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## USDA Sets Sugar Program Parameters for Fiscal Year 2010

The Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended by the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008, requires that sugar marketing allotments be in effect in fiscal year (FY) 2010. The act requires that the Overall Allotment Quantity (OAQ) be set at no less than 85 percent of the estimated quantity of sugar for domestic consumption. On September 25, the Secretary of Agriculture announced that the FY 2010 OAQ is set at $9,235,250$ short tons, raw value (STRV). This amount is above the minimum 85 percent level of the estimated sugar for domestic consumption.

On September 25, the USDA established the FY 2010 raw and refined sugar tariff-rate quotas (TRQs). The raw sugar TRQ was set at $1,231,497 \operatorname{STRV}(1,117,195$ metric tons, raw value (MTRV)), the minimum access commitment under World Trade Organization (WTO) rules. The FY 2010 refined and specialty sugar TRQ was established at 99,251 STRV. This amount includes the WTO minimum amount of 24,251 STRV, 1,825 STRV of which is reserved for specialty sugar, as well as an additional 75,000 STRV for specialty sugar to accommodate a rapidly expanding organic food sector.

In the September 2009 World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimates (WASDE), the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) projected U.S. and Mexico sugar supply and use for FYs 2009 and 2010. (See special article in this report "Tight Supplies Expected to Sustain High U.S. Sugar Prices into 2009/10"). U.S. beet sugar production for FY 2010 is projected at 4.700 million STRV, an increase of 450,000 STRV from FY 2009. According to the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS), the national sugar beet yield is forecast at a record 27.04 tons per acre, and area harvested is forecast at 1.159 million acres, about 154,000 acres more than last year. The USDA expects the September/August 2009/10 sugar yield per acre at 4.174 STRV, about the same as in the 2008/09 crop year (4.131 STRV). Beet sugar production in September 2009 year is expected to be about 100,000 STRV more than production in September 2008. This increase is counted as part of the FY 2009 production total ( 4.250 million STRV), implying FY 2010 beet sugar production at 4.700 million STRV.

Cane sugar for FY 2010 is projected at 3.325 million STRV, about the same as in FY 2009 ( 3.321 million STRV). Florida cane sugar production is projected to increase 131,000 STRV over FY 2009 to 1.700 million STRV. Although NASS reports sugarcane area harvested for sugar and seed to decline by 10,000 acres to 390,000 acres, it also reports yield to increase to 36.7 tons per acre, up from 33.1 tons from FY 2009. FY 2010 Louisiana cane sugar production is projected at 1.3 million STRV, down by 100,000 STRV compared with FY 2009. NASS forecasts Louisiana area harvested for sugar and seed at 400,000, 5,000 acres less than last year, and sugarcane yield at 27.0 tons per acre, a reduction of 4.6 percent from last year.

Sugar imports under the sugar TRQ for FY 2010 are projected at 1.182 million STRV in the September 2009 WASDE. This projection was made before the USDA announcement of the raw and refined sugar TRQs on September 25. The projection assumes that all TRQs would be set at minimum levels to be consistent with U.S. obligations to the WTO and to Free Trade Agreements (FTAs) passed by the U.S. Congress. Also, the projection assumes a TRQ shortfall equal to 200,000 STRV, a high level attributable to high world sugar prices making the United States a less desirable export destination.

Imports from Mexico for FY 2009 are now projected at 1,375,000 STRV, based on pace to date, and with only the month of September to go. About 64 percent of the total is imported for direct consumption, and remainder is for further refining. Sugar imports from Mexico for FY 2010 are projected at 450,000 STRV. Strong U.S. demand for raw sugar will be a draw for Mexican estandar sugar, especially if the raw sugar TRQ does not increase in the second half of FY 2010.

Deliveries of sugar for human consumption in FY 2009 are estimated at 10.735 million STRV, the highest level since the early 1970s. The high level of consumption deliveries is due to earlier-year contracted Mexican sugar substituting for high fructose corn syrup (HFCS) and some domestically processed sugar. Deliveries of domestically processed sugar in FY 2009 are estimated at 9.783 million STRV, about 345,000 STRV less than in FY 2008.

Deliveries of sugar for human consumption in FY 2010 are projected at 10.140 million STRV, indicating a large decrease of almost 600,000 STRV from deliveries in FY 2009. The USDA expects substantial reductions in imports from Mexico of refined sugar for direct consumption. Mexico has already announced sugar import quotas totaling 600,000 metric tons (mt) for entry before the end of the 2009 calendar year. Most of these imports are expected to be refined sugar to replace Mexican refined sugar exported to the United States in FY 2009. Deliveries of domestically processed (i.e., U.S.) sugar are expected to increase by 290,000 STRV in FY 2010. An implication of the USDA sugar deliveries' projection is that deliveries of HFCS should rebound about 5 percent from FY 2009 levels.

Ending FY 2009 stocks are estimated at 1.307 million STRV, implying an endingyear stocks-to-use ratio of 11.84 percent, the lowest level since 1975. Ending FY 2010 stocks are projected at 844,000 STRV, implying an ending-year stocks-to-use ratio of 7.98 percent, which would be the lowest stocks-to-use ratio since 1958. Reflecting low stock levels, the low end of the refined beet sugar Midwest price range from Milling and Baking News is quoted at 42 cents per pound (lb) in the first 2 weeks of September, up 6.6 cents/lb from July.

The Inter-Continental Exchange (ICE) raw sugar November No. 16 contract futures has averaged 28.50 cents/lb through the first half of September. Up until the first week of August, increases in the No. 16 raw sugar price were strongly correlated with the runup in Mexican estandar sugar prices. Since then, most upward pressure has been exerted by high world raw sugar prices (No. 11 ICE contract). The margin between U.S. and world raw sugar prices rises to reflect tightness in domestic supplies and to keep the U.S. competitive in bidding sugar away from other importing countries.

Mexico sugar production for FY 2010 is forecast at 5.500 million metric tons, raw value (MTRV), up 240,000 MTRV from this year's disappointing production total ( 5.260 million MTRV). For FY 2010 the USDA expects sugarcane area to be about the same as in FY 2009 but expects some recovery in sugarcane yield-up from 64.2 mt per hectare to 69.3 mt . Even so, the FY 2010 yield forecast is still below the 10 -year average and may be optimistic. Certain sugarcane-producing regions in Mexico have continued experiencing dry growing conditions. It is also unclear to what extent use of chemical/fertilizer inputs has recovered from the 2008/09 crop year. Although dollar prices of imported chemical and fertilizer inputs have been less this year than last, an offset has resulted from the depreciation of the Mexican peso by about 30 percent since August 2008.

Mexico sugar imports in FY 2010 are projected at 710,000 MTRV. This projection assumes that 100,000 MTRV of announced quota enters in September 2009 (counted as imports in FY 2009) and that Mexico imports about 180,000 MTRV of sugar from the United States for its sugar-containing product re-export program (IMMEX).

Mexican exports are projected at 450,000 MTRV, mostly of estandar sugar destined for the United States. Deliveries for human consumption are projected at 5.140 million MTRV, and deliveries of domestic sugar for the IMMEX program are projected at 400,000 MTRV. Ending-year stocks, the difference between total supply and use, are projected at 885,000 MTRV. The resulting stocks-toconsumption ratio is 17.2 percent.

This is the last issue of the Sugar and Sweetener Outlook (SSO) in its present format. Starting in January 2010, the SSO will be published monthly 2 to 3 days after publication of the World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimates (WASDE). Each issue of the SSO will focus on changes made to the U.S. and Mexico sugar supply and demand estimates appearing in that month's WASDE. This change is being made to better serve the needs of the SSO readership and the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

## U.S. Sugar

On September 25, 2009, the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) established sugar program parameters for fiscal year (FY) 2010. These parameters included the overall allotment quantity (OAQ) and the raw and refined sugar tariff-rate quotas (TRQs). On September 11, 2009, the USDA released its latest supply and use estimates for FY 2009 and projections for FY 2010 for both the United States and Mexico in the World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimates (WASDE) report.

