## Tariffs on Commodities of Export Interest to the United States

In 1999, U.S. agricultural exports totalled almost $\$ 53$ billion, spread across more than 130 countries. The existence of import tariffs in these countries was one of several factors affecting the size of this trade. Tariffs alter the relative prices of imported and domestically produced goods and thus alter the volume of imports. How much greater would U.S. agricultural exports be if global agricultural tariffs were eliminated or substantially reduced? This is a question not easily answered, as it is subject to a host of factors, including producer and consumer responses to price changes, market structures, and time lags in the adjustment process. While the answer is beyond the scope of this study, some insight can be gained by identifying those markets in which U.S. agricultural exports continue to face high tariffs.

## Main Agricultural Products Exported by the United States

The top 30 categories of U.S. agricultural exports are shown in table 8. For the countries reviewed in this report, these items earned $\$ 32.7$ billion, or about 62 percent of total U.S. agricultural export revenue in 1999. Of these, the top 10 each accounted for at least $\$ 1$ billion in revenue and include the traditional bulk commodities: corn, soybeans, wheat, and tobacco, as well as intermediate goods such as beef (fresh/chilled and frozen), frozen chicken cuts, and soymeal. Also included in the top 10 are two consumer-oriented categories: cigarettes and miscellaneous food preparations.

The top 30 destinations for these U.S. agricultural exports are also shown in table 8 . The countries listed are a subset of the countries reviewed in this report, which accounted for 86 percent of the $\$ 32.7$ billion U.S. exports attributed to these 30 categories. ${ }^{11}$ The top 30 countries alone accounted for $\$ 26.4$ billion, or 81 percent. Japan was by far the most important destination for the U.S. commodities making up these 30 categories, with imports of over $\$ 7.6$ billion. The EU, Mexico, Korea, and Canada represented billion dollar markets for these commodities. In terms of both commodities and countries, there is a high degree of con-

[^0]centration at the top. The top ten commodity groupings account for 71 percent of the $\$ 32.7$ billion subtotal, while the top ten destinations for this trade account for 68 percent.

Also contained in table 8 are the top 30 markets for the top 30 U.S. agricultural exports. In 1999, the United States registered exports worth $\$ 14.8$ billion to these markets. A large share of the markets for these U.S. exports is found in Japan and the EU. The single most lucrative export destination for U.S. agriculture is associated with import demand for cigarettes by Japan. Other billion dollar markets for U.S. exporters in 1999 resulted from import demand for corn in Japan and soybeans in the EU. Rounding out the top five were the Japanese markets for soybeans and fresh and chilled beef. The sixth largest market for U.S. exporters (soybeans to Mexico) was one of eight NAFTA markets listed in table 8. U.S. exports of soybeans, wheat, corn, sorghum, fresh and chilled beef, and cotton to Mexico and bread, pastries, etc., and miscellaneous food preparations to Canada were among our top 30 export markets in 1999 (for the top 30 categories).

It is informative to compare the level of tariffs in those markets that imported U.S. products with those that did not. While most U.S. exports to Mexico and Canada would have been subject to preferential, and in some cases, zero tariffs, U.S. exports to some other markets were constrained by very high tariffs, in some cases high enough to preclude any trade from taking place.

## Exports Subject to Megatariffs

Figure 12 displays the mean and upper bound tariffs facing U.S. exporters, for each of the top 30 U.S. agricultural exports. ${ }^{12}$ To better illustrate the means, the upper bounds have been cut off at 500 percent. The simple means range from 47 percent for mixed feeds to 98 percent for frozen beef. Also shown is the global tariff mean of 62 percent. As might be expected, these means are inflated by a few very high tariffs in some countries. Note, in particular, that ten of the categories (corn, sorghum, rice, tobacco, frozen beef, frozen potatoes, apples, wine, whiskey, and miscellaneous food preparations) are subject to at least one tariff in excess of 500 percent. This section focuses on those markets where U.S. exports continue to face tariff

[^1]Table 8-Top 30 U.S. agricultural exports, ranked and sorted by commodity groupings, countries, and markets

