## APPENDIX C


#### Abstract

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES FOR ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECTS OF DIRECT CERTIFICATION ON RATES OF CERTIFICATION, PARTICIPATION, AND INELIGIBILITY AMONG CERTIFIED STUDENTS


TABLE C. 1
CHARACTERISTICS OF PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND STUDENTS
(Standard Errors in Parentheses)

|  | Among Districts |  |  | Among Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DC <br> Districts | Non-DC Districts | All Districts | In DC <br> Districts | In Non-DC Districts | In All Districts |
| Enrollment (Percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<=500$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ (2.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (3.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ (2.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| 501-1000 | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (1.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (1.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1001-2500 | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & (1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2501-5000 | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & (2.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| 5001-10000 | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (1.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & (2.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (1.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| 10001-25000 | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & (2.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (1.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| 25001-50000 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| $>=50000$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} <1 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (<0.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & (3.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Median | $\begin{aligned} & 1122 \\ & (84.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1102 \\ (117.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1096 \\ (65.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3381 * * * \\ (1402.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6798 \\ (683) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10543 \\ & (587.6) \end{aligned}$ |
| Certification Rates (Means) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free meals | $\begin{aligned} & 29.3 \\ & (0.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.7 \\ (1.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.5 \\ & (0.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.6 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.5 \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.2 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Reduced-price meals | $\begin{aligned} & 10.0^{* * *} \\ & (0.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.3 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.7 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.9 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 39.4 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.7 \\ & (1.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.7 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.6 \\ & (0.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.1 \\ & (1.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.1 \\ & (0.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| Participation Rates (Means) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Among all students | $\begin{aligned} & 62.4^{* *} \\ & (1.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.2 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.7 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.7 * * \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.4 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.0 \\ & (0.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| Among students certified for free meals | $\begin{aligned} & 78.0 \\ & (0.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76.2 \\ & (0.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77.1 \\ & (0.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75.8 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.1 \\ & (0.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.6 \\ & (0.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| Among students certified for reduced-price meals | $\begin{gathered} 72.4 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.5 \\ & (1.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71.8 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.5^{* *} \\ & (0.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64.2 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.8 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Among students not certified | $\begin{aligned} & 54.8^{* * *} \\ & (1.2) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48.7 \\ & (1.5) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.4 \\ (0.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.5 \\ (0.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.0 \\ (1.1) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.1 \\ & (0.5) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Sample Size | 792 | 425 | 1,222 | 792 | 425 | 1,222 |

*Difference between DC and non-DC districts is significantly different from zero at the .10 level, two-tailed test
** Difference between DC and non-DC districts is significantly different from zero at the .05 level, two-tailed test.
*** Difference between DC and non-DC districts is significantly different from zero at the .01 level, two-tailed test.

TABLE C. 2
DESCRIPTIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF VARIABLES INCLUDED IN DISTRICT-LEVEL ANALYSIS

\left.|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Districts |  |  | Weighted Using District-Level |
| Weights |  |  |  |  |$\right]$

TABLE C. 2 (continued)

| Variable | All Districts |  | Weighted Using District-Level Weights |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unweighted | District-Level Weights | Direct Certification Districts | Non-Direct Certification Districts |
| Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 0.105 | 0.119 | 0.169 | 0.042 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 0.112 | 0.087 | 0.076 | 0.104 |
| Southeast | 0.148 | 0.085 | 0.079 | 0.098 |
| Midwest | 0.209 | 0.214 | 0.109 | 0.380 |
| Southwest | 0.140 | 0.159 | 0.153 | 0.169 |
| Mountains/Plains | 0.128 | 0.190 | 0.276 | 0.057 |
| West | 0.158 | 0.144 | 0.139 | 0.153 |
| Racial / ethnic distribution |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage white | 0.732 | 0.794 | 0.822 | 0.758 |
| Percentage black | 0.115 | 0.070 | 0.067 | 0.071 |
| Percentage Hispanic | 0.107 | 0.084 | 0.070 | 0.104 |
| Percentage other | 0.043 | 0.048 | 0.038 | 0.064 |
| Proportion of students who are "limited 0.042 0.028 0.024 0.065 <br> English proficient"     |  |  |  |  |
| Poverty rate |  |  |  |  |
| Within district | 0.167 | 0.170 | 0.173 | 0.166 |
| Within county | 0.123 | 0.127 | 0.127 | 0.127 |
| Month verification process Completed |  |  |  |  |
| October or earlier | 0.099 | 0.171 | 0.140 | 0.220 |
| November | 0.181 | 0.180 | 0.190 | 0.160 |
| December | 0.672 | 0.603 | 0.630 | 0.570 |
| January or later | 0.048 | 0.046 | 0.040 | 0.060 |
| Type of verification sample selected |  |  |  |  |
| Random sample | 0.776 | 0.875 | 0.850 | 0.900 |
| Focused sample | 0.214 | 0.116 | 0.140 | 0.080 |
| Other | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.015 |
| Size of verification sample selected |  |  |  |  |
| $<1 \%$ of applications | 0.071 | 0.027 | 0.023 | 0.030 |
| 1 to $2 \%$ of applications | 0.213 | 0.147 | 0.123 | 0.166 |
| 2 to $4 \%$ of applications | 0.495 | 0.504 | 0.534 | 0.469 |
| 4 to $10 \%$ of applications | 0.184 | 0.272 | 0.275 | 0.260 |
| $>10 \%$ of applications | 0.038 | 0.072 | 0.045 | 0.075 |
| Type of application used |  |  |  |  |
| Single-child | 0.664 | 0.746 | 0.784 | 0.682 |
| Multi-child | 0.290 | 0.212 | 0.175 | 0.269 |
| Other | 0.046 | 0.042 | 0.041 | 0.049 |
| District uses verification for cause | 0.308 | 0.192 | 0.214 | 0.138 |
| District uses electronic point-of-sale system | 0.646 | 0.488 | 0.571 | 0.376 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Model actually includes binary variables indicating the State in which the district is located. Descriptive statistics are presented for region instead of States for simplicity.