## Sugar Program for FY 2010

The Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended by the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008, requires that sugar marketing allotments be in effect in FY 2010. The act requires that the OAQ be set at no less than 85 percent of the estimated quantity of sugar for domestic consumption. On September 25, the Secretary of Agriculture announced that the FY 2010 OAQ is set at 9,235,250 short tons, raw value (STRV). This amount is above the minimum 85 percent level of the estimated sugar for domestic consumption. Pursuant to law, the allocation to the beet sugar sector is set at $5,019,358$ STRV, or 54.35 percent of the OAQ. The allocation to the cane sugar sector is the remainder, 4,215,892 STRV. Cane sugar production is expected to fall significantly short of its allotment, requiring reassignment to imports later in FY 2010.

On September 25, the USDA established the FY 2010 raw and refined sugar TRQs. The authority for establishing the sugar TRQs is the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) of the United States, Chapter 17, and Additional U.S. Note 5 of Chapter 17. The raw sugar TRQ was set at $1,231,497$ STRV $(1,117,195$ metric tons, raw value (MTRV)), the minimum access commitment under World Trade Organization (WTO) rules. The FY 2010 refined and specialty sugar TRQ was established at 99,251 STRV. This amount includes the WTO minimum amount of 24,251 STRV, 1,825 STRV of which is reserved for specialty sugar, as well as an additional 75,000 STRV for specialty sugar to accommodate a rapidly expanding organic food sector.

The USDA will administer the FY 2010 specialty sugar portion of the refined and specialty sugar TRQ in five tranches. Because this portion of the TRQ will be administered on a first-come, first-served basis, tranches are needed to allow for orderly marketing throughout the year. The first tranche, totaling 1,825 STRV, will open on Oct. 20, 2009. All specialty sugars are eligible for entry under this tranche. The second tranche will open on Nov. 10, 2009, and be equal to 27,558 STRV. The remaining three tranches each will be equal to 15,814 STRV, with the third tranche opening on Jan. 12, 2010; the fourth, on May 17, 2010; and the fifth, on Aug. 24, 2010. The second, third, fourth, and fifth tranches will be reserved for organic sugar and other specialty sugars not currently produced commercially in the United States or reasonably available from domestic sources.

## U.S. Production

## FY 2010 Beet Sugar Production

The National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS), in its September 2009 edition of Crop Production, forecasts area harvested at 1.159 million acres, a 15.3 -percent increase over that of last year. All beet areas, except California, report acreage increases over those of last year: Pacific North West, 25.3 percent; Great Plains, 12.2 percent; Upper Midwest, 4.6 percent; and the Great Lakes, 0.7 percent. National sugar beet yield is forecast at a record 27.0 tons/acre. NASS forecasts record yields in Colorado, Minnesota, Montana, Oregon, and Wyoming. NASS forecasts the total sugar beet crop at 31.327 million tons, an increase of 16.7 percent over the preceding harvest of 26.837 million tons.

Since the 2006/07 crop year, sugar beet yields in all producing areas have been noticeably higher than in prior years. Figure 1 shows regional yields since the 2006/07 crop year relative to the average for 1999/2000-2005/06. In each region, the ratio of lowest yield since 2006/07 to the earlier-period average is substantial: Upper Midwest, 18.0 percent; Great Lakes, 16.4 percent; Pacific North West, 15.1 percent; and the Great Plains, 8.9 percent. The rise in yields was due mainly to the use of rhizomania-resistant seed varieties and the use of Pancho Beta to control for Curly Top. Fuller adaption of genetically-modified-organism (GMO) seed varieties (about 95 percent in 2009/10, up from about 60 percent in 2008/09) strengthens the trend of higher yields. ${ }^{1 /}$

Higher yields have been accompanied by lower sugar beet area planted. Figure 2 shows yield and area since 1999/2000. Relative to 1999/2000, yield has grown about 24 percent and area planted has declined by about the same amount. Figure 3 shows that the negative relationship has been strongest in these last 4 crop years. This observation suggests that, in the future, sugar beet area is likely to be less volatile. ${ }^{2 /}$

Figure 1


Source: USDA, NASS, Crop Production.
${ }^{1 /}$ On September 21, 2009, a Federal judge in the Northern District of California ruled that the USDA had failed to adequately assess the environmental impacts of GMO sugar beets before approving the crop for cultivation in the United States. The specific concern was the spread of GMO traits to other sugar beets or to related crops of Swiss chard and red table beets. A meeting to begin the remedy phase of the case is scheduled for October 30, 2009. The plaintiffs to the lawsuit are asking that the planting of GMO beets be banned.
${ }^{2 /}$ Using data since 1982, the ERS Sugar and Sweetener Group has found that a 10 -percent change in the previous year's ratio of per acre returns of sugar beets to alternative crops is on average followed by an expansion in area planted of 2.65 percent. Based on preliminary NASS data, the return ratio increased about 56 percent in 2008/09. (The return ratio in 2007/08 was the lowest since 1982, some 2.9 standard deviations below the mean.) The increase in 2009/10 area planted, however, is only 7.5 percent, about half of the predicted amount. This is partial evidence that higher permanent expected yields have possibly altered the elasticity relationship between net returns and area adjustment.

Figure 2
U.S. sugar beet area planted and yield, crop years 1999-2009


Source: USDA, NASS, Crop Production .

Figure 3
Relationship between sugar beet area planted and yield, 1999/2000-2009/10


Source: USDA, NASS, Crop Production.
U.S. beet sugar production for FY 2010 is projected at 4.700 million STRV, an increase of 450,000 STRV from FY 2009. On a September/August crop year basis, the Sugar and Sweetener Team at the Economic Research Service (ERS) expects national sugar per harvested acre at 4.174 STRV, about the same as in the 2008/09 crop year, which would imply a corresponding beet sugar forecast of 4.800 million STRV. However, because early season beet sugar production in September 2009 is expected to be about 100,000 STRV more than production in September 2008, this increase is counted as part of the FY 2009 production total ( 4.250 million STRV), implying FY 2010 beet sugar production at 4.700 million STRV.

## FY 2010 Cane Sugar Production

Cane sugar for FY 2010 is projected at 3.325 million STRV, about the same as FY 2009 ( 3.321 million STRV). Florida cane sugar production is projected to increase 131,000 STRV over FY 2009 to 1.700 million STRV. Although NASS reports sugarcane area harvested for sugar and seed down by 10,000 acres to 390,000 acres, it also reports a yield increase to 36.7 tons per acre, up from 33.1 tons in FY 2009. FY 2010 Louisiana cane sugar production is projected at 1.3 million STRV, down by 100,000 STRV compared with FY 2009. NASS forecasts Louisiana area harvested for sugar and seed at 400,000 acres--5,000 acres less than last year, and sugarcane yield at 27.0 tons per acre, a reduction of 4.6 percent from last year. Cane sugar production is forecast at 165,000 STRV in Texas and 160,000 STRV in Hawaii.

Unlike beet sugar production, cane sugar production in recent years has shown little growth. Figure 4 shows mainland sugar production since 1998/99. In the first half of the period (1998/99-2003/04), production averaged 3.714 million STRV. The

Figure 4
Mainland cane sugar production, 1998/99-2009/10

average since then has been 3.082 million STRV, some 17 percent lower. Figure 5 shows added details. Area harvested increased through the 1990s, plateaued in the early 2000s, and then declined. Yields displayed a similar pattern: rising till 1997/98 and then stagnating, with weather-related downturns in the middle of the latter period.

## Trade

Imports for FY 2009 are estimated at 3.116 million STRV (table 1). Through August 2009 (fig. 6), raw sugar TRQ entries equaled 986,104 STRV (894,579 MTRV), or about 80 percent of the expected total. Sugar from Mexico for the year is estimated at 1.375 million STRV, of which 1.356 million STRV has entered, or 99 percent of the estimated total. About 34 percent of Mexican imports arrived in bulk by ocean vessel. All of this sugar was destined for refining. The remainder was imported in containers, railcars, or trucks. Although some of this sugar was destined to beet processors and cane refiners for further processing, most was for direct consumption or for sale by jobbers.

