0)	(3.1)
Unweighted N <sup>a</sup>	21	633	654

a Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 61 depending upon the item.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.8—Level of need of eligible nonparticipant households who do not believe they are eligible for food stamp benefits by reason for perceived ineligibility

Level of need	Perceived ineligible because received lump sum payment	Perceived ineligible for other reasons	Total
Economic characteristics of household			
Earnings in previous month (%)	91.6**	51.7	52.5
_ago p. oouoo (/o/	(4.2)	(3.2)	(3.1)
Mean earnings of those with positive earnings	\$1,529	\$1,213	\$1,220
	(\$329)	(\$60)	(\$59)
Unearned income (% receiving) <sup>a</sup>			
Cash assistance	0.9	1.1	1.1
	(1.0)	(1.0)	(0.9)
Social Security/SSI	29.6	49.3	48.9
	(15.4)	(3.4)	(3.3)
Other	3.6**	23.9	23.5
	(2.7)	(2.8)	(2.7)
Percent of poverty (%)			
Less than 50%	18.9	11.8	11.9
	(13.1)	(1.8)	(1.8)
50-less than 100%	12.8	24.1	23.9
	(5.2)	(2.9)	(2.8)
100-less than 130%	37.5	36.5	36.5
	(22.3)	(3.5)	(3.5)
Greater than 130%	30.8	27.7	27.8
	(13.2)	(3.5)	(3.5)
Assets			
Bank accounts (%)	53.9	75.3	75.0
,	(10.7)	(2.4)	(2.4)
Other financial assets (%)	0.0*	9.8	9.6
,	(0.0)	(2.9)	(2.8)
Mean amount in accounts	\$592	\$865	\$861
	(\$78)	(\$181)	(\$177)
Mean (non-zero) amount in accounts	\$658	\$995	\$990
•	(\$90)	(\$204)	(\$200)
Any motor vehicles	80.0	67.6	67.9
	(11.6)	(3.5)	(3.5)
Food security status (%) <sup>b</sup>			
Food secure	25.5	61.3	60.7
1 000 000010	(9.5)	(2.7)	(2.7)
Food insecure without hunger	72.0	19.9	20.8
. 334 moddio Willout Hallyol	(9.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)
Food insecure with hunger	2.5	18.8	18.5
. Joa moddio with hangor	(2.0)	(3.3)	(3.3)
Total	<del></del>		
i Otai	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted N <sup>c</sup>	19	635	654
			—Continu

# Table B.8—Level of need of eligible nonparticipant households who do not believe they are eligible for food stamp benefits by reason for perceived ineligibility—Continued

- a Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
- b The food security questions reference the year preceding the survey.
- c Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 168 depending upon the item.
- \*\*\*, ††† Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*\*, †† Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*, † Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks (\*) or daggers (†) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Table B.9—Characteristics of eligible nonparticipant households who do not believe they are eligible for food stamp benefits by reason for perceived ineligibility

Characteristics	Perceived ineligible because received lump sum payment	Perceived ineligible for other reasons	Total
Demographic characteristics of household head			
Female (%)	72.5	73.8	73.8
	(18.1)	(2.8)	(2.8)
Age (mean years)	45.5	49.4	49.3
	(3.9)	(1.6)	(1.6)
Black (%)	37.9	22.2	22.5
	(5.8)	(4.2)	(4.1)
Hispanic (%)	17.2	13.2	13.3
	(10.5)	(3.0)	(3.0)
Non-English speaker (%)	2.8	4.9	4.9
	(1.8)	(1.4)	(1.4)
Non-U.S. citizen (%)	5.3	3.9	3.9
	(2.8)	(1.2)	(1.2)
High school graduate (%)	62.8	64.7	64.6
	(14.1)	(2.9)	(3.0)
Previous FSP recipient (%)	60.0	50.6	50.8
	(11.6)	(4.0)	(3.9)
Household composition			
One-person household (%)	0.0††	40.2	39.4
One percent neadericia (70)	(—)	(3.3)	(3.3)
Multiple adults without children (%)	0.0	27.8	27.3
manapio addito minode official off (70)	( <del></del> )	(2.7)	(2.6)
Single adult with children (%)	13.6	11.2	11.3
J. 19.0 J. 10.0 J. 10.	(10.1)	(1.8)	(1.7)
Multiple adults with children (%)	86.4	20.8	22.1
	(10.1)	(2.3)	(2.3)
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
All adults elderly (%)	2.2**	26.3	25.8
	(2.3)	(3.3)	(3.2)
Any members with disabilities (%)	36.7	35.0	35.0
	(17.3)	(3.1)	(3.1)
Unweighted N <sup>a</sup>	19	635	654

a Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 61 depending upon the item.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.10—Level of need of eligible nonparticipant households by whether would apply for benefits if knew eligible

Level of need	Would not apply if eligible <sup>a</sup>	Would apply if eligible	Total
Level of fieed	eligible	eligible	TOTAL
Economic characteristics of household			
Earnings in previous month (%)	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%
	(4.3)	(2.7)	(2.3)
Mean earnings of those with positive earnings	\$1122	\$1204	\$1180
	(\$85)	(\$59)	(\$42)
Unearned income (% receiving) <sup>b</sup>			
Cash assistance	0.2	1.6	1.2
	(0.1)	(0.9)	(0.6)
Social Security/SSI	46.0	49.8	48.6
	(4.3)	(2.4)	(2.3)
Other	25.0	20.8	22.1
	(3.6)	(2.1)	(1.9)
Percent of poverty (%)			
Less than 50%	19.4	14.3	15.7
	(4.0)	(1.6)	(1.6)
50-less than 100%	31.3	28.7	29.4
	(4.8)	(2.7)	(2.4)
100-less than 130%	23.9	30.0	28.3
	(5.0)	(3.4)	(3.0)
Greater than 130%	25.4	27.0	26.6
	(4.7)	(3.2)	(2.6)
Total			
Assets			
Bank accounts (%)	80.2**	64.4	69.1
(1)	(2.6)	(3.3)	(2.3)
Other financial assets (%)	12.6	8.5	9.7
· /	(3.8)	(2.2)	(2.3)
Mean amount in accounts	\$737	\$763	\$755
	(\$122)	(\$156)	(\$116)
Mean (non-zero) amount in accounts	\$832	\$874	\$859
,	(\$130)	(\$181)	(\$130)
Food coourity status (9/1°		• •	· · · · ·
Food security status (%) <sup>c</sup>	70 2444	48.2	54.9
Food secure	70.3†††		
Food incours without hunger	(4.7)	(2.2)	(2.4)
Food insecure without hunger	16.9	22.2	20.5
Food income with homes:	(3.4)	(2.3)	(1.9)
Food insecure with hunger	12.9	29.7	24.6
	(3.1)	(3.5)	(3.0)
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted N <sup>d</sup>	333	836	1169

# Table B.10—Level of need of eligible nonparticipant households by whether would apply for benefits if knew eligible—Continued

- a Includes responses of "don't know."
- b Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
- c The food security questions reference the year preceding the survey.
- d The 51 households that never heard of the FSP were not asked whether they would apply. Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 17 to 273 depending upon the item.
- \*\*\*, ††† Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*\*, †† Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*, † Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks (\*) or daggers (†) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Table B.11—Characteristics of eligible nonparticipant households by whether would apply for benefits if eligible

Characteristics	Would not apply if eligible <sup>a</sup>	Would apply if eligible	Total
Demographic characteristics of household head			
Female (%)	73.5%	74.5%	74.2%
. ,	(4.6)	(2.1)	(1.8)
Age (mean years)	50.2	47.4	48.3
	(1.7)	(1.4)	(1.2)
Black (%)	13.8***	31.9	26.4
	(2.6)	(5.8)	(4.1)
Hispanic (%)	8.5	13.0	11.6
	(2.8)	(2.6)	(2.2)
Non-English speaker (%)	3.4	5.5	4.9
	(1.2)	(1.3)	(1.1)
Non-U.S. citizen (%)	2.1*	4.9	4.0
	(1.1)	(1.1)	(0.9)
High school graduate (%)	70.3**	60.4	63.4
	(3.4)	(3.0)	(2.6)
Previous FSP recipient (%)	39.2***	61.4	54.6
	(4.4)	(2.8)	(2.9)
lousehold composition <sup>b</sup>			
One-person household (%)	50.2	39.2	42.6
	(4.0)	(2.8)	(2.3)
Multiple adults without children (%)	21.6	25.0	23.9
. ,	(3.3)	(2.0)	(1.7)
Single adult with children (%)	8.7	13.3	11.9
	(1.9)	(1.4)	(1.0)
Multiple adults with children (%)	19.5	22.5	21.6
	(2.8)	(2.0)	(1.6)
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
All adults elderly (%)	29.6	23.7	25.5
	(4.0)	(2.5)	(2.4)
Any members with disabilities (%)	27.0***	40.3	36.3
,	(3.8)	(2.3)	(1.8)
Inweighted N <sup>b</sup>	333	836	1169

# Table B.11—Characteristics of eligible nonparticipant households by whether would apply for benefits if eligible—Continued

- a Includes responses of "don't know."
- b The 51 households that never heard of the FSP were not asked if they would apply. Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 118, depending upon the item.
- \*\*\*, ††† Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*\*, †† Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*, † Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks (\*) or daggers (†) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Table B.12—Whether nonparticipant households would apply for food stamps by perceived eligibility

Whether would apply for benefits if knew eligible	Don't believe eligible for food stamps <sup>a</sup>	Believe eligible for food stamps	Total
Would apply	66.1%	72.7%	69.1%
	(3.4)	(3.6)	(2.5)
Would not apply	29.3	24.2	27.1
	(3.3)	(3.5)	(2.4)
Unsure if would apply	4.5	3.0	3.9
	(1.1)	(1.1)	(8.0)
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted N <sup>b</sup>	707	513	1220

a Includes responses of "unsure" and "don't know."