TABLE C. 3
STATE CHARACTERISTICS: 1990 TO 2001

| Characteristic | Description | Mean | Standard <br> Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Free Certification Rate | Percentage of all students certified for free meals, as of October 1990-2001 | 29.5 | 9.9 |
| Reduced-Price (RP) Certification Rate | Percentage of all students certified for RP meals as of October, 1990-2001 | 6.8 | 1.6 |
| Free Participation Rate Among All Students | Average percentage of all students obtaining a free school lunch on a given day during October, 1990-2001 | 22.8 | 8.9 |
| Free Participation Rate Among Certified Students | Average percentage of free certified students obtaining a free school lunch on a given day during October, 19902001 | 78.9 | 7.4 |
| RP Participation Rate Among All Students | Average percentage of all students obtaining an RP school lunch on a given day during October, 1990-2001 | 4.6 | 1.4 |
| RP Participation Rate Among Certified Students | Average percentage of RP certified students obtaining an RP school lunch on a given day during October, 19902001 | 68.5 | 9.4 |
| Paid Participation Rate Among All Students | Average percentage of all students obtaining a paid school lunch on a given day during October, 1990-2001 | 28.2 | 8.9 |
| Paid Participation Rate Among Non-Certified Students | Average percentage of non-certified students obtaining a paid school lunch on a given day during October, 19902001 | 43.7 | 13.5 |
| Overall Participation Rate Among All Students | Average percentage of all students obtaining a school lunch on a given day during October, 1990-2001 | 55.6 | 10.8 |
| Direct Certification Use | Binary variable indicating whether any district in the State was using direct certification during the year, 1990-2001 | 0.73 | 0.44 |
| Number of Years of Direct Certification Use | Number of years that any district in State has been using direct certification as of a given year, 1990-2001 | 4.3 | 3.7 |
| Direct Certification Penetration Rate, 1996 | Percentage of districts in the State that were using direct certification as of October 1996 | 75.3 | 35.7 |
| Direct Certification Penetration Rate, 2001 | Estimated percentage of districts in the State that were using direct certification as of October 2001 | 74.6 | 27.6 |
| Percentage on AFDC/TANF | Percentage of State's residents who were receiving Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) or Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), 1990-2001 | 3.7 | 1.9 |
| Percentage on Food Stamps | Percentage of State's residents who were receiving food stamps, 1990-2001 | 8.3 | 3.3 |
| Median Income | Median household income in the State (\$000) | 39.7 | 6.5 |
| Poverty Rate | Percentage of State's residents living in poverty, 19902001 | 12.8 | 4.0 |

TABLE C. 3 (continued)
$\left.\begin{array}{llcc}\hline \text { Characteristic } & & \text { Description } & \text { Mean }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Standard } \\ \text { Deviation }\end{array}\right]$

Source: The certification and participation rates and the percentage receiving food stamps were drawn from the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service Data Bank, 1990 through 2001. The number of years of direct certification use was obtained from the 1996 Direct Certification Study State Survey. The percentage receiving AFDC/TANF was obtained from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. Median income and the poverty rate were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census' Current Population Survey. The unemployment rate and mean wage in the manufacturing industry were obtained from the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