Refined sugar TRQ entries equaled 252,972 STRV through August 2009. About 67 percent of these entries occurred in the last quarter of 2008 that were part of an addition to the FY 2008 refined TRQ, which was announced on August 6, 2008, and which allowed entries until December 31, 2008. Refined sugar entries for the FY 2009 TRQ have amounted to 84,520 STRV, or about 81 percent of the allocated amount.

Imports for FY 2010 are projected in the September WASDE at 2.087 million STRV. Expected raw sugar TRQ entries are the allocated amount ( 1.231 million STRV) less shortfall ( 196,744 STRV), or 1.035 million STRV. Expected refined sugar TRQ entries are projected at 20,994 STRV. Because the additional specialty sugar was not announced prior to the September WASDE, it was not included in the total. However, the full amount would be expected to enter under most circumstances. Other TRQ entries under Free Trade Agreements are projected at 126,400 STRV, of which 98.2 percent come from parties to the Central American Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA). Other program sugar imports outside the sugar TRQ for FY 2010 are projected to total 400,000 STRV. Other USDA import programs include the Refined Sugar Re-export Program, the Sugar-Containing Products Program, and the Polyhydric Alcohol Program. High-tier tariff sugar imports and sugar in imported syrups are projected at 10,000 STRV. Imports from Mexico are projected at 495,000 STRV.

Unlike in FY 2009, Mexico may ship more of its sugar to the United States for further processing. Over the last 4 years, sugar refineries have demanded an average of 5.529 million STRV of (mostly raw) sugar. ${ }^{3 /}$ Estimates of available raw sugar include 3.325 million STRV from domestic production, 1.035 million STRV from the raw sugar TRQ, as much as 124,229 STRV from Free Trade Agreements (FTAs), and 400,000 STRV from re-export program imports. These amounts sum to 4.884 million STRV, or 645,000 STRV below the average raw sugar requirements of the last 4 years.

Sugar exports for FY 2010 are forecast at 200,000 STRV, an increase of 70,000 STRV above the total estimated for FY 2009. Most of these exports are expected to go to Mexico, where they are used in Mexico's product re-export (IMMEX)
${ }^{3 /}$ Through the first 10 months of FY 2009, refiners have demanded 4.551 million STRV, about 97.9 percent of the amounted demanded in FY 2008 through the same corresponding time period. Based on an analysis of Sweetener Market Data from the Farm Service Agency (FSA), ERS estimates that refiners have demanded these amounts since FY 2006: FY 2006, 5.677 million STRV; FY 2007, 5.350 million STRV; FY 2008, 5.605 million STRV; and FY 2009, 5.484 million STRV (97.9 percent of 5.605 million)

Figure 5
U.S. mainland sugarcane area harvested and yield


Source: USDA, NASS, Crop Production .

Figure 6
Cumulative sugar imports, by source, FY 2009, through August 2009
Short tons raw value


FTA = Free Trade Agreement; TRQ = Tariff-rate quotas; $\mathrm{WTO}=$ World Trade Organization.
Source: U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

| Item | Metric tons, raw value | Short tons, raw value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw sugar TRQ | 1,117,195 | 1,231,497 |
| Less shortfall attributable to Mexico 1/ | -7,258 | -8,001 |
| Less other shortfall | -169,643 | -186,999 |
| Total raw sugar TRQ | 940,294 | 1,036,497 |
| Refined sugar TRQ |  |  |
| Allocation to Canada | 10,300 | 11,354 |
| Late FY 2008 entry | 15,594 | 17,189 |
| Allocation to Mexico | 2,954 | 3,256 |
| Less Mexican shortfall 1/ | -2,954 | -3,256 |
| Global | 7,090 | 7,815 |
| Late FY 2008 entry | 68,945 | 75,998 |
| Re-assigned Mexico refined TRQ | 68,278 | 75,264 |
| Specialty |  |  |
| Base | 1,656 | 1,825 |
| Additional | 72,575 | 80,000 |
| Total refined sugar TRQ | 244,438 | 269,446 |
| CAFTA/DR TRQ - calendar 2009 | 110,460 | 121,760 |
| CAFTAIDR TRQ - calendar 2008 | 865 | 953 |
| Singapore, Bahrain, Jordan | 42 | 46 |
| Peru | 2,000 | 2,205 |
| Total estimate TRQ entries | 1,298,099 | 1,430,906 |
| Mexico | 1,247,392 | 1,375,000 |
| Re-export program imports | 272,158 | 300,000 |
| Sugar syrups, high-tier | 9,072 | 10,000 |
| Total projected imports | 2,826,721 | 3,115,906 |
| TRQ = Tariff-rate quotas, CAFTA/DR = Central American Free Trade Agreement/Dominican Republic. 1/ Total entries from Mexico, quota and nonquota, reflected below. <br> Source: USDA, FAS. |  |  |

Table 2--USDA estimate of sugar imports in FY 2010

| Item | Metric tons, raw value | Short tons, raw value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw sugar TRQ | 1,117,195 | 1,231,497 |
| Less shortfall attributable to Mexico 1/ | -7,258 | -8,001 |
| Less other shortfall | -171,225 | -188,743 |
| Total raw sugar TRQ | 938,712 | 1,034,753 |
| Refined sugar TRQ |  |  |
| Allocation to Canada | 10,300 | 11,354 |
| Allocation to Mexico | 2,954 | 3,256 |
| Less Mexican shortfall 1/ | -2,954 | -3,256 |
| Global | 7,090 | 7,815 |
| Specialty |  |  |
| Base | 1,656 | 1,825 |
| Additional | NA | NA |
| Total refined sugar TRQ | 19,046 | 20,994 |
| CAFTAIDR TRQ - calendar 2010 | 112,700 | 124,229 |
| Singapore, Bahrain, Jordan | 42 | 46 |
| Peru | 2,000 | 2,205 |
| Total estimate TRQ entries | 1,072,500 | 1,182,227 |
| Mexico | 449,061 | 495,000 |
| Re-export program imports | 362,878 | 400,000 |
| Sugar syrups, high-tier | 9,072 | 10,000 |
| Total projected imports | 1,893,510 | 2,087,227 |

TRQ = Tariff-rate quotas; CAFTA/DR = Central American Free Trade Agreement/Dominican Republic.
1/ Total entries from Mexico, quota and nonquota, reflected below.
Source: USDA, FAS.
program. Almost all such sugar-containing products are expected to be exported to the United States, mostly in the form of sugar confectionery.

## U.S. Imports and Exports of Sugar-Containing Products

Using Foreign Trade Data from the U.S. Census, ERS projects sugar in product imports and exports for FY 2009. ERS calculates the ratio of October 2008-July 2009 sugar in product trade relative to the same corresponding period in FY 2008. This ratio is multiplied by the FY 2008 total to produce the projection for the whole of FY 2009. Table 3 shows the results.

Overall, sugar in FY 2009 product imports is projected at 1.097 million tons, a decrease of 7.8 percent from the year before. Sugar in sugar confectionery is projected lower by 43,959 tons ( 10.5 percent), sugar in miscellaneous edible preparations by 21,234 tons ( 11.0 percent), and sugar in cocoa and preparations by 10,550 tons ( 3.9 percent). Moreover, sugar in product imports has not varied much since before FY 2004. The 6-year average (including the projection for FY 2009) has been 1.157 million tons.

Sugar in U.S. product exports is projected at 708,385 tons in FY 2009, representing growth of 6.2 percent and the highest level in the reporting period. Net imports (i.e., imports less exports) of sugar in products are calculated at 388,733 tons, the lowest level since FY 2002.

## Sugar and Sweetener Deliveries for Human Consumption

## FY 2009 Sugar Deliveries

Deliveries for domestic food and beverage use in FY 2009 are estimated at 10.735 million STRV, an increase of 164,000 STRV, or 1.6 percent, over FY 2008.
However, USDA's Sweetener Market Data (SMD) through 10 months of FY 2009 show deliveries of domestically processed sugar to industrial end users decreasing by 276,000 STRV, or 5.6 percent, relative to the same corresponding period last year. Also, SMD shows corresponding deliveries to nonindustrial end users decreasing by 143,600 STRV, or 4.2 percent. The overall increase is attributable to increased direct consumption imports totaling 975,400 STRV through July. This amount is 630,000 STRV more than the same corresponding period in FY 2008.