| Top 30 U.S. agricultural exports |  | Top 30 destinations |  | Top 30 markets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category U.S | U.S. Exports | Country | U.S. Exports | Category | Country | U.S. exports |
|  | \$000 |  | \$000 |  |  | \$000 |
| Corn | 4,973,917 | Japan | 7,623,789 | Cigarettes | Japan | 1,719,226 |
| Soybeans | 4,554,950 | EU-15 | 4,293,349 | Corn | Japan | 1,426,405 |
| Wheat | 3,386,567 | Mexico | 3,321,848 | Soybeans | EU | 1,049,384 |
| Cigarettes | 3,231,504 | Korea | 1,978,992 | Soybeans | Japan | 785,485 |
| Food preparation, NES | 1,524,608 | Canada | 1,962,863 | Beef, boneless, |  |  |
| Beef, boneless, fresh/chilled | 1,276,390 | Egypt | 892,566 | fresh/chilled Soybeans | Japan Mexico | 705,520 662,716 |
| Poultry cuts, frozen | 1,111,902 | Hong Kong | 635,169 | Tobacco, unprocessed | EU | 617,020 |
| Tobacco, unprocessed | 1,082,396 | Philippines | 574,739 | Beef, boneless, frozen | Japan | 579,979 |
| Soymeal | 1,069,642 | Indonesia | 460,420 | Corn | Korea | 574,936 |
| Beef, boneless, frozen | 1,024,026 | Turkey | 430,166 | Corn | Mexico | 534,868 |
| Cotton | 968,220 | Israel | 407,776 | Wheat | Egypt | 479,115 |
| Cattle hides \& skins | 879,227 | Switzerland | 387,905 | Wheat | Japan | 452,771 |
| Dog and cat food | 631,738 | Colombia | 344,001 | Residual starch manuf. | EU | 410,016 |
| Residual starch manuf. | 571,955 | Thailand | 339,446 | Cigarettes | EU | 408,046 |
| Sorghum | 555,308 | Venezuela | 284,143 | Sorghum | Mexico | 385,094 |
| Rice, milled | 555,255 | Dominican Republic | 280,576 | Almonds, fresh/dry, shelled | EU | 338,218 |
| Almonds, frsh/dry, shelled | ed 540,958 | Peru | 246,015 | Beef, boneless, fresh/chl'd | Mexico | 326,365 |
| Mixed feeds, etc. | 466,111 | Malaysia | 236,584 | Food preparation, NES | Canada | 314,816 |
| Peptones and derivatives | s 461,943 | Australia | 171,889 | Pork, fresh/chilled | Japan | 300,295 |
| Wine | 440,284 | Morocco | 165,872 | Cattle hides \& skins | Korea | 296,603 |
| Beef, sheep, goat fat | 377,323 | Guatemala | 162,177 | Cotton | Mexico | 285,352 |
| Bread, pastry, etc. | 372,421 | Niger | 160,809 | Corn | Egypt | 282,625 |
| Pork, fresh/chilled | 354,686 | Singapore | 153,751 | Peptones and derivatives | Switzerland | 265,054 |
| Potatoes, frozen | 353,541 | El Salvador | 138,041 | Wine | EU | 256,906 |
| Apples, fresh | 347,653 | South Africa | 137,775 | Forage | Japan | 252,729 |
| Manufactured tobacco | 330,430 | Chile | 121,715 | Beef, boneless, frozen | Korea | 240,811 |
| Whiskies | 326,998 | Costa Rica | 114,632 | Wheat | Philippines | 234,655 |
| Soyoil | 320,059 | Honduras | 111,086 | Soybeans | Korea | 225,232 |
| Grapes, fresh | 308,596 | Panama | 109,181 | Wheat | Mexico | 214,625 |
| Forage | 295,315 | United Arab Emirates | 108,404 | Bread, pastry, etc. | Canada | 211,230 |
| Sub-total 32 | 32,693,922 | Sub-total | 26,355,681 | Sub-total |  | 14,836,097 |
| Others 20 | 20,203,166 | Other | 6,338,241 | Others |  | 17,857,825 |
| Total 52, | 52,897,088 | Total | 32,693,922 | Total |  | 32,693,922 |

Note: Commodities are grouped at the 6-digit HS level.
Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.
peaks, defined here as being synonymous with megatariffs, or tariffs equal to or greater than 100 percent.

Table 9 summarizes, for each of the top U.S. export categories, selected characteristics of the markets where this trade faces megatariffs. ${ }^{13}$ In these 30 commodity categories, 47 different countries have at least one tariff bound at 100 percent or above. Twenty-five of these countries have bound their entire agricultural schedules at rates equal to or above 100 percent. For the remainder, megatariffs are found in between 1

[^2](India, Malaysia, Morocco, and Thailand) and 17 (Norway) of the 30 commodity categories. Across categories, the two beef groupings, frozen and fresh/ chilled boneless beef, top the list, with U.S. exports of these products subject to megatariffs in 36 and 37 countries, respectively.

Eleven of these markets (wine and whiskey exports to Egypt; unprocessed tobacco to Malaysia; frozen beef to Iceland, Norway, and Switzerland; milled rice to Japan; apples to Israel; and corn, sorghum, and miscellaneous food preparations to South Korea) are subject to at least one tariff above 500 percent. In eight of these cases, however, the tariff is the over-quota rate of a TRQ, so there is some opportunity for exports at the lower in-quota rate. In most cases, the within-quota tariff is significantly below the over-quota megatariff,

Figure 12
Average, maximum, and minimum tariffs faced by top 30 U.S. exports ${ }^{1}$


${ }^{1}$ Tariffs are bound MFN rates based on final URAA implementation. Source: Economic Research Service, USDA
and U.S. product is being imported (see appendix table 3).