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

b Tabulations exclude the 51 households that never heard of the FSP and were not asked whether they would apply.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.13—Perceptions of stigma associated with the FSP among nonparticipant households by whether would apply for benefits if knew eligible

Stigma index	Would not apply if eligible <sup>a</sup>	Would apply if eligible	Total
0 No stigma	60.8%	61.3%	61.2%
	(4.6)	(2.8)	(2.1)
1 Low stigma	16.3	19.6	18.5
	(3.4)	(2.5)	(1.8)
2	7.9	12.4	11.0
	(1.8)	(1.7)	(1.4)
3	7.1	3.9	4.9
	(2.2)	(0.9)	(1.0)
4 High stigma	7.9	2.8	4.4
	(2.6)	(0.9)	(1.2)
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean stigma	0.9	0.7	0.7
	(0.1)	(0.0)	(0.0)
Unweighted N⁵	333	836	1169

a Includes responses of "don't know."

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

b The 51 households that never heard of the FSP were not asked if they would apply. Tabulations exclude 26 households with missing data.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.14—Reasons households would not apply for FSP benefits<sup>a</sup>

	Previously received food	Never received food	
Reason	stamps	stamps	Total
Personal reasons			_
Desire for personal independence	86.6%	94.4%	91.4%
	(5.1)	(2.2)	(2.4)
Stigma	40.7	46.9	44.5
	(7.2)	(5.5)	(5.0)
Desire for privacy	29.5	22.3	25.1
	(5.8)	(4.2)	(3.5)
Benefits too small	31.3*	14.0	20.7
	(6.2)	(4.2)	(3.2)
Related to office policies and practices			_
Costs of application process	69.0	60.0	63.5
	(7.7)	(4.2)	(3.5)
Previous bad experience	47.3***	9.3	24.1
	(5.5)	(3.6)	(3.3)
Costs of FSP participation	24.7	12.4	17.1
	(5.4)	(4.3)	(3.1)
Confusion about how to apply	3.2***	18.2	12.4
	(2.1)	(4.8)	(3.4)
Overall			_
Only personal reasons	14.9†	32.0	25.4
, .	(5.2)	(4.2)	(3.5)
Only reasons related to office policies	2.2	0.1	0.9
	(1.4)	(0.1)	(0.6)
Mix of personal and office policies	81.2	66.0	71.9
	(5.2)	(4.1)	(3.2)
No reason given	1.7	1.9	1.8
	(1.0)	(1.1)	(8.0)
Unweighted N	156	177	333

a Includes only households that reportedly would not apply for food stamp benefits even if they were certain of their eligibility

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.15—Outcome of application process  Outcome <sup>a</sup>	All applicants	Circumstantially eligible applicants
Approved	71.4%	82.5% (1.5)
Denied	28.6 (1.8)	17.5 (1.5)
Circumstantially ineligible	9.1 (1.4)	_
Did not complete the application process	11.8 (1.4)	12.0 (1.5)
No certification interview	3.5 (0.9)	3.1 (1.0)
Incomplete verification	6.4 (1.1)	6.9 (1.3)
Other	1.5 (0.5)	1.7 (0.5)
Reason not reported	0.3 (0.2)	0.3 (0.2)
Voluntary withdrawal <sup>b</sup>	2.0 (0.8)	1.4 (0.5)
Other	2.8 (0.7)	2.1 (0.5)
Don't know	2.9 (1.1)	2.0 (0.7)
Total	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted N	1064	910

a Outcome as reported in case records

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

 $b\ \ Household\ may\ have\ with drawn\ its\ application\ before\ or\ after\ completing\ all\ application\ requirements.$ 

Characteristic of Household Head	Eligible nonparticipants	Eligible applicants
Age		
Less than 20	0.4%††	4.0%
	(0.2)	(0.9)
20 to 49	55.3	79.9
	(3.2)	(1.5)
50 to 59	13.6	8.9
	(1.6)	(1.2)
60 and over	30.7	7.2
	(2.8)	(1.1)
Gender		
Male	26.4	25.4
Wate	(1.9)	(2.3)
Female	73.6	74.6
i Gindle	(1.9)	(2.3)
	(1.3)	(2.0)
Race/Ethnicity		
White (non-Hispanic)	52.8††	49.3
	(4.1)	(3.7)
Black (non-Hispanic)	26.1	29.8
	(4.0)	(4.2)
Hispanic	11.9	17.0
	(2.2)	(2.9)
Other (non-Hispanic)	9.2	3.9
	(1.4)	(1.2)
Primary language		
English	94.1	94.7
3 -	(1.1)	(1.1)
Spanish	4.5	4.1
-1	(1.0)	(1.0)
Other	1.3	1.2
	(0.7)	(0.5)
	. ,	, ,
Citizenship	04.0	04.0
U.S. citizen	94.9	94.8
Non-citizen	<u>(1.1)</u> 5.1	(1.3) 5.2
INOH-GILIZEH		
	(1.1)	(1.3)
Marital Status		
Married	33.1†††	24.4
	(3.1)	(2.9)
Divorced/separated	26.7	31.7
	(2.1)	(2.6)
Widowed	17.4	4.8
	(2.2)	(1.0)
Never married	22.8	39.1
	(3.2)	(3.4)
	( )	<u> </u>

#### Table B.16—Characteristics of eligible nonparticipant and applicant households—Continued

- a Tabulations exclude households with missing data ranging from 17 to 129 for the nonparticipants and 0-149 for the applicants.
- \*\*\*, ††† Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*\*, †† Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*, † Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks (\*) or daggers (†) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Table B.17—Household composition of eligible nonparticipant and applicant households

Household Composition	Eligible nonparticipants	Eligible applicants
One-person households	42.5%†††	37.2%
	(2.1)	(3.0)
Multiple adults without children	24.1	6.6
	(1.6)	(1.3)
Single adults with children	11.5	37.5
	(1.0)	(2.6)
Multiple adults with children	21.9	16.7
	(1.6)	(2.4)
Child-only households		2.0
		(0.5)
Total	100.0%	100.0%
All adults elderly	26.1***	4.8
•	(2.5)	(0.9)
Some members with disabilities	36.0***	24.9
	(1.8)	(2.2)
Unweighted N <sup>a</sup>	1220	910

a Tabulations exclude 9 applicant households with missing data.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.18—Economic characteristics of eligible nonparticipant and applicant households Eligible nonparticipants Eligible applicants **Earnings** Earnings in previous month (%) 49.8%\*\*\* 35.0% (2.2)(2.2)Mean household earnings of those with \$1181\*\*\* positive earnings \$746 (\$30)(\$41)Mean household earnings of all households \$529\*\*\* \$293 (\$37)(\$21)Unearned income—percent receiving<sup>a</sup> 1.1\*\*\* Cash assistance 8.4 (0.6)(1.3)SSI 19.9 21.3 (2.6)(2.2)24.6\*\*\* 14.7 Social Security (2.6)(2.3)Other unearned income 19.6 21.2 (1.8)(1.9)Total income—percent of poverty Less than 50% 16.3††† 57.0 (2.9)(1.5)50 to less than 100% 29.0 30.5 (2.3)(2.5)100 to less than 130% 27.6 8.9 (3.0)(1.6)Greater than 130% 27.1 2.5 (2.6)(0.9)Assets 68.7\*\*\* Bank accounts (%) 27.8 (2.3)(2.7)Other financial assets (%) 9.5\*\*\* 1.9 (0.6)(2.3)Mean amount in accounts \$804\*\*\* \$77 (\$132)(\$11) \$915\*\*\* \$271 Mean (non-zero) amount in accounts (\$147)(\$35)Unweighted N<sup>b</sup> 1220 910