Although direct consumption imports have raised the estimate of sugar consumption for both FY 2008 and FY 2009, aggregate sweetener consumption will probably decrease for FY 2009. Sugar, refined value, is projected at 10.033 million tons in FY 2009 ( 10.735 million STRV divided by 1.07) and 9.879 million tons in FY 2008. Projected FY 2009 consumption of high fructose corn syrup (HFCS) is 8.238 million tons, dry weight, compared with 8.504 million tons, dry weight, in FY 2008 (table 4). Table 3 indicates that sugar in product imports is 1.097 million tons in FY 2009 and 1.190 million in FY 2008. Aggregate sweetener consumption in FY 2009 is therefore projected at 19.368 million tons, which is 206,000 tons ( 1.1 percent) less than the FY 2008 total of 19.574 million. Decreases in HFCS of 3.1 percent and of sugar in imported products of 7.8 percent have offset the increase in sugar. ${ }^{4 /}$

[^0]Table 3--Estimated sugar in U.S. product imports and exports, fiscal years 1995-2009

| Fiscal year | Sugar confectionery | Cocoa and cocoa preparations | Cereal and bakers preparations | Bread, pastry, cakes, etc. | Misc. edible preparations | Carbonated soft drinks | Total sugar in imported products | Total sugar in exported products | Net sugar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,000 short tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 134,241 | 68,571 | 5,501 | 43,248 | 54,029 | 25,413 | 331,002 | 290,570 | 40,432 |
| 1996 | 141,627 | 69,334 | 7,807 | 47,101 | 66,464 | 31,007 | 363,339 | 351,219 | 12,120 |
| 1997 | 158,612 | 90,479 | 11,984 | 61,443 | 68,376 | 38,482 | 429,376 | 384,105 | 45,271 |
| 1998 | 181,737 | 99,282 | 18,627 | 70,896 | 84,716 | 39,532 | 494,790 | 374,931 | 119,859 |
| 1999 | 213,601 | 103,952 | 19,993 | 83,893 | 111,400 | 46,275 | 579,114 | 382,139 | 196,975 |
| 2000 | 233,569 | 128,841 | 20,006 | 96,742 | 122,082 | 56,554 | 657,794 | 425,769 | 232,025 |
| 2001 | 258,057 | 147,808 | 18,578 | 110,087 | 120,892 | 63,585 | 719,008 | 474,884 | 244,124 |
| 2002 | 283,689 | 188,916 | 19,210 | 118,626 | 141,362 | 69,539 | 821,341 | 452,898 | 368,443 |
| 2003 | 347,505 | 207,826 | 22,678 | 130,672 | 146,215 | 81,566 | 936,463 | 496,069 | 440,394 |
| 2004 | 395,265 | 215,342 | 25,706 | 138,282 | 178,896 | 92,542 | 1,046,032 | 537,711 | 508,321 |
| 2005 | 435,454 | 227,877 | 25,953 | 142,631 | 189,485 | 105,133 | 1,126,533 | 575,237 | 551,296 |
| 2006 | 504,686 | 264,992 | 25,085 | 145,661 | 192,231 | 124,242 | 1,256,896 | 577,597 | 679,298 |
| 2007 | 444,115 | 282,468 | 25,258 | 155,567 | 189,848 | 128,299 | 1,225,555 | 568,231 | 657,324 |
| 2008 | 420,612 | 273,642 | 25,356 | 154,979 | 192,495 | 123,365 | 1,190,449 | 667,220 | 523,229 |
| 2009 (projected) | 376,653 | 263,093 | 15,986 | 151,771 | 171,261 | 118,355 | 1,097,118 | 708,385 | 388,733 |

Figure 7
Sweetener deliveries: sugar, high fructose corn syrup, sugar in imported products, FY 1996-2009


Source: Sugar: USDA, FSA, Sweetener Market Data; High fructose corn syrup, sugar in products: USDA, ERS, Sugar and Sweetener Team.

## Direct Consumption Imports and HFCS: ERS Analysis

Figure 8 shows trends in direct consumption imports since FY 1999. Prior to September 2005, these imports averaged about 5,200 STRV, a low contributor to total consumption. After weather-related events in August and September 2005 (prolonged refinery closure in Louisiana, reduced cane sugar production in Louisiana and Florida), these imports increased in response to increases in the refined sugar TRQ made by the USDA. With a return to more normal conditions, direct consumption imports decreased to a monthly average of about 17,600 STRV, lower than the post-Katrina period but higher than pre-Katrina. With the full implementation of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) on January 1, 2008, average monthly direct consumption imports have increased to 78,400 STRV, through August 2009.

Although HFCS55 consumption has been declining about 60,700 tons per year since 1999, HFCS42 consumption was increasing about 22,300 tons per year through 2007. Figure 9 shows monthly HFCS42 deliveries dropping substantially below trend after January 2008, the same time that direct consumption imports from Mexico started to increase. Figure 10 does the same for HFCS55, although any decrease after January 2008 is harder to discern.

To explore this phenomenon further and also the effect of increased monthly imports on end user sugar deliveries, monthly deliveries of these sweeteners were regressed on yearly trend, seasonal factors (months of the year), and direct consumption imports since January 2008. ${ }^{5 /}$ No statistically significant relationship was found for deliveries of domestically processed sugar to industrial end users. ${ }^{6 /}$ The equations for the other deliveries are shown in table 5.

For HFCS42, results indicated that on average monthly deliveries of HFCS42 have decreased by 48.1 percent (coefficient $=-0.481$, $t$-stat $=8.834$ ) of the amount of direct consumption imports through July 2009. For HFCS55, deliveries have decreased by 17.4 percent (coefficient $=-0.174, \mathrm{t}$-stat $=2.328$ ) of the amount of
${ }^{5 /}$ Industrial end users: baking, cereal, and allied products; confectionery and related products; ice cream and dairy products; beverages; canned, bottled, and frozen foods; all other food uses; and nonfood use. Nonindustrial end users: hotel, restaurants, and institutions; wholesale grocers, jobbers, sugar dealers; retail grocers, chain stores; all other uses.
${ }^{6 /}$ Also, no relationship could be found between direct consumption imports and sugar in imported products.

Table 4--High fructose corn syrup, supply and utilization, actual and projected, FY 1993-2010

| Fiscal year | Production | Imports | Supply | Exports | Domestic disappearance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,000 short tons, dry weight |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 6,942 | 191 | 7,133 | 96 | 7,037 |
| 1994 | 7,434 | 158 | 7,593 | 133 | 7,460 |
| 1995 | 7,701 | 83 | 7,784 | 113 | 7,671 |
| 1996 | 8,012 | 108 | 8,119 | 156 | 7,964 |
| 1997 | 8,543 | 121 | 8,664 | 306 | 8,358 |
| 1998 | 9,059 | 122 | 9,181 | 369 | 8,812 |
| 1999 | 9,295 | 114 | 9,409 | 348 | 9,061 |
| 2000 | 9,399 | 124 | 9,523 | 323 | 9,200 |
| 2001 | 9,189 | 139 | 9,329 | 257 | 9,072 |
| 2002 | 9,345 | 143 | 9,487 | 174 | 9,313 |
| 2003 | 9,174 | 144 | 9,318 | 158 | 9,160 |
| 2004 | 9,122 | 150 | 9,272 | 153 | 9,119 |
| 2005 | 9,118 | 155 | 9,273 | 269 | 9,004 |
| 2006 | 9,412 | 170 | 9,582 | 480 | 9,102 |
| 2007 | 9,204 | 153 | 9,357 | 568 | 8,789 |
| 2008 | 9,074 | 167 | 9,241 | 737 | 8,504 |
| 2009 (proj.) | 8,600 | 159 | 8,758 | 520 | 8,238 |
| 2010 (proj.) | 9,342 | 160 | 9,502 | 851 | 8,651 |

Source: USDA, ERS, Sugar and Sweetener Team.

Figure 8

Source: USDA, FSA, Sweetener Market Data .