The value of U.S. exports to markets where megatariffs exist totalled $\$ 3.8$ billion in 1999, an average of about $\$ 4.4$ million per market. This compares with an average trade flow of $\$ 11.2$ million per destination to all other markets in this report for these 30 commodities. The difference between those markets where some access was offered via a TRQ versus those where no TRQ was in effect was dramatic. U.S. exports to TRQ markets totalled $\$ 2.2$ billion, an average of $\$ 35.6$ million per market. When one excludes markets where a TRQ exists, average U.S. exports drop to under $\$ 2$ million per market. This suggests that, in those markets subject to megatariffs, TRQs are offering some market access for U.S. imports,
although one must also keep in mind that most of the TRQs tend to be in the wealthier OECD countries.

Japan, the EU, and Korea represent the three most important non-NAFTA destinations for these 30 U.S. commodities. In 1999, U.S. exports to Japan of the four commodities (wheat, rice, fresh and chilled pork, and miscellaneous food preparations) where megatariffs were levied, averaged $\$ 244$ million, versus average exports of $\$ 256$ million to the 26 other markets. U.S. exports to the four EU markets subject to megatariffs (frozen boneless beef, rice, mixed feeds, and residues of starch manufacture) averaged $\$ 133$ million versus $\$ 145$ million to the others. Korea applies megatariffs in five of these markets (corn, sorghum, soybeans, forage, and miscellaneous food preparation). U.S. exports averaged $\$ 173$ million to these markets versus $\$ 45$ million to the other 25 . For these three countries, at

Table 9-Top 30 U.S. agricultural exports face an abundance of megatariffs

| Commodity | Importing <br> countries | Megatariffs ${ }^{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| - Number -- |  |  |
| Corn | 30 | 34 |
| Soybeans | 29 | 30 |
| Wheat | 31 | 35 |
| Cigarettes | 28 | 28 |
| Food preparation, NES | 31 | 38 |
| Beef, boned, fresh/chilled | 36 | 38 |
| Poultry cuts, frozen | 26 | 26 |
| Tobacco, unprocessed | 28 | 29 |
| Soymeal | 27 | 27 |
| Beef, boneless, frozen | 37 | 54 |
| Cotton | 25 | 25 |
| Cattle hides \& skins | 26 | 26 |
| Dog and cat food | 26 | 26 |
| Residual starch manufactured | 28 | 28 |
| Sorghum | 29 | 31 |
| Rice, milled | 31 | 47 |
| Almonds, fresh/dry, shelled | 26 | 26 |
| Mixed feeds, etc. | 29 | 42 |
| Peptones and derivatives | 25 | 25 |
| Wine | 28 | 41 |
| Beef, sheep, goat fat | 29 | 33 |
| Bread, pastry, etc. | 28 | 37 |
| Pork, fresh/chilled | 30 | 35 |
| Potatoes, frozen | 28 | 34 |
| Apples, fresh | 29 | 41 |
| Manufactured tobacco | 29 | 32 |
| Whiskies | 34 | 41 |
| Soyoil | 31 | 33 |
| Grapes, fresh | 28 | 32 |
| Forage | 29 | 31 |

Note: For detailed breakout, see Appendix table 3.
${ }^{1}$ Count of all over-quota and non-TRQ megatariffs based on bound, MFN tariffs as of final URAA implemenation.
Source: Economic Research Service, USDA.
least, the presence of megatariffs in a market did not result in U.S. exports being significantly less than in markets where megatariffs were not being applied. There are several explanations for this situation. In most of the markets where megatariffs are found in these countries, we also find TRQs being applied. With the exception of the Japanese rice TRQ, all have fairly low in-quota rates, and the minimum access amounts in most of these markets are being filled or close to being filled.

Another explanation has to do with the fact that these exports are for all products within these 6 -digit categories. In many cases, megatariffs might be applied on some of the sub-categories of these products while other sub-categories are subject to zero or very low tariffs. One example might be a low tariff on corn used as seed, but a high tariff on corn destined for use as food or feed. In the case of some perishable products, tariffs vary over the course of the year, with high tariffs when the product is in season and low ones during the rest of the year. The value of imports may be very high during the time the tariff is low and drop to zero when the megatariffs are in effect. The result is that it can be difficult to have a clear vision of the effect that high tariffs are having on trade, particularly if tariffs and trade are not compared at the same HS level. One thing that is evident, however, is that the wide range in tariffs levied on individual commodities within a number of these 6 -digit commodity markets (see appendix table 3) indicates the extent to which countries have strategically tailored their tariff schedules to provide protection for very specific products.


[^0]:    ${ }^{11}$ Of the remaining trade, two-thirds went to just four of the countries not currently WTO members, and therefore not reviewed in this report: Taiwan, China, Saudi Arabia, and Russia.

[^1]:    ${ }^{12}$ Consistent with previous sections, the means are simple averages and do not include the in-quota rates of TRQ's.

[^2]:    ${ }^{13}$ Appendix table 3 lists these markets, the tariffs faced by U.S. agricultural exports, and the value of U.S. exports. Not included in this list are those countries that bound tariffs at 100 precent or above, but where available data indicated that they were applying rates at below 100 percent.