### Table B.18—Economic characteristics of eligible nonparticipant and applicant households—Continued

- a Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
- b Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 19-286 for the eligible nonparticipants and 0-213 for the applicants...
- \*\*\*, ††† Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*\*, †† Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*, † Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks (\*) or daggers (†) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Table B.19—Level of need of circumstantially eligible applicants Did not Completed complete application application Level of need process process Total Economic characteristics of household Earnings in previous month (%) 32.2%\*\*\* 50.9% 35.2% (2.4)(5.7)(2.2)Mean earnings of those with positive earnings \$721 \$747 \$831 (\$36)(\$63)(\$30)Unearned income (% receiving)<sup>a</sup> Cash assistance 9.8\*\*\* 2.1 8.5 (1.4)(1.6)(1.3)Social Security/SSI 26.8 22.8 26.1 (2.2)(6.1)(2.4)Other 22.2 16.9 21.3 (2.4)(5.0)(1.9)Percent of poverty (%) Less than 100% 89.7\*\* 76.2 87.5 (1.8)(7.3)(2.2)10.3\*\* 100% and greater 23.8 12.5 (2.2)(1.8)(7.3)Assets Bank accounts (%) 29.1 24.3 28.2 (2.9)(2.8)(5.7)Other financial assets (%) 2.4\*\*\* 0.2 2.0 (0.7)(0.2)(0.6)\$85 \$78 Mean assets \$77 (\$39)(\$11)(\$11)Mean (non-zero) amount in accounts \$257 \$342 \$269 (\$34)(\$123)(\$33)Total 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% Unweighted Nb 748 887 139 Food security status (%)<sup>c</sup> Food secure 37.3 26.5 35.7 (2.8)(6.1)(2.6)Food insecure without hunger 33.4 31.9 31.7 (2.6)(6.2)(2.2)Food insecure with hunger 31.0 40.1 32.3 (2.5)(8.0)(2.6)Total 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% Unweighted N<sup>d</sup> 424 85 509

#### Table B.19—Level of need of circumstantially eligible applicants—Continued

- a Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
- b Tabulations exclude the 23 households whose application status could not be determined (and therefore total column differs from table B.20). Tabulations also exclude households with missing record abstraction data, ranging from 12 to 79 depending upon the item.
- c The food security questions reference the year preceding the survey.
- d Tabulations exclude the 14 households with missing survey data.
- \*\*\*, ††† Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*\*, †† Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*, † Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks (\*) or daggers (†) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Characteristics	Completed application process	Did not complete application process	Total
Demographic characteristics of household head			
Female (%)	74.4	75.7	74.6
	(2.3)	(4.2)	(2.3)
Age (mean years)	36.4	34.6	36.2
	(0.6)	(1.6)	(0.6)
Black (%)	27.6	40.1	29.7
, ,	(4.0)	(8.2)	(4.3)
Hispanic (%)	17.4	16.5	17.2
, ,	(3.3)	(4.1)	(2.9)
Non-English speaker (%)	5.8	3.4	5.4
	(1.2)	(1.5)	(1.1)
Non-U.S. citizen (%)	5.5	3.2	5.2
	(1.5)	(1.5)	(1.3)
High school graduate (%) <sup>a</sup>	59.2	69.4	60.7
	(3.0)	(10.2)	(2.9)
Household composition			
One-person household (%)	37.3	32.8	36.6
	(3.3)	(5.6)	(3.0)
Multiple adults without children (%)	6.0	9.5	6.5
(.,)	(1.1)	(4.9)	(1.3)
Single adult with children (%)	38.4	35.9	38.0
3 ( )	(2.6)	(5.1)	(2.6)
Multiple adults with children (%)	16.2	20.5	16.9
1	(2.8)	(4.0)	(2.4)
Child only (%)	2.1	1.3	2.0
,	(0.6)	(8.0)	(0.5)
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
All adults elderly (%)	5.4**	2.0	4.9
	(1.0)	(1.2)	(0.9)
All adults with disabilities (%)	17.0*	7.2	15.4
	(3.0)	(5.0)	(2.8)

#### Table B.20—Characteristics of circumstantially eligible applicant households—Continued

- a Survey data only (unweighted n = 509).
- b Tabulations exclude the 23 households whose application status could not be determined (and therefore total column differs from tables B.18 and B.19). Tabulations also exclude households with missing data ranging from 1 to 149 depending upon item.
- \*\*\*, ††† Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*\*, †† Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*, † Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks (\*) or daggers (†) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Table B.21—Circumstances precipitating Food Stamp Program application

	Reason Reported			Most Important Reason		
Circumstance	Completed application process (%)	Did not complete application process (%)	Total (%)	Completed application process (%)	Did not complete application process (%)	Total
Harder to make ends meet	86.7	89.7	87.1	31.1	29.5	30.9
	(1.7)	(4.2)	(1.5)	(3.4)	(6.5)	(3.2)
Loss of income	62.4	58.3	61.8	29.4	29.9	29.5
	(3.2)	(7.2)	(2.7)	(3.0)	(6.7)	(3.1)
Earned less	54.3	52.9	54.1	24.8	27.6	25.2
	(3.0)	(7.4)	(2.5)	(2.5)	(6.7)	(2.3)
Stopped working	36.8	30.2	35.8			
	(3.2)	(9.8)	(2.9)			
Worked fewer hours	12.0	20.0	13.1			
	(2.1)	(8.6)	(1.8)			
Wage rate reduced	6.4***	1.1	5.6			
-	(1.5)	(1.0)	(1.3)			
Lost unearned income	15.9	17.0	16.1	4.5**	0.0	3.8
	(2.9)	(9.3)	(3.7)	(1.7)	(—)	(1.4)
Lost TANF income	2.3	9.1	3.3			
	(0.9)	(8.9)	(1.4)			
Lost unemployment	2.7**	0.2	2.4			
compensation	(0.9)	(0.2)	(0.7)			
Lost SSI	2.1	3.1	2.3			
	(1.0)	(2.3)	(0.9)			
Lost child support	8.2	5.7	7.8			
	(2.3)	(2.8)	(2.1)			
Lost help from relative/friend	12.1	18.3	13.0	0.7	2.6	1.0
	(1.8)	(9.2)	(2.0)	(0.5)	(1.9)	(0.5)
Household member became sick/	22.8***	9.2	20.9	10.4*	4.1	9.5
lisabled	(3.0)	(3.5)	(2.5)	(1.7)	(2.6)	(1.5)
Contributing adult died	1.4	0.8	1.3	1.2	0.0	1.0
	(0.9)	(0.7)	(8.0)	(0.9)	(—)	(8.0)
Contributing adult left household	16.7	21.3	17.4	5.4	8.9	5.9
	(2.7)	(9.6)	(2.8)	(1.6)	(4.4)	(1.3)
Due to a marital breakup	10.2	17.6	11.3			
	(2.2)	(9.5)	(2.6)			
ncreased rent, mortgage, utilities	25.3	24.4	25.2	5.5	8.1	5.8
	(3.2)	(5.4)	(2.8)	(1.7)	(3.3)	(1.4)
Moved, released from institution	30.4	23.5	29.4	4.6	3.8	4.5
	(2.6)	(5.8)	(2.4)	(1.3)	(2.0)	(1.2)
Non-contributing household member	12.0	15.9	12.6	4.7	2.6	4.4
added	(1.8)	(9.2)	(2.5)	(1.5)	(1.6)	(1.4)
Newborn	5.8	11.3	6.6			-
	(1.7)	(8.9)	(1.4)			
Older child	2.2	0.7	1.9			
	(8.0)	(0.5)	(0.7)			
Adult	1.3	3.9	1.7			
	(0.7)	(1.7)	(0.7)			
Just learned about the FSP	12.3	16.9	13.0	2.3	9.3	3.3
	(1.7)	(6.8)	(1.6)	(8.0)	(6.3)	(1.0)

Table B.21—Circumstances precipitating Food Stamp Program application—Continued

	Reason Reported			Most Important Reason		
Circumstance	Completed application process (%)	Did not complete application process (%)	Total (%)	Completed application process (%)	Did not complete application process (%)	Total (%)
Other	4.7	6.2	4.9	2.8	2.7	2.8
	(1.2)	(3.6)	(1.2)	(1.0)	(1.7)	(0.9)
Not reported				2.4	1.3	2.3
				(0.6)	(1.0)	(0.6)
Total				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted N <sup>a</sup>	424	85	509	424	85	509

a Tabulations exclude the 5 households with missing data.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.22—Reasons for not completing the application process Reason for not completing the Situation application Stated reasons occurred process **Process-related** Difficulty of application process 28.7 20.6 (9.4)(8.7)Time to get benefits too long 13.3 7.9 (8.1)(6.7)Wait in the office too long 8.2 5.5 (5.0)(4.7)Application form 9.6 2.5 (3.9)(2.0)Getting to food stamp office 6.4 3.3 (3.9)(2.2)Confusion about process 5.6 5.6 (3.1)(3.1)Costs of application 17.5 11.9 (7.0)(6.2)Travel costs 8.0 2.4 (4.9)(1.9)6.7 4.9 Miss work (4.7)(4.6)Pay child/elder care to apply 4.6 8.6 (5.6)(4.6)14.2 9.5 Verification and anti-fraud requirements (7.4)(6.7)Acquiring documents 14.2 9.5 (7.4)(6.7)Third-party verification 0.9 0.9 (0.9)(0.9)0.9 Fingerprinting 0.9 (0.9)(0.9)Home visits 0.0 0.0 Costs of participation 6.4 1.8 (1.9) (5.0) Monthly reporting 0.9 0.9 (0.9)(0.9)Frequent recertifications 5.5 0.9 (4.7)(0.9)Stigma 0.0 0.0 Perceived ineligibility due to TANF diversion 1.4 1.4 (1.0)(1.0)Citizenship issues 0.0 0.0 —Continued