Figure 9
Domestic shipments of high fructose corn syrup 42 (HFCS42), monthly, 1999-2009
1,000 tons, dry weight


Source: USDA, ERS, Sugar and Sweetener Team.

Table 5--Regression results: High fructose corn syrup and nonindustrial sugar end user on annual and monthly trend and direct consumption imports

| Dependent Variable: HFCS |  |  |  | Dependent | able: H | S55 | Dependent | riable: | ar deliveries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method: Least Squares |  |  |  | Method: Lea | Squares |  | to non-indu | ial end | ers |
| Sample: 1999:01 2009:10 |  |  |  | Sample: 199 | 1 2009 |  | Method: Lea | Square |  |
| Included observations: 130 |  |  |  | Included ob | ations: |  | Sample: 19 Included ob | $012009$ <br> vations |  |
| Variable 1/ 2 / | Coefficient | Error | t-Statistic | Coefficient | Error | tatistic | Coefficient | Error | Statistic |
| Constant | 267,542 | 6,811 | 39.279 | 488,347 | 8,577 | 56.937 | 401,378 | 34,196 | 11.738 |
| Annual trend | 2,208 | 555 | 3.981 | -5,746 | 655 | -8.778 | 1,705 | 647 | 2.637 |
| NOV | -31,233 | 4,757 | -6.565 | -12,658 | 6,617 | -1.913 | -13,917 | 6,685 | -2.082 |
| DEC | -32,767 | 4,729 | -6.929 | -- |  |  | -55,105 | 8,071 | -6.828 |
| JAN | -20,035 | 4,737 | -4.230 | -35,049 | 6,597 | -5.313 | -132,151 | 8,468 | -15.605 |
| FEB | -24,174 | 4,727 | -5.114 | -48,435 | 6,588 | -7.352 | -107,742 | 7,278 | -14.804 |
| MAR | 17,032 | 4,725 | 3.605 | 32,329 | 6,587 | 4.908 | -65,440 | 7,498 | -8.728 |
| APR | 14,255 | 4,723 | 3.018 | 20,760 | 6,586 | 3.152 | -78,208 | 6,558 | -11.925 |
| MAY | 25,844 | 4,720 | 5.476 | 62,646 | 6,586 | 9.512 | -70,525 | 6,724 | -10.489 |
| JUN | 28,721 | 4,724 | 6.079 | 74,528 | 6,586 | 11.316 | -42,923 | 6,525 | -6.578 |
| JUL | 23,089 | 4,725 | 4.886 | 42,286 | 6,587 | 6.419 | -48,468 | 6,441 | -7.525 |
| AUG | 41,424 | 4,872 | 8.502 | 72,357 | 6,828 | 10.597 | -31,795 | 7,129 | -4.460 |
| Sugar: delveries to ind. end users |  |  |  | -- |  |  | 0.190 | 0.061 | 3.105 |
| Sugar: 1-period lag of delveries to ind. end users | -- |  |  | -- |  |  | -0.274 | 0.061 | -4.528 |
| Direct consumption imports 2008-09 | -0.481 | 0.054 | -8.834 | -0.174 | 0.075 | -2.328 | -0.271 | 0.070 | -3.859 |
| R-squared | 0.820 |  |  | 0.858 |  |  | 0.878 |  |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.800 |  |  | 0.845 |  |  | 0.858 |  |  |
| S.E. of regression | 12,676 |  |  | 18,840 |  |  | 16,397 |  |  |
| Log likelihood | -1,405 |  |  | -1,458 |  |  | -1,436 |  |  |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 2.118 |  |  | 2.439 |  |  | 2.018 |  |  |

1/ HFCS-42 equation, indicator variable for 9/2006-9/2008: coefficient value: -8,055; t-stat: 2.264.
2/ Nonindustrial sugar equation, indicator variables for 12/2003: coeff.v. $=145,395, t-$ stat $=8.559$; for $12 / 2004$ :coeff. $v .=104,035, t$-stat $=6.084$; for 12/2006-3/2007: coeff.v. $=-45,462$, t-stat $=4.982$; and 12/2007-3/2008: coeff.v. $=-24,638$, $t$-stat $=2.665$.
Source: USDA, ERS, Sugar and Sweetener Team.
direct consumption imports. Refined sugar deliveries to nonindustrial end users have decreased by 27.1 percent (coefficient $=0.271$, $t$-stat $=3.859$ ) of the amount of direct consumption imports. The sum of the direct consumption import coefficients across the three equations is statistically indistinguishable from -1.0 . These results would support the contention that direct consumption imports have been substituting primarily for HFCS and to a lesser extent, for refined sugar to nonindustrial end users. Note that this relationship could change in the future, especially as sugar imports from Mexico decline as forecast in the WASDE for FY 2010.

Figure 11 shows monthly 2004-09 U.S. beet sugar spot prices from Milling and Baking News and unit import values from the U.S. Census Bureau for Mexican refined sugar and Canadian HFCS42. ${ }^{7 /}$ This latter variable serves as a proxy for unobservable U.S. HFCS42 prices. As can be seen, prior to 2008, there has been no detectable relationship among these prices. Starting in March 2008, the unit values of refined sugar from Mexico and HFCS42 from Canada begin to track. The correlation between the unit values is 0.89 from March 2008 through June 2009. In July, the gap between the unit values start to widen as the refined sugar unit value starts to increase (probably reflecting the rise in refined prices in Mexico). Through July, the correlation drops to 0.84 . If the gap continues to widen due to rising sugar prices, sugar substituting for HFCS can only continue at higher cost to the purchaser.

## FY 2010 Sugar Deliveries and Stocks

Deliveries for domestic food and beverage use for FY 2010 are projected at 10.140 million STRV, a very large decrease of nearly 600,000 STRV, or 5.5 percent, relative to FY 2009.

Ending fiscal year stocks are projected as the difference between total supply and total demand. Beginning stocks are estimated at 1.307 million STRV, the lowest carry-in since FY 1996. Although sugar production is 454,000 STRV higher than in FY 2009 (almost all from beet sugar), imports are projected 1.029 million STRV lower. With deliveries for human consumption projected at 10.140 million STRV, ending stocks are calculated at 844,000 STRV. The resulting ending-year stocks-touse ratio is 8.0 percent, the lowest level since FY 1958.

The projection for FY 2010 may represent a lower bound. It was derived by using estimated relationships between sugar end user deliveries and HFCS (table 6). ${ }^{8 /}$ It was further assumed that about one-third of imports from Mexico would be for direct consumption (contrasts with about two-thirds for FY 2009), that the specialty sugar portion of the refined sugar TRQ would be the same as FY 2009, and that the raw and refined sugar TRQs would not be set higher than minimum access levels consistent with international trade commitments.

An implication of the approach is that HFCS deliveries increase to recapture a goodly proportion of the market share in FY 2010 lost to sugar from Mexico in FYs 2008 and 2009. Table 4 shows HFCS domestic disappearance at 8.651 million tons, dry weight, an increase of 413,000 tons, or 5 percent, relative to FY 2009. It remains to be seen if consumer preferences can change sufficiently to accept HFCS in products that had made the switch to sugar and/or if firms producing HFCS will aggressively market their product to recapture markets lost to sugar.
${ }^{7 /}$ Unit import value is the total value of imports of a product divided by the quantity imported of the product.
${ }^{8 /}$ Sugar deliveries to nonindustrial end users is the same as in table 5 .

Figure 10
Domestic shipments of high fructose corn syrup 55 (HFCS55), monthly, 1999-2009


Source: USDA, ERS, Sugar and Sweetener Team.

Figure 11
Monthly refined sugar and HFCS42 pricing, 2004-2009


|  | Dependent Variable: sugar deliveries to industrial end users <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Sample: 1992:01 2009:10 <br> Included observations: 214 |  |  | Dependent Variable: sugar deliveries to non-industrial end users <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Sample: 1999:01 2009:10 <br> Included observations: 130 |  |  | Dependent Variable: HFCS <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Sample: 1999:01 2009:10 <br> Included observations: 130 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable 1/ $2 /$ | Coefficient S | Error | t-Statistic | Coefficient | . Error | Statistic | Coefficient | . Error | tatistic |
| Constant | 451,036 | 4,227 | 106.693 | 401,378 | 34,196 | 11.738 | 762,983 | 13,860 | 55.049 |
| Annual trend | 1,742 | 326 | 5.348 | 1,705 | 647 | 2.637 | -4,306 | 1,029 | -4.184 |
| OCT | -9,453 | 5,346 | -1.768 | -- |  |  | -- |  |  |
| NOV | -67,827 | 5,250 | -12.921 | -13,917 | 6,685 | -2.082 | -42,669 | 11,083 | -3.850 |
| DEC | -107,582 | 5,250 | -20.494 | -55,105 | 8,071 | -6.828 | -30,783 | 11,031 | -2.791 |
| JAN | -66,146 | 5,250 | -12.600 | -132,151 | 8,468 | -15.605 | -54,029 | 11,045 | -4.892 |
| FEB | -73,337 | 5,234 | -14.012 | -107,742 | 7,278 | -14.804 | -71,680 | 11,027 | -6.501 |
| MAR | -19,740 | 5,234 | -3.772 | -65,440 | 7,498 | -8.728 | 50,253 | 11,023 | 4.559 |
| APR | -45,881 | 5,234 | -8.766 | -78,208 | 6,558 | -11.925 | 35,872 | 11,020 | 3.255 |
| MAY | -28,071 | 5,234 | -5.363 | -70,525 | 6,724 | -10.489 | 89,234 | 11,015 | 8.101 |
| JUN | -- |  |  | -42,923 | 6,525 | -6.578 | 103,379 | 11,020 | 9.381 |
| JUL | -32,605 | 5,224 | -6.241 | -48,468 | 6,441 | -7.525 | 66,284 | 11,024 | 6.013 |
| AUG | -- |  |  | -31,795 | 7,129 | -4.460 | 114,185 | 11,373 | 10.040 |
| Sugar: delveries to ind. end users | -- |  |  | 0.190 | 0.061 | 3.105 | -- |  |  |
| Sugar: 1-period lag of delveries to ind. end users | -- |  |  | -0.274 | 0.061 | -4.528 | -- |  |  |
| Direct consumption imports since 2007 | -- |  |  | -0.271 | 0.070 | -3.859 | -0.608 | 0.118 | -5.164 |
| R -squared | 0.831 |  |  | 0.878 |  |  | 0.848 |  |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.818 |  |  | 0.858 |  |  | 0.832 |  |  |
| S.E. of regression | 19,084 |  |  | 16,397 |  |  | 29,591 |  |  |
| Log likelihood | -2,405 |  |  | -1,436 |  |  | -1,516 |  |  |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 2.026 |  |  | 2.018 |  |  | 2.315 |  |  |
| 1/ Industrial sugar equation, indicator variables for 1/1992-8/1993:coeff.v=-31,253,t-stat=5.759;for 12/1997-11/2001:coeff.v. $=32,103, t$-stat $=9.786$;for $1 / 200$ coeff. $v .=-142,394$, t-stat $=7.232$; for $5 / 2006-1 / 2007$ :coeff.v. $=-25,936, t$-stat $=3.793$; for $2 / 2008-10 / 2008:$ coeff $. v .=29,214$, $t-s t a t=4.185$. <br> 2/ Nonindustrial sugar equation, indicator variables for 12/2003: coeff. v. $=145,395, t-$ stat $=8.559$; for 12/2004:coeff. $v .=104,035$, $t$-stat $=6.084$; <br> for 12/2006-3/2007: coeff.v. $=-45,462, t-$ stat=4.982; and 12/2007-3/2008: coeff.v. $=-24,638$, $t$-stat $=2.665$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Mexico sugar production for fiscal year (FY) 2010 is forecast at 5.500 million metric tons, raw value (MTRV), up 240,000 MTRV from this year's disappointing production total of 5.260 million MTRV (table 7). For FY 2010, the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) expects sugarcane area to be about the same as in FY 2009 but expects some recovery in sugarcane yield-up from 64.2 metric tons per hectare to 69.3 mt (figs. 12 and 13). Even so, the FY 2010 yield forecast is still below the 10-year average and may be optimistic. Certain sugarcane-producing regions in Mexico have continued experiencing dry growing conditions. It is also unclear to what extent use of chemical/fertilizer inputs has recovered from the 2008/09 crop year. Although dollar prices of imported chemical and fertilizer inputs have been less this year than last, an offset has resulted from the depreciation of the Mexican peso by about 30 percent since August 2008.

Sugar prices in Mexico have risen substantially from the low levels experienced in late winter 2009 (figs. 14 and 15). The Mexico City refined sugar price has risen 136 percent since February 2009, averaging over 45 cents per pound (lb) in September 2009. The Mexico City estandar sugar price has also averaged over 45 cents per lb in September, rising 175 percent since its low in February. These high prices are a recognition that sugar exported to the United States and lower-thanexpected production have left Mexico with inadequate supplies to meet domestic demand through the remainder of the calendar year.

Since August, the Government of Mexico has announced three tariff-rate quotas totaling $900,000 \mathrm{mt}$, or 954,000 MTRV (table 8). Nicaragua receives a 10 -percent duty-free allocation of the quota amounts, with the remainder available to all other countries at a reduced tariff. To date, two allocations have been made for a total of 550,000 mt. All sugar must enter by December 31, 2009.

In the September 2009 World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimates (WASDE), the USDA estimated FY 2009 imports to Mexico at 215,000 MTRV, of which 115,000 MTRV is made up of imports of U.S. refined sugar under USDA's Refined Sugar Re-export Program. This amount is assumed to be destined for Mexico's sugar-containing products export program, IMMEX. The remainder is sourced from the announced tariff-rate quotas (TRQs) that are estimated to enter before September 30, 2009.

The USDA forecasts FY 2010 imports at 710,000 MTRV. Imports from the United States for the IMMEX program are forecast at 175,000 MTRV. The remainder (535, 000 MTRV) is sourced from the first two announced TRQs and are projected to enter after September 30, 2009 but before December 31, 2009.9/ The third quota of $300,000 \mathrm{mt}$ was announced after the September 2009 WASDE and is therefore not included in the import projection.

Exports from Mexico are projected at 450,000 MTRV, a greater proportion of which may be estandar sugar than in FY 2009. Given projected limitations on the supply of raw sugar in the United States, cane sugar refineries may turn increasingly to Mexico to meet their needs. Exports of refined sugar to the United States, however, could prove significant, given supplier relationships that have been developed since the completion of the phase-in period of the North American Free Trade Agreement.

9/ TRQs announced on August 6 and September 7 sum to $600,000 \mathrm{mt}$, or 636,000 MTRV, of which 100,000 MTRV enters before the end of September, leaving 536,000 (rounded to $535,000)$ MTRV to enter. That amount plus 175,000 MTRV from the United States yields the 710,000 MTRV.

Figure 12
Mexico sugarcane area for harvest, by region, 1999-2010


Source: CNIAA; USDA, ERS, Sugar and Sweetener Team (projection).

Figure 13
Mexico sugarcane yield, 1999-2009, 2010 (projected)
Metric tons/hectare


Source: CNIAA; USDA, ERS, Sugar and Sweetener Team (projection).

Figure 14
Monthly refined sugar prices, Mexico and United States,
2007 through September 2009


HFCS = High fructose corn syrup.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Milling and Baking News; Economia, SNIIC.

Figure 15
Monthly raw and estandar sugar prices, Mexico, 2007 through September 2009


HFCS = High fructose corn syrup.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Economia, SNIIC.