Stated reasons	Situation occurred	Reason for not completing the application process
Not process-related		
Perceived ineligibility due to income/assets	46.2 (11.1)	45.6 (11.1)
Benefits too small	5.3 (3.9)	3.1 (3.1)
FSP benefits	5.3 (3.9)	3.1 (3.1)
Bundled benefits	3.1 (3.1)	0.0
Situation changed and no longer needed benefits	25.8 (12.0)	25.8 (12.0)
Other	7.9 (6.7)	0.9 (0.9)
Type of reason <sup>a</sup>		
Only process-related reasons	11.2 (5.5)	9.8 (5.3)
Only not process-related reasons	48.9 (10.1)	52.8 (9.8)
Mix of process and not process-related reasons	23.2 (8.5)	16.5 (7.9)
No reason reported	16.7 (6.2)	21.0 (6.8)
Total	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted N	52	52

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Table B.23—Expected benefits and costs of FSP participation Did not Completed complete application application **Expected benefits** process process Total Expected FSP benefit \$50 or less 8.4%† 0.0% 7.3% (2.3)(1.9)(-)\$51-50 11.8 19.7 12.9 (1.8)(7.7)(1.9)\$101-150 15.5 18.1 18.6 (2.8)(5.9)(2.6)\$151-200 14.2 25.9 15.8 (2.5)(11.8)(3.1)\$201-300 15.5 5.0 14.0 (2.4)(2.6)(1.9)Over \$300 5.5 3.8 5.3 (2.9)(1.3)(1.4)Unsure 26.5 25.9 30.1 (4.0)(7.3)(3.9)100.0% 100.0% Total 100.0% Other applications filed Cash assistance (TANF, GA, SSI) 27.4 34.5 28.4 (3.4)(9.2)(3.1)Medical assistance (Medicaid, SCHIP) 59.0 52.0 58.0 (3.4)(7.0)(3.3)Other 8.8\*\* 2.0 7.9 (2.3)(2.7)(1.1)Stigma index No stigma 55.0%† 59.7% 55.5% (3.4)(9.3)(3.3)Low stigma 20.7 21.9 1 20.9 (3.2)(6.5)(3.0)2 14.1 8.2 13.5 (2.3)(2.2)(4.0)3 5.8 0.9 5.3 (1.2)(0.9)(1.2)4 High stigma 4.3 9.2 4.8 (1.8)(8.1)(1.7)100.0% 100.0% 100.0% Total 0.8 0.8 8.0 Mean stigma (0.1)(0.3)(0.1)Convenience 83.4 82.4 Location convenient (%) 76.5 (3.0)(6.9)(2.7)85.3\* 69.6 82.9 Office hours convenient (%) (3.0)(6.2)(2.3)Unweighted Na 424 85 509 —Continued

#### Table B.23—Expected benefits and costs of FSP participation—Continued

- a Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 3 to 46 depending upon the item.
- \*\*\*, ††† Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*\*, †† Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*, † Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks (\*) or daggers (†) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Table B.24—Satisfaction with FSP application process

Satisfaction	Completed application process	Did not complete application process	Total
Satisfied with receptionist	87.9	84.3	87.4
	(2.6)	(5.7)	(2.1)
Satisfaction with caseworker			
Satisfied with caseworker	87.1	77.7	86.1
	(3.1)	(7.5)	(2.7)
Dissatisfied with caseworker	12.9	22.3	13.9
	(3.1)	(7.5)	(2.7)
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Satisfied with overall application process	75.9%***	43.1%	71.1%
	(3.4)	(7.7)	(2.9)
Treatment at food stamp office compared to other			
government offices			
Treated better	21.4	11.0	19.8
	(2.1)	(5.7)	(1.9)
Treated same	61.6	54.4	60.5
	(3.1)	(8.0)	(2.7)
Treated worse	17.1*	34.6	19.6
	(3.0)	(8.3)	(2.7)
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted N <sup>a</sup>	424	85	509

a Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 3 to 46 depending upon the item.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.25—Costs of FSP application for households that completed the process

	Percent
Number of trips to FSP office (mean)	2.4
	(0.1)
Total travel time, all trips (mean hours)	2.2
	(0.2)
Total time at FSP office (mean hours)	3.9
	(0.2)
Number of additional trips to acquire documentation (mean)	1.2
	(0.1)
Number of additional trips for TANF or Medicaid (mean)	0.4
	(0.1)
Missed work to apply for benefits (%)	12.4
	(2.3)
Paid child/elder care to apply for benefits (%)	8.7
	(1.7)
Unweighted N <sup>a</sup>	424

a Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 10 depending upon the item. Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Table B.26—Food Stamp Program status of households receiving lump sum TANF diversion		
FSP status	Percent	
Not receiving food stamps at TANF diversion		
Did not apply for, or receive food stamps	15.0%	
	(9.7)	
Approved application	47.8	
	(10.0)	
Denied application <sup>a</sup>	10.1	
	(3.3)	
Receiving food stamps at TANF diversion		
Interim month, continued participating	6.2	
	(1.9)	
Recertification, approved	9.5	
	(6.3)	
Recertification, denied <sup>a</sup>	11.3	
	(10.7)	
Total	100.0%	
Unweighted N	99	

a Because households receiving TANF lump sum payments are categorically eligible for food stamps, all denials are assumed to occur because the household did not complete the required application or recertification process.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Characteristics	Currently receiving or approved for food stamps	Did not apply or application/ recertification was denied	Total
Demographic characteristics of household head			
Female (%)	96.7	93.1	95.4
	(2.4)	(6.1)	(3.7)
Age (mean years)	30.8	32.3	31.4
	(1.8)	(3.2)	(1.8)
Black (%)	35.0	42.3	37.6
	(6.2)	(22.7)	(9.2)
Hispanic (%)	14.4	11.7	13.4
	(7.2)	(6.8)	(6.8)
Non-English speaker (%)	11.5	10.2	11.1
	(7.1)	(6.6)	(6.3)
Non-U.S. citizen (%)	3.4	6.4	4.5
	(2.5)	(4.4)	(2.8)
High school graduate (%)	68.1	67.6	67.9
	(3.7)	(6.4)	(2.4)
Household composition			
Single adult with children <sup>a</sup> (%)	67.5	80.4	72.4
- , ,	(6.8)	(6.2)	(4.8)
Multiple adults with children (%)	32.5	19.6	27.6
· ·	(6.8)	(6.2)	(4.8)
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted N <sup>b</sup>	67	32	99

a Includes child-only households.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

b Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 2 depending upon the item.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.28—Level of need of households receiving lump sum TANF diversion Currently Did not apply receiving or or application/ approved for recertification Level of need food stamps was denied Total Economic characteristics of household Earnings in previous month (%) 49.8 49.1 49.5 (10.7)(9.0)(8.2)\$674\*\* Mean earnings of those with positive earnings \$1182 \$866 (\$125)(\$123)(\$113)Unearned income (% receiving)<sup>a</sup> 22.4 Cash assistance 14.1 28.0 (12.4)(15.2)(10.5)Social Security/SSI 17.5 21.8 20.0 (9.4)(4.8)(3.6)Other 26.6 34.3 31.2 (12.6)(5.1)(7.1)Percent of poverty (%) Less than 50% 44.7 24.6 37.6 (10.9)(7.0)(8.8)50-100% 46.4 38.4 43.6 (12.3)(11.9)(7.9)100-130% 1.1 36.1 13.5 (1.0)(15.0)(5.8)Greater than 130% 7.7 0.9 5.3 (1.2)(5.3)(4.1)Assets Bank accounts (%) 39.2 42.2 41.1 (15.7)(6.6)(8.6)Other financial assets (%) 0.0 0.4 0.3 (0.0)(0.5)(0.3)Mean amount in accounts \$51 \$96 \$71 (\$24)(\$65)(\$37)\$144 Mean (non-zero) amount in accounts \$130 \$157 (\$35)(\$56)(\$36)Total 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% Food security status (%)b 43.6 Food secure 46.3 38.8 (14.8)(7.9)(9.0)Food insecure without hunger 37.2 38.0 37.4 (12.1)(9.0)(10.1)Food insecure with hunger 16.5 23.3 19.0 (6.0)(16.6)(7.5)Total 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% Unweighted N<sup>c</sup> 67 32 99

—Continued

## Table B.28—Level of need of households receiving lump sum TANF diversion—Continued

- a Households can report more than one source of unearned income.
- b The food security questions reference the year preceding the survey.
- c Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 43 depending upon the item.
- \*\*\*, ††† Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*\*, †† Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*, † Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks (\*) or daggers (†) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Table B.29—Circumstances precipitating application for assistance of households receiving lump sum TANF diversion

	Reason reported		Most important reason			
Circumstance	Currently receiving or approved for food stamps (%)	Did not apply or application/ recertifica- tion was denied (%)	Total (%)	Currently receiving or approved for food stamps (%)	Did not apply or application/ recertifica- tion was denied (%)	Total (%)
Loss of income	71.5	70.5	71.1	33.1	37.5	34.6
	(5.3)	(6.8)	(5.0)	(6.6)	(4.7)	(5.1)
Harder to make ends meet	72.1	68.7	70.9	21.3	17.2	19.9
	(7.6)	(14.0)	(8.9)	(8.6)	(4.9)	(6.6)
Household member become sick/	25.4	30.8	27.3	15.3	22.7	17.8
disabled	(7.9)	(13.9)	(9.4)	(10.7)	(10.6)	(10.8)
Non-contributing household member	11.8	13.4	12.3	4.5	12.8	7.4
added	(3.6)	(5.5)	(3.0)	(2.8)	(5.4)	(3.0)
Moved, released from institution	25.3	27.6	26.1	8.5	0.2	5.7
	(5.2)	(6.2)	(4.0)	(6.6)	(0.2)	(5.0)
Increased expenses	30.1	33.9	31.4	7.2	8.0	5.0
	(8.3)	(5.6)	(4.6)	(6.5)	(1.0)	(4.8)
Contributing adult died/left household	4.8	4.1	4.6	2.7	4.1	3.2
	(3.8)	(4.3)	(2.9)	(2.9)	(4.3)	(2.6)
Just learned about the FSP	5.4	1.9	4.2	0.2	0.4	0.3
	(4.6)	(1.8)	(3.5)	(0.2)	(0.5)	(0.2)
Other	7.1	3.8	6.0	4.3	3.8	4.2
	(3.2)	(3.8)	(2.2)	(2.1)	(3.8)	(1.3)
Not reported	_	_	_	3.1	0.4	2.2
				(2.7)	(0.5)	(2.0)
Total				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted N	67	32	99	67	32	99

a Tabulations exclude the 3 households with missing data.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.30—Expected benefits and costs of FSP participation of households receiving lump sum TANF diversion

Expected benefits	Currently receiving or approved for food stamps	Did not apply or application/ recertification was denied	Total
Perceived eligible for FSP			
Yes		74.7	
		(2.8)	
No		20.6	
		(3.5)	
Unsure		4.7	
		(3.9)	
Total		100.0%	
Expected FSP benefit <sup>a</sup>			
\$50 or less	0.0†	0.7	0.2
	(0.0)	(0.7)	(0.2)
\$51-100	6.5	17.3	10.2
	(5.6)	(14.0)	(7.2)
\$101-150	9.2	30.6	16.6
	(3.1)	(4.3)	(3.7)
\$151-200	25.3	9.6	20.0
	(8.1)	(4.9)	(5.7)
\$201-300	11.3	13.6	12.1
	(4.4)	(6.1)	(3.8)
\$Over \$300	9.0	0.0	5.9
	(3.5)	(0.0)	(2.2)
Unsure	38.7	28.0	35.0
	(11.5)	(4.9)	(8.2)
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Stigma index			
0 No stigma	49.4	58.6	53.0
	(9.7)	(5.9)	(7.7)
1 Low stigma	28.7	21.7	25.9
	(9.8)	(2.7)	(5.6)
2	20.8	11.2	17.0
	(6.1)	(1.2)	(4.4)
3	0.3	0.0	0.2
	(0.3)	(0.0)	(0.2)
4 High stigma	0.9	8.4	3.8
	(0.7)	(9.4)	(2.9)
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean stigma	0.7	0.8	0.8
J	(0.1)	(0.3)	(2.9)
Unweighted N	67	32	99
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		—Contin

—Continued

## Table B.30—Expected benefits and costs of FSP participation of households receiving lump sum TANF diversion—Continued

- a Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 18.
- \*\*\*, ††† Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*\*, †† Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*, † Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks (\*) or daggers (†) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Table B.31—Reported TANF diversion among FSP applicants				
Type of TANF diversion	All households	Households with children		
Lump-sum payment	1.4	2.4		
	(0.5)	(0.8)		
Job search diversion	7.3 (1.5)	12.0 (2.5)		
Alternative resources	4.3	7.1		
	(1.5)	(2.3)		
Unweighted N	524	325		
Standard errors shown in parentheses.				

Table B.32—Status of food stamp application among households with children, by receipt of TANF diversion

	Lump St	ım Payment
FSP application status	Received	Did not receive
Approved	94.5	83.9
	(5.7)	(2.5)
Denied	5.5	16.1
	(5.7)	(2.5)
Did not complete application	0.0	11.2
	(—)	(3.3)
No certification interview	0.0	2.0
	(—)	(1.0)
Incomplete verification	0.0	6.3
	(—)	(2.1)
Other	0.0	2.3
	(—)	(1.2)
Don't know reason	0.0	0.6
	(—)	(0.6)
Voluntary withdrawal	0.0	2.7
	(—)	(2.0)
Other	5.5	1.0
	(5.7)	(0.4)
Don't know why denied	0.0	1.2
	(—)	(0.8)
Total	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted N	22	293

Job Search

SP application status	Subject to diversion	Not subject to diversion
Approved	90.6	83.4
	(5.2)	(2.8)
Denied	9.4	16.6
	(5.2)	(2.8)
Did not complete application	8.7	11.1
	(5.2)	(3.6)
No certification interview	0.1	2.2
	(0.1)	(1.1)
Incomplete verification	6.5	6.0
	(4.7)	(2.2)
Other	2.2	2.2
	(2.2)	(1.2)
Don't know reason	0.0	0.7
	(—)	(0.7)
Voluntary withdrawal	0.0	3.0
	(—)	(2.2)
Other	0.2	1.2
	(0.2)	(0.5)
Don't know why denied	0.5	1.3
	(0.5)	(0.9)
Total	100.0%	100.0%
Inweighted N	47	269

—Continued

Table B.32—Status of food stamp application among households with children, by receipt of TANF diversion—Continued

	Alternative Resources		
FSP application status	Subject to diversion	Not subject to diversion	
Approved	92.1	83.7	
	(5.4)	(2.6)	
Denied	7.9	16.3	
	(5.4)	(2.6)	
Did not complete application	7.9	11.1	
	(5.4)	(3.3)	
No certification interview	4.2	1.8	
	(4.4)	(1.0)	
Incomplete verification	3.7	6.3	
	(3.0)	(2.2)	
Other	0.0	2.4	
	(—)	(1.2)	
Don't know reason	0.0	0.6	
	(—)	(0.6)	
Voluntary withdrawal	0.0	2.8	
	(—)	(2.1)	
Other	0.0	1.2	
	(—)	(0.5)	
Don't know why denied	0.0	1.3	
	(—)	(0.9)	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	
Unweighted N	22	293	

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*,†</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.33—Characteristics of circumstantially eligible applicant households with children, by receipt of TANF lump sum diversion<sup>a</sup>

Characteristics	Received TANF lump sum diversion	Did not receive TANF lump sum diversion	Total
Household demographics			
Female	96.2	92.3	92.4
	(3.8)	(1.5)	(1.4)
Age	27.6	31.3	31.3
-	(2.4)	(0.7)	(0.7)
Black	10.5*	31.2	30.7
	(7.9)	(4.0)	(3.9)
Hispanic	36.5	15.9	16.4
·	(17.6)	(3.3)	(3.1)
Non-English speaking	19.4	7.1	7.4
	(13.0)	(1.6)	(1.5)
Non-citizen	19.4	5.8	6.1
	(13.0)	(1.9)	(1.8)
High school graduate	81.7	61.4	61.8
	(10.4)	(3.8)	(3.7)
Household composition			
One adult	0.0†	0.0	0.0
	· (—)	(—)	(—)
Multiple adults, no children	0.0	0.0	0.0
•	(—)	(—)	(—)
Single adult with children	70.8	67.2	67.3
<b>G</b>	(16.3)	(4.9)	(4.9)
Multiple adults with children	29.2	29.6	29.6
·	(16.3)	(4.8)	(4.8)
Child only	0.0	3.2	3.1
•	(—)	(1.0)	(1.0)
All adults elderly	0.0	2.0	1.9
•	(—)	(1.6)	(1.6)
All adults with disabilities	10.4	3.9	4.0
	(7.9)	(1.6)	(1.6)
Unweighted N	22	293	315

a Based on survey data only.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*,†</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.34—Characteristics of circumstantially eligible applicant households with children, by whether subject to job search diversion<sup>a</sup>

Characteristics	Subject to job search diversion	Not subject to job search diversion	Total
Household demographics			
Female	100.0***	91.4	92.4
	(—)	(1.8)	(1.4)
Age	31.4	31.1	31.3
	(1.1)	(0.8)	(0.7)
Black	49.0	27.9	30.7
	(12.8)	(4.1)	(3.9)
Hispanic	21.6	16.3	16.4
·	(8.1)	(3.5)	(3.1)
Non-English speaking	1.1***	8.0	7.4
	(0.9)	(1.6)	(1.5)
Non-citizen	0.4***	6.6	6.1
	(0.4)	(2.0)	(1.8)
High school graduate	71.8	61.0	61.8
5 5	(12.7)	(4.2)	(3.7)
Household composition			
One adult	0.0††	0.0	0.0
	(—)	(—)	(—)
Multiple adults, no children	0.0	0.0	0.0
,	(—)	(—)	(—)
Single adult with children	78.0	65.9	67.3
<b>G</b>	(8.3)	(4.9)	(4.9)
Multiple adults with children	22.0	30.6	29.6
•	(8.3)	(4.8)	(4.8)
Child only	0.0	3.5	3.1
•	(—)	(1.1)	(1.0)
All adults elderly	0.0	2.2	1.9
•	(—)	(1.8)	(1.6)
All adults with disabilities	8.8	3.4	4.0
	(5.3)	(1.6)	(1.6)
Unweighted N	47	268	315

a Based on survey data only.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.35—Characteristics of circumstantially eligible applicant households with children, by whether subject to alternative resources diversion<sup>a</sup>