Table 7--Mexico: Sugar production and supply and sugar and high fructose corn syrup utilization

| Fiscal year (Oct/Sept) | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 1/ | 2010 1/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 Metric Tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning stocks | 941 | 1,063 | 1,548 | 1,172 | 1,194 | 1,237 | 1,965 | 1,294 | 1,718 | 1,975 | 665 |
| Production | 4,979 | 5,220 | 5,169 | 5,229 | 5,330 | 6,149 | 5,604 | 5,633 | 5,852 | 5,260 | 5,500 |
| Imports | 37 | 43 | 52 | 63 | 327 | 268 | 240 | 474 | 226 | 215 | 710 |
| Supply | 5,957 | 6,326 | 6,769 | 6,464 | 6,851 | 7,654 | 7,809 | 7,401 | 7,796 | 7,450 | 6,875 |
| Disappearance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Human consumption | 4,445 | 4,481 | 5,004 | 5,097 | 5,380 | 5,279 | 5,326 | 5,133 | 5,090 | 5,065 | 5,140 |
| Other consumption | 131 | 142 | 180 | 135 | 220 | 282 | 323 | 390 | 414 | 475 | 400 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -360 |  |  |
| Total | 4,576 | 4,623 | 5,184 | 5,232 | 5,600 | 5,561 | 5,649 | 5,523 | 5,144 | 5,540 | 5,540 |
| Exports | 318 | 155 | 413 | 38 | 14 | 128 | 866 | 160 | 677 | 1,245 | 450 |
| Total use | 4,894 | 4,778 | 5,597 | 5,270 | 5,614 | 5,689 | 6,515 | 5,683 | 5,821 | 6,785 | 5,990 |
| Ending stocks | 1,063 | 1,548 | 1,172 | 1,194 | 1,237 | 1,965 | 1,294 | 1,718 | 1,975 | 665 | 885 |
| Stocks-to-human consumption | 23.9 | 34.5 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.0 | 37.2 | 24.3 | 33.5 | 38.8 | 13.1 | 17.2 |
| Stocks-to-use | 21.7 | 32.4 | 20.9 | 22.7 | 22.0 | 34.6 | 19.9 | 30.2 | 33.9 | 9.8 | 14.8 |
| HFCS consumption, (dry weight) | 580 | 600 | 263 | 130 | 135 | 355 | 667 | 698 | 782 | 610 | 900 |

## 1/ Forecast.

HFCS = High fructose corn syrup.
Source: USDA, FAS, Production, Supply, and Distribution online (historical data); USDA, WASDE (forecast data).

Table 8--Mexico 2009 sugar import quota program, through Sept. 30

| Date announced | Quota amount | Country of origin |  | Date of auction | Tender amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Nicaragua (duty-free) | All others |  |  |
| Aug. 6 | 393,000 | 39,300 | 353,700 | Sept. 2 | 100,000 |
| Sept. 7 | 207,000 | 20,700 | 186,300 | Sept. 18 | 450,000 |
| Sept. 18 | 300,000 | 30,000 | 270,000 | Sept. 30 (canceled) | 343,000 (canceled) |
| Total (9/29/2009) | 900,000 | 90,000 | 810,000 |  | 550,000 |

Source: Government of Mexico, Secretariat of Economy.

Sugar deliveries for human consumption are projected at 5.140 million MTRV, and deliveries of domestic sugar for the IMMEX program are projected at 400,000 MTRV. Ending-year stocks, the difference between total supply and use, are projected at 885,000 MTRV. The resulting stocks-to-consumption ratio is 17.2 percent.

## Maple Syrup

Following 2008’s 26-percent production increase, U.S. maple syrup output in 2009 jumped another 22 percent to 2.33 million gallons, the highest since 1944.
Temperatures were largely favorable for sap flow in the major States where the number of taps and yield per tap also increased. These States include Vermont, Maine, New York, and Wisconsin. Yield per tap in New England expanded 26 percent in 2009 and, combined with 3 percent more taps, boosted syrup produced in the region by 30 percent.

Given that U.S. imports of maple sugar (Harmonized System (HS) 170220) from Canada in the first half of 2009 are close to 13,000 metric tons, total supplydomestic production plus imports-for the year is likely to be below 2008's level when year-to-date import volume was 15,175 metric tons. U.S. maple syrup imports were 260 percent larger than domestic production in 2008. Prices may remain around 2008's $\$ 40.50$-per-gallon average unless demand weakens significantly due to the current economic downturn. Although 43 gallons of maple tree sap were needed on average to distill a gallon of syrup in 2009, up from 39 gallons in 2008, lower fuel oil prices this year are likely to keep prices relatively stable in the short term. In addition, if more than half of domestic syrup sales are in the bulk market as they were in 2008, prices tend to be lower (than if more sales are in the retail and wholesale markets).

Despite 26-percent higher syrup production in 2008 and a sizable import volume from Canada, prices hit an average $\$ 40.50$ per gallon last year, the highest on record. Following the trend of other food commodity prices in 2008, high maple syrup prices raised the value of U.S. production by 56 percent to $\$ 77.5$ million, bringing up the average value per tap to a record $\$ 9.31$ in 2008 from $\$ 6.09$ in 2007.

Although syrup production was up sharply in 2008, import volume was smaller and exports larger, thus domestic supply dropped 10 percent to 6.93 million gallons from 7.7 million gallons in 2007. As a result, U.S. consumption of maple syrup fell 14 percent. Syrup consumption per household dropped from 8.1 ounces in 2007 to 6.9 ounces in 2008, a 15 -percent decline. About 82 percent of maple syrup consumed in the United States last year was imported from Canada.

As the number of tree taps in the U.S. grew from below 7 million in 2001 to 8.7 million taps in 2009, average yield and thus total syrup production climbed as well. Average yield per tap was higher in the New England States as a group than in the other U.S. regions in the past decade. Nevertheless, because of the generally higher price per gallon of syrup from Midwest States, their value per tap typically exceeded other States.

The length of the tapping season was 10 percent shorter in New England in 2009 than in 2008. The shorter season limited the potential volume of syrup production this year in the region but boosted the average amount of syrup produced per tap per day in the 2009 tapping season. As a result, average syrup production per tap per day exceeded 1 ounce on average for the New England States in 2009 for the first time since 2004.

Figure 16
Maple syrup: Production and price


Source: USDA, NASS, Maple Syrup .

## Tight Supplies Expected To Sustain High U.S. Sugar

 Prices into 2009/10 1/While global food commodity prices as a whole have declined by more than a third since their recent peak in mid-2008, U.S. and global sugar prices have moved in the opposite direction. Since the beginning of 2008, U.S. (wholesale) refined sugar prices have climbed roughly 40 percent, with monthly prices exceeding 30 cents per pound since June 2008 (fig. A1). Dating back to 1960, U.S. annual average refined sugar prices have exceeded 30 cents per pound (lb) only five previous times, most recently in 2005/06, when Hurricanes Katrina and Wilma disrupted U.S. sugar production and refining capacity.

Domestically, the price increases are most notable for wholesale refined sugar, with lesser gains for raw (unrefined) and retail sugar. Starting from much lower levels, global refined sugar prices have climbed nearly 60 percent since the beginning of 2009, moving from under 16 cents/lb in January to nearly 25 cents/lb in August.

Due to import restrictions, the United States is not highly integrated into global sugar markets (outside of Mexico), so domestic price movements are mostly related to developments within the North American sugar and sweeteners market. However, the more recent rise in global prices-which occurred for independent reasons-will indirectly support U.S. prices in the coming year. The already low domestic stocks-to-use ratio-estimated at a 33-year low of 11.8 percent in 2008/09—is projected to decline even further to 8.0 percent in 2009/10, partly due to an anticipated decline in imports.

As with the high food commodity prices during 2007-2008, a variety of causes contributed to the recent upswing in U.S. and global sugar prices. Developments in the past year (2008/09) and prospects for 2009/10 are largely guided by the following factors:

- Increased use of sugar in recent years by the domestic food and beverage industry in place of high-fructose corn syrup.
- Reduced sugar production in 2008/09 as U.S. beet sugar producers turned to other crops offering higher returns.
- A disruption to U.S. sugar-refining capacity caused by a refinery explosion in February 2008.
- A projected decline in 2009/10 U.S. sugar imports from Mexico, where prices are also climbing.
- Limited flexibility to increase imports from other countries beyond minimum access levels established by the U.S. tariff-rate quota (TRQ) system.
${ }^{1 /}$ The authors are Erik Dohlman and Stephen Haley, Senior Economists with Market and Trade Economics Division, Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Figure A1
Sugar prices move higher as other commodity prices decline


## Sugar Accounts for a Rising Share of Domestic Sweetener Use

Although rising domestic sugar prices are largely tied to supply factors, underlying demand-side trends related to the distribution of sweetener use in the United States have also played a role. Overall sweetener use (sugar, high-fructose corn syrup, sugar in product imports) has been fairly stable during this decade, but the share accounted for by sugar has recently grown at the expense of high fructose corn syrup (HFCS).