Characteristics	Subject to alternative resources diversion	Not subject to alternative resources diversion	Total
Characteristics	aiversion	diversion	Iotai
Household demographics			
Female	100.0**	91.8	92.4
	<u> </u>	(1.6)	(1.4)
Age	33.8	31.0	31.3
	(2.9)	(0.7)	(0.7)
Black	32.3	30.4	30.7
	(15.2)	(3.9)	(3.9)
Hispanic	8.9	17.3	16.4
	(6.8)	(3.6)	(3.1)
Non-English speaking	4.2	7.2	7.4
	(4.4)	(1.6)	(1.5)
Non-citizen	4.2	6.2	6.1
	(4.4)	(1.9)	(1.8)
High school graduate	78.1	61.2	61.8
	(10.9)	(4.4)	(3.7)
Household composition			
One adult	0.0††	0.0	0.0
	(—)	(—)	(—)
Multiple adults, no children	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(—)	(—)	(—)
Single adult with children	85.0	66.2	67.3
	(9.2)	(4.6)	(4.9)
Multiple adults with children	15.0	30.5	29.6
	(9.2)	(4.6)	(4.8)
Child only	0.0	3.3	3.1
	(—)	(1.0)	(1.0)
All adults elderly	0.0	2.0	1.9
	(—)	(1.7)	(1.6)
All adults with disabilities	16.1	3.1	4.0
	(11.0)	(1.5)	(1.6)
Unweighted N	22	293	315

a Based on survey data only.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*,†</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.36—Level of need of circumstantially eligible applicant households with children, by receipt of TANF lump sum diversion<sup>a</sup>

Characteristics	Received TANF lump sum diversion	Did not receive TANF lump sum diversion	Total
Economic characteristics			
Earnings in previous month (%)	25.7	49.6	49.0
	(16.4)	(3.3)	(3.3)
Mean earnings of those with earnings	\$1,365**	\$865	\$871
	(\$236)	(\$49)	(\$48)
Unearned income <sup>b</sup>	-		
Cash assistance (%)	31.9	6.6	7.1
Casil assistance (70)	(16.8)	(2.0)	(1.9)
Social Security/SSI (%)	18.6	15.2	15.3
Gocial Geculity/Gol (70)	(11.4)	(3.5)	(3.4)
Other (%)	21.8	26.0	25.9
Other (70)	(14.9)	(4.3)	(4.2)
	( )	( )	(/
Percent of Poverty			
Less than 50% (%)	64.0	51.6	51.9
	(16.5)	(4.4)	(4.3)
50-100% (%)	17.2	30.0	29.7
	(9.8)	(3.6)	(3.5)
100-130% (%)	18.8	15.6	15.7
	(16.8)	(4.2)	(4.1)
>130% (%)	0.0	2.8	2.7
	(—)	(1.3)	(1.3)
Assets			
Any bank accounts (%)	5.3**	36.9	36.2
7 my barne about the (70)	(5.6)	(4.0)	(3.8)
Any other assets (%)	0.0	1.1	1.1
,	(—)	(0.6)	(0.6)
Mean assets	\$26	\$72	\$71
	(\$28)	(\$20)	(\$20)
Mean assets of those with assets	\$494***	\$190	\$191
	(\$21)	(\$51)	(\$49)
Unweighted N	22	293	315

a Based on survey data only.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

b Households can report more than one source of unearned income.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.37—Level of need of circumstantially eligible applicant households with children, by whether subject to job search diversion<sup>a</sup>

Characteristics	Subject to job search diversion	Not subject to job search diversion	Total
Economic characteristics			
Earnings in previous month (%)	24.5**	52.4	49.0
	(9.0)	(3.3)	(3.3)
Mean earnings of those with earnings	\$874.2 (\$57.9)	\$867.9 (\$50.1)	\$871 (\$48)
Unearned income <sup>b</sup>			
Cash assistance (%)	10.0	6.7	7.1
,	(4.5)	(2.0)	(1.9)
Social Security/SSI (%)	24.9	13.8	15.3
	(13.5)	(3.7)	(3.4)
Other (%)	42.9	23.7	25.9
	(14.0)	(3.3)	(4.2)
Percent of Poverty			
Less than 50% (%)	58.7	50.6	51.9
, ,	(13.1)	(4.7)	(4.3)
50-100% (%)	20.1	31.4	29.7
	(9.0)	(4.0)	(3.5)
100-130% (%)	18.4	15.3	15.7
	(13.7)	(4.4)	(4.1)
>130% (%)	2.8	2.7	2.7
	(2.9)	(1.4)	(1.3)
Assets			
Any bank accounts (%)	27.9	37.3	36.2
,, ca account (,c)	(9.6)	(4.3)	(3.8)
Any other assets (%)	0.0*	1.2	1.1
·	(—)	(0.7)	(0.6)
Mean assets	\$88	\$69	\$71
	(\$58)	(\$21)	(\$20)
Mean assets of those with assets	\$295	\$182	\$191
	(\$164)	(\$55)	(\$49)
Unweighted N	47	268	315

a Based on survey data only.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

b Households can report more than one source of unearned income.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.38—Level of need of circumstantially eligible applicant households with children, by whether subject to alternative resources diversion<sup>a</sup>

	Subject to alternative resources	Not subject to alternative resources	
Characteristics	diversion	diversion	Total
Economic characteristics			
Earnings in previous month (%)	68.9	47.8	49.0
Many completes of these with completes	(12.9)	(3.6)	(3.3)
Mean earnings of those with earnings	\$703** (\$51)	\$889 (\$52)	\$871 (\$48)
h	(ψΟ1)	(ψ02)	(ψ+Ο)
Unearned income <sup>b</sup>			
Cash assistance (%)	13.8	6.6	7.1
	(8.9)	(2.1)	(1.9)
Social Security/SSI (%)	9.1	15.2	15.3
	(6.4)	(3.5)	(3.4)
Other (%)	41.6	24.9	25.9
	(21.6)	(3.6)	(4.2)
Percent of Poverty			
Less than 50% (%)	28.0	53.0	51.9
	(11.5)	(4.2)	(4.3)
50-100% (%)	34.2	29.8	29.7
	(14.5)	(3.2)	(3.5)
100-130% (%)	33.1	14.6	15.7
()	(23.1)	(3.3)	(4.1)
>130% (%)	4.7	2.6	2.7
,	(5.0)	(1.3)	(1.3)
Assets			
Any bank accounts (%)	46.4	35.8	36.2
,	(24.2)	(4.2)	(3.8)
Any other assets (%)	0.0	1.1	1.1
,	(—)	(0.7)	(0.6)
Mean assets	\$33	\$74	\$71
	(\$33)	(\$21)	(\$20)
Mean assets of those with assets	\$68	\$202	\$191
	(\$92)	(\$51)	(\$49)
Unweighted N	22	293	315

a Based on survey data only.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

b Households can report more than one source of unearned income.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Status	Percent	Weighted N
Non-recertification month—continue	85.1%	6,356,227
	(0.6)	
Non-recertification month—close	2.4	180,178
	(0.3)	
Recertification month—continue	9.7	726,094
	(0.5)	
Recertification month—close	2.7	203,174
	(0.2)	
Total	100.0%	7,465,674 <sup>a</sup>
Unweighted N	1980	

a Active caseload estimates from study data. FNS administrative data indicate that 7,303,373 households received FSP benefits in June 2000.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Reason	Percent
Recertification month closures	
Denied for income, resources	34.7
Defined for informe, resources	(5.5)
Sanctioned	2.0
	(1.8)
Voluntary withdrawal	5.1
	(2.1)
Certification process not completed	39.3
No application filed	<u>(4.3)</u> 19.2
No application filed	(3.5)
Certification interview not completed	9.1
Continuation interview not completed	(3.4)
Verification not completed	4.8
·	(1.8)
Other/Not recorded	6.3
	(2.4)
Other or not recorded	18.8
	(3.3)
Total	100.0%
Unweighted N	264
Interim month closures	
Denied for income, resources	28.7
	_ (4.4)
Sanctioned	20.1
	(4.1)
Noncompliance with income reporting	14.5
Nancompliance with CCD COT	(3.7)
Noncompliance with FSP E&T	2.1 (1.0)
Noncompliance with TANF E&T	2.0
Troncompliance man fruit Ear	(1.4)
Intentional program violation	1.5
·	(8.0)
Voluntary termination/moved	15.1
	(3.9)
Voluntary termination	10.1
Mayord	(3.3)
Moved	5.0 (1.7)
Other	36.1
Outo	(5.8)
Total	100.0%
Unweighted N	215
onnoighted it	—Continu

## Table B.40—Reasons for closure in recertification and interim months<sup>a</sup>—Continued

- a Excludes the 26 households with missing data.
- \*\*\*, ††† Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*\*, †† Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.
- \*, † Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Numbers without asterisks (\*) or daggers (†) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category or across all listed categories.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Table B.41—Characteristics of food stamp households: Survey sample vs. Abstract data only sample

Characteristics <sup>a</sup>	Survey sample	Abstract data only sample	Total
Demographic characteristics of household head			
Female (%)	81.7	80.2	81.1
	(5.7)	(5.9)	(4.3)
Age (mean years)	34.5	33.5	34.1
	(1.6)	(1.5)	(1.0)
Black (%)	33.8	27.9	32.4
	(7.0)	(9.8)	(5.5)
Hispanic (%)	4.9***	45.2	14.6
	(2.2)	(9.3)	(3.1)
Non-English speaker (%)	3.2	4.3	3.6
	(1.8)	(2.1)	(1.5)
Non-U.S. citizen (%)	2.7	1.8	2.4
	(1.7)	(0.9)	(1.3)
Household composition			
One-person household (%)	38.7	33.0	36.5
(,	(7.8)	(5.8)	(5.7)
Multiple adults without children (%)	0.4	9.8	4.0
. ,	(0.4)	(4.8)	(2.1)
Single adult with children (%)	30.2	35.9	32.4
. ,	(7.3)	(8.6)	(4.8)
Multiple adults with children (%)	30.6	20.0	26.6
. ,	(7.3)	(6.7)	(5.5)
Child only (%)	0.0	1.3	0.5
	(—)	(1.0)	(0.4)
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
All adults elderly (%)	2.4	4.7	3.3
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(1.7)	(2.5)	(1.4)
All adults with disabilities (%)	14.8	13.1	14.2
	(5.2)	(3.9)	(3.7)
Unweighted N <sup>b</sup>	71	106	177

a Household characteristics as of the beginning of the certification period that ended in June 2000.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

b Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 52 depending upon the item.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*,†</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.42—Characteristics of food stamp households: Survey sample vs. Abstract data only sample

Level of need <sup>a</sup>	Survey sample	Abstract data only sample	Total
Economic characteristics of household			
Earnings in previous month (%)	52.4	45.0	49.5
	(7.2)	(7.5)	(5.7)
Mean earnings of those with positive earnings	\$870	\$969	\$905
	(\$117)	(\$146)	(\$90)
Unearned income (% receiving) <sup>b</sup>			
Cash assistance	16.0	21.2	17.9
	(5.3)	(5.0)	(4.1)
Social Security/SSI	20.6	19.7	20.3
	(7.3)	(4.9)	(4.7)
Other	13.1	16.9	14.5
	(4.8)	(5.0)	(3.5)
Percent of poverty (%)			
Less than 100%	65.0***	88.7	74.1
	(7.5)	(2.8)	(5.0)
100% and greater	35.0***	11.3	25.9
•	(7.5)	(2.8)	(5.0)
Assets			
Bank accounts (%)	26.7	22.8	25.4
	(7.0)	(7.9)	(5.4)
Other financial assets (%)	1.0	1.9	1.3
	(1.0)	(1.9)	(0.9)
Mean amount in accounts	\$61	\$118	\$79
	(\$34)	(\$66)	(\$32)
Mean (non-zero) amount in accounts	\$251	\$790	\$373
	(\$118)	(\$161)	(\$135)
Unweighted N <sup>c</sup>	71	106	177

a Household characteristics as of the beginning of the certification period that ended in June 2000.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

b Households can report more than one source of unearned income.

b Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 2 to 33 depending upon the item.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.43—Characteristics of food stamp households, by FSP status

	Food stamp ca	ase status
Characteristics <sup>a</sup>	Continued in FSP	Left FSP
Demographic characteristics of household		
head		
Female (%)	77.4	79.7
	(2.7)	(2.9)
Age (mean years)	41.9***	35.8
_	(0.8)	(1.0)
Black (%)	25.3	23.8
	(4.4)	(4.3)
Hispanic (%)	13.7***	22.7
	(2.5)	(4.1)
Non-English speaker (%)	7.5	6.7
	(1.6)	(1.5)
Non-U.S. citizen (%)	5.1	4.2
	(1.2)	(0.8)
Household composition		
One-person household (%)	42.0†††	32.4
	(4.4)	(3.8)
Multiple adults without children (%)	5.3	4.1
(1.7)	(0.9)	(1.5)
Single adult with children (%)	38.2	39.1
	(3.1)	(3.3)
Multiple adults with children (%)	13.3	23.5
, , ,	(1.3)	(3.1)
Child only (%)	1.2	1.0
• ( )	(0.5)	(0.4)
	100.0%	100.0%
All adults elderly (%)	11.7***	3.8
7 iii dddidd diddify (70)	(1.8)	(1.0)
All adults with disabilities (%)	37.8***	11.9
dante mai disabilidos (70)	(4.0)	(1.8)
One-person household, not elderly, without	8.6***	17.4
disabilities, not child-only (%)	(1.2)	(2.4)
Unweighted N <sup>b</sup>	1865	479

a Household characteristics as of the beginning of the certification period that ended in June 2000.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

b Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 2 to 594 depending upon the item.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.44—Level of need of food stamp households, by FSP status

	Food stamp case status		
Level of need <sup>a</sup>	Continued in FSP	Left FSP	
Economic characteristics of household			
Earnings in previous month (%)	26.3***	45.2	
	(2.2)	(2.9)	
Mean earnings of those with positive earnings	\$791***	\$1,004	
	(\$37)	(\$58)	
Unearned income (% receiving) <sup>b</sup>			
Cash assistance	23.0*	18.1	
	(2.4)	(2.9)	
Social Security/SSI	44.2***	23.3	
·	(2.8)	(2.4)	
Other	23.5	23.3	
	(2.4)	(3.4)	
Percent of poverty (%)			
Less than 100%	88.3***	77.8	
	(1.7)	(2.4)	
100% and greater	11.7***	22.2	
	(1.7)	(2.4)	
Assets			
Bank accounts (%)	31.7	31.7	
	(3.4)	(3.3)	
Other financial assets (%)	2.9*	0.9	
	(1.1)	(0.6)	
Mean amount in accounts	\$88	\$133	
	(\$24)	(\$38)	
Mean (non-zero) amount in accounts	\$347	\$468	
. ,	(\$84)	(\$121)	
Unweighted N <sup>c</sup>	1865	479	

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.45—Characteristics of food stamp households, by status

	Food stamp case status			
Characteristics <sup>a</sup>	Non-recer- tification month— continue	Non-recer- tification month— close	Recertifica- tion month— continue	Recertifica- tion month— close
Demographic characteristics of household head				
Female (%)	77.4	77.0	77.6	82.1
Tomale (70)	(2.6)	(4.5)	(3.4)	(2.6)
Age (mean years)	42.1	36.9	39.9*	34.8***
rigo (moair yours)	(0.8)	(1.6)	(0.8)	(1.0)
Black (%)	25.1	18.5	27.2	28.3
	(4.4)	(5.4)	(4.8)	(5.5)
Hispanic (%)	13.5	29.4***	15.4	17.0
	(2.5)	(5.4)	(2.9)	(4.1)
Non-English speaker (%)	7.3	8.4	9.0*	5.2
3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	(1.6)	(2.6)	(2.2)	(1.7)
Non-U.S. citizen (%)	4.9	6.3	6.6*	2.3**
,	(1.1)	(1.6)	(1.8)	(0.9)
Household composition				
One-person household (%)	43.1	31.2††	31.7†††	33.4†††
, ,	(4.5)	(5.0)	(3.9)	(4.3)
Multiple adults without children (%)	5.3	3.5	5.6	4.7
. ,	(0.9)	(2.1)	(1.0)	(2.1)
Single adult with children (%)	37.5	44.4	44.4	34.3
· ,	(3.1)	(5.2)	(3.1)	(3.8)
Multiple adults with children (%)	12.9	19.8	17.1	26.8
	(1.3)	(3.9)	(2.1)	(4.5)
Child only (%)	1.2	1.1	1.2	0.9
	(0.5)	(0.6)	(0.5)	(0.5
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
All adults elderly (%)	12.1	4.2***	8.6***	3.3***
All addits elderly (70)	(1.9)	(1.5)	(1.6)	(1.3)
All adults with disabilities (%)	39.0	12.0***	27.1***	11.8***
All addits with disabilities (70)	(4.1)	(3.0)	(3.1)	(2.8)
Unweighted N	1865	215	753	264

a Household characteristics as of the beginning of the certification period that ended in June 2000.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

b Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 2 to 355 depending upon the item.

<sup>\*\*\*, †††</sup> Compared to non-recertification month continuation cases, statistically significant difference at the 1 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*\*, ††</sup> Compared to non-recertification month continuation cases, statistically significant difference at the 5 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

<sup>\*, †</sup> Compared to non-recertification month continuation cases, statistically significant difference at the 10 percent level relative to the excluded category (t-test) or across all listed categories (chi-squared test), respectively.

Table B.46—Level of need of food stamp households due for recertification, by status

	Food stamp case status			
Level of need <sup>a</sup>	Continued in FSP	Closed due to incomplete recertification requirements <sup>b</sup>	Closed due to circumstantial ineligibility <sup>c</sup>	
Economic characteristics of household				
Earnings in previous month (%)	35.0†	47.9	47.7	
	(3.1)	(6.0)	(7.7)	
Mean earnings of those with positive earnings	\$803†††	\$930	\$1,362	
	(\$38)	(\$94)	(\$109)	
Unearned income (% receiving) <sup>d</sup> Cash assistance	24.3 (2.8)	18.4 (4.1)	21.1 (7.0)	
Social Security/SSI	34.7††	19.6	30.1	
	(2.9)	(3.6)	(5.6)	
Other	25.8†	17.1	13.6	
	(3.0)	(3.7)	(5.0)	
Percent of poverty (%)				
Less than 100%	91.0†††	78.8	64.6	
	(1.3)	(4.4)	(8.7)	
100% and greater	9.0†††	21.2	35.4	
	(1.3)	(4.4)	(8.7)	
Assets				
Bank accounts (%)	29.7	26.1	33.2	
	(3.3)	(5.8)	(7.5)	
Other financial assets (%)	2.6	1.5	2.3	
	(0.9)	(1.1)	(2.4)	
Mean amount in accounts	\$83	\$107	\$114	
	(\$45)	(\$47)	(\$30)	
Mean (non-zero) amount in accounts	\$383	\$502	\$378	
	(\$184)	(\$168)	(\$83)	
Unweighted N <sup>e</sup>	753	177	87	

a Household characteristics as of the beginning of the certification period that ended in June 2000.

Numbers without daggers (†) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

b Includes households identified in case records as having not completed recertification requirements or having voluntarily withdrawn their recertification.

c Includes households identified in case records as denied for eligibility-related reasons or for reasons other than not completing recertification requirements and voluntary withdrawal.

d Households can report more than one source of unearned income.

e Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 227 depending upon the item.

<sup>†††</sup> Differences among the three groups of households statistically significant at the 1 percent level.

<sup>††</sup> Differences among the three groups of households statistically significant at the 5 percent level.

Differences among the three groups of households statistically significant at the 10 percent level.

Table B.47—Characteristics of food stamp households due for recertification, by status

	Fo	od stamp case sta	tus
Characteristics <sup>a</sup>	Continued in FSP	Closed due to incomplete recertification requirements <sup>b</sup>	Closed due to circumstantial ineligibility <sup>c</sup>
Demographic characteristics of household head			
Female (%)	77.6	81.8	82.7
	(3.4)	(3.9)	(4.4)
Age (mean years)	39.9†††	33.6	37.3
	(8.0)	(1.1)	(2.4)
Black (%)	27.2	30.2	24.6
	(4.8)	(6.4)	(7.5)
Hispanic (%)	15.4††	22.6	6.4
	(2.9)	(5.3)	(3.0)
Non-English speaker (%)	9.0††	3.8	8.0
	(2.2)	(1.5)	(3.8)
Non-U.S. citizen (%)	6.6††	2.2	2.6
	(1.8)	(1.0)	(2.0)
Household composition			
One-person household (%)	31.7†	34.0	32.1
	(3.9)	(4.8)	(8.1)
Multiple adults without children (%)	5.6	6.4	1.2
. ,	(1.0)	(3.2)	(1.0)
Single adult with children (%)	44.4	35.8	31.1
. ,	(3.1)	(4.9)	(9.1)
Multiple adults with children (%)	17.1	23.0	34.5
	(2.1)	(4.7)	(8.7)
Child only (%)	1.2	0.8	1.0
	(0.5)	(0.6)	(0.8)
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
All adults elderly (%)	8.6††	3.9	2.2
All 1 k	(1.6)	(1.7)	(1.9)
All adults with disabilities (%)	27.2†††	13.6	8.3
	(3.1)	(3.2)	(4.9)
Unweighted N <sup>d</sup>	753	177	87

a Household characteristics as of the beginning of the certification period that ended in June 2000.

Numbers without daggers (†) are not statistically significantly different at the 10 percent level.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

b Includes households identified in case records as having not completed recertification requirements or having voluntarily withdrawn their recertification.

c Includes households identified in case records as denied for eligibility-related reasons or for reasons other than not completing recertification requirements and voluntary withdrawal.

d Tabulations exclude households with missing data, ranging from 0 to 288 depending upon the item.

<sup>†††</sup> Differences among the three groups of households statistically significant at the 1 percent level.

<sup>††</sup> Differences among the three groups of households statistically significant at the 5 percent level.

<sup>†</sup> Differences among the three groups of households statistically significant at the 10 percent level.

Table B.48—Food security status households that did not complete the recertification process		
Food security status <sup>a</sup>	Percent	
Food secure	33.6	
Food insecure without hunger	<u>(7.1)</u> 39.4	
1 ood insecure without hunger	(7.4)	
Food insecure with hunger	27.0	
	(6.3)	
Total	100.0%	
Unweighted N <sup>b</sup>	71	

a The food security questions reference the year preceding the survey.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

Totals may not add to 100.0% due to rounding.

Table B.49—Status of recertification application for households that did not complete the recertification process

			Excluding "n	ot reported"
Circumstance	Total sample	Survey sample	Total sample	Survey sample
No application filed	33.0	63.3	44.5	65.7
	(5.4)	(7.7)	(6.8)	(7.4)
Certification interview not completed	14.0	12.7	18.9	13.2
	(4.5)	(5.8)	(6.4)	(6.0)
Verification not completed	8.0	14.1	10.7	14.6
	(2.8)	(5.8)	(3.9)	(6.1)
Sanctioned	3.0	0.6	4.1	0.6
	(2.7)	(0.4)	(3.6)	(0.4)
Voluntary withdrawal	7.9	0.2	10.6	0.2
	(3.2)	(8.0)	(4.2)	(0.2)
Other	8.2	5.4	11.1	5.6
	(3.6)	(3.6)	(4.5)	(3.7)
Not reported	25.9	3.7	_	<u> </u>
	(3.9)	(3.3)		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted N	177	71	128	67

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

b Tabulations exclude the 11 households with missing data.

Table B.50—Reasons apparently circumstantially eligible households did not complete the recertification process

Stated reasons	Situation happened to respondent	Reason for not completing the certification process
Perceived ineligibility	33.8	28.9
Due to income/assets	(10.2) 33.8%	<b>(8.5)</b> 28.9%
	(10.2)	(8.5)
Due to TANF diversion	0.0	0.0
	(—)	(—)
Due to TANF ineligibility	0.0	0.0
Due to receipt of TANE	(—)	(—)
Due to receipt of TANF	0.0 (—)	0.0 ( <del></del> )
Difficulty of recertification process	25.1	16.9
	(8.8)	(5.7)
Confusion about process	24.5	16.3
	(8.8)	(5.7)
Getting to food stamp office	4.2	3.1
	(2.7)	(2.5)
Costs of recertification process	34.6	34.6
	(6.1)	(6.1)
Verification requirements too difficult	26.6	23.4
	(7.3)	(7.5)
Miss work	11.6	6.5
Treated badly by office staff	(6.7) 5.7	(4.3) 4.6
Treated badily by office staff	(3.1)	(2.8)
Travel costs	3.6	0.0
	(2.7)	(—)
Pay child/elder care to apply	0.0	0.0
	(—)	(—)
Costs of participation	17.8	11.0
	(6.2)	(4.9)
Frequent recertifications	10.0	8.9
M. d.l. e	(4.8)	(4.8)
Monthly reporting	5.9	3.7
Stigma	(3.0)	(2.6) 0.0
Gugina	(4.2)	(—)
Job search requirements	0.0	0.0
•	(—)	(—)
Child support/child immunization requirements	0.0	0.0
	(—)	(—)

—Continued

Table B.50—Reasons apparently circumstantially eligible households did not complete the recertification process—Continued

Stated reasons	Situation occurred	Reason for not completing the application process	
Situation improved	27.8 (9.4)	18.3 (8.3)	
Type of reason <sup>a</sup>			
Only process-related reasons	29.7	23.9	
	(9.3)	(7.5)	
Only other reasons	23.2	24.0	
	(7.5)	(8.6)	
Mix of process-related and other reasons	29.3	14.1	
	(10.2)	(6.5)	
No reason reported	17.8	38.0	
·	(5.0)	(6.5)	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	
Unweighted N <sup>b</sup>	54	54	

a "Other reasons" include: perceived ineligibility due to income/assets and situation improved. Process reasons include all other reasons listed in table.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.

b The four households that were apparently circumstantially ineligible were not included. The tabulations also exclude 13 households with missing data.

Table B.51—Stigma, convenience, and satisfaction associated with FSP participation for eligible households that did not complete the recertification process

Stigm	na index	
0	No stigma	60.9
		(9.3)
1	Low stigma	13.2
		(5.6)
2		16.0
		(7.5)
3		5.6
		(4.0)
4	High stigma	4.3
		(4.1)
Mea	an stigma	0.8
		(0.2)
Conv	enience	
Location convenient (%)		76.5
	· <i>,</i>	(5.5)
Office hours convenient (%)		86.1
		(5.4)
Satist	faction	
Satisfied with FSP		59.0
		(8.6)
Tre	atment at food stamp office compared to other government offices	
	reated better	15.4
		(5.1)
Т	reated same	57.8
		(8.3)
Treated worse	reated worse	26.8
		(8.5)
llnwe	ighted N <sup>a</sup>	67

a The four households that were apparently circumstantially ineligible are not included. The tabulations also exclude households with missing data, ranging from 1 to 13 depending upon the item.

Standard errors shown in parentheses.