For the first time, HFCS deliveries exceeded sugar deliveries on several occasions between 2002 and 2004, but sugar deliveries have moved back ahead of HFCS deliveries by a growing margin since 2007 (fig. A2). HFCS typically sells at 20-40 percent below wholesale refined sugar in the United States, but HFCS brought a premium in early 2008-a time when corn prices were very high-and HFCS prices have remained close to sugar prices since that time (fig. A3).

The narrowing price differential and perhaps other nonprice factors have contributed to substitution of sugar for HFCS in the U.S. sweeteners market. According to the U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA's) September 2009 World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimates (WASDE), U.S. sugar deliveries for food reached 10.74 million short tons in 2008/09, up 8 percent from a 10 -year average of 9.95 million short tons and the highest level since the early 1970s.

Figure A2
U.S. sugar deliveries climb further above HFCS deliveries

Quarterly deliveries (thousand short tons)


Source: USDA, ERS, Sugar and Sweeteners Yearbook Tables.
(http://www.ers.usda.gov/Briefing/Sugar/data.htm\#yearbook). HFCS = High fructose corn syrup. Note: sugar is in raw value, HFCS is dry weight.

Figure A3
Price differential between sugar and HFCS narrows
Ratio of HFCS to refined wholesale beet sugar prices


Source: USDA, ERS, Sugar and Sweeteners Yearbook Tables.
(http://www.ers.usda.gov/Briefing/Sugar/data.htm\#yearbook). HFCS = High fructose corn syrup.

## Attractive Prices for Alternative Crops Diminish Beet Sugar Production in 2008/09

Over the past decade, U.S. sugar production has averaged 8.23 million short tons per year, with sugar beets accounting for roughly 55-60 percent of production. Although both sugarcane and sugar beet area vary year-to-year, sugar beet area tends to fluctuate more than sugarcane area does, reflecting sugar beets’ shorter production cycle ( 1 year vs. 3-4 years) and greater responsiveness to alternative crop prices. In 2008/09, prices for sugar beet alternatives, such as corn, soybeans, wheat, and other crops, were far more attractive to farmers than at any time since 1990, which induced area away from sugar beet plantings (fig. A4). As a result, sugar beet plantings fell 14 percent to 1.09 million acres in 2008/09-the lowest plantings since 1983.

Sugar beet yields were a record 26.7 tons per acre in 2008/09, but the production of sugar from sugar beets fell 10 percent ( 461,000 tons) from the previous year. Reduced sugar beet production more than offset a very small increase in production of sugar from sugar cane and brought overall production down 7 percent to 7.57 million tons. Combined with high deliveries (use) of domestic sugar in 2008/09, the shortfall in U.S. sugar production pushed the U.S. sugar stocks-to-use ratio to a 33year low of 11.8 percent, down from 15.2 percent the year before and a 10 -year average of 17 percent (fig. A5).

Figure A4
U.S. sugar beet area planted and previous year's ratio of sugar beet to alternative crop unit values $1 /$


1/ Excludes California, except for Imperial Valley. 2/ Ratio of national sugarbeet return per acre to areaplanted weighted-average of alternative crop returns, aggregated up from State district-level NASS crop production and value data for all beet producing districts. Alternative crops: barley, cotton, dry beans, corn, potatoes, soybeans, wheat. 2009 Preliminary. Source: USDA, NASS, Crop Production and Crop Values; Ratio calculated by Sugar and Sweeteners Team.

Figure A5
Deliveries exceed production by a widening margin the past $\mathbf{2}$ years


Source: USDA, ERS, Sugar and Sweetener Yearbook tables. (http://www.ers.usda.gov/Briefing/ Sugar/data.htm\#yearbook). 2009/10 Projected.

## Loss of Sugar-Refining Capacity Widens Gap Between Raw and Refined Sugar Prices

In addition to reduced sugar beet area in 2008/09, which lowered domestic raw sugar production for the second consecutive year, the availability of refined sugar has been disrupted since February 2008 by an explosion at the Imperial Sugar refinery in Georgia. The explosion immediately took approximately 15 percent of U.S. sugar-refining capacity offline and contributed to much larger increases in wholesale prices for refined beet sugar than in prices for raw sugar.

Between February and July 2008, refined beet sugar prices increased 44 percent whereas raw sugar prices rose by 18 percent. With the plant remaining largely offline until July 2009, U.S. refining capacity use-which averaged about 90 percent in the 2 years preceding the explosion-has been running near or above full capacity since the explosion (fig. A6). The Imperial Sugar Company reported that it operated at about 25 percent of normal capacity in August 2009 and would complete restoration of packing facilities at the factory by the end of 2009. This completion may contribute to a narrowing of the unusually large gap between refined wholesale and raw sugar prices in the United States.

Monthly sugar refiners' capacity use, 2004/05-2008/09


## High Global Prices Expected To Push U.S. Imports Down Sharply From Near-Record Levels in 2008/09

With reduced domestic supplies and the disruption to refining capacity, U.S. sugar imports increased to a near-record of 3.1 million tons in 2008/09. Record imports from Mexico-which received duty-free status starting in January 2008-and a temporary expansion of access to low-tariff imports from other countries helped to somewhat offset reduced domestic supplies. About two-thirds of Mexican sugar exports to the United States were refined sugar. The additional imports of low-tariff refined sugar were authorized by an August 2008 USDA decision to increase the fiscal year (FY) 2008 refined sugar TRQ by 300,000 short tons, raw value (STRV), with entry allowed through the end of the calendar year (into FY 2009).

The additional refined sugar imports under the TRQ—and high imports of both raw and refined sugar from Mexico in 2008/09—eased, but did not eliminate, upward pressure on refined sugar prices during the marketing year. However, total domestic supplies are expected to drop 7.5 percent ( 928,000 STRV) in 2009/10, as lower beginning stocks and a projected 33-percent decline in total imports more than offset an increase in domestic production (projected at 8.03 million tons). Higher global sugar prices and reduced imports from both Mexico and the countries governed by the U.S. TRQ system are likely to sustain domestic sugar prices at high levels over the coming year.

## U.S. Imports From Mexico Projected To Drop by Two-Thirds as Mexican Prices Rise

Sugar imports from Mexico have been duty free under terms of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) since January 2008, which paved the way for a large increase in shipments to the United States in 2008/09. Mexican exports to the United States nearly doubled in 2008/09 to a record 1.375 million tons, but tighter supplies and rising prices for semi-refined (estandar) sugar in Mexico are projected to pare exports to the United States by 64 percent in 2009/10.

Exports to the United States were attractive for Mexico in 2008/09 as U.S. wholesale refined sugar prices climbed past 30 cents/lb, and Mexican estandar prices hovered mostly below 22 cents/lb through the first half of the marketing year. However, the strong pace of exports and below-average Mexican production in 2008/09 resulted in a large drawdown of stocks, leaving Mexican ending stocks at a third the previous year's level-the lowest in well over a decade. Estandar sugar in Mexico surged past 30 cents/lb in July 2009 and stood less than 5 cents/lb lower than U.S. wholesale refined sugar prices in August compared with a gap of 17-18 cents in early 2009.

As a result, Mexico will have far less sugar available to export to the United States, and prices are likely to be comparatively high. The USDA’s September 2009 WASDE places projected Mexican sugar exports to the United States at 495,000 tons (STRV). To meet domestic needs, Mexico is now projected to import a record 782,000 tons (STRV) in 2009/10, even as international prices have risen to the highest level of the decade (fig. A7).

Figure A7


Source: USDA, ERS, Sugar and Sweeteners Yearbook Tables.
http://www.ers.usda.gov/Briefing/Sugar/data\#yearbook.

## U.S. Imports From Other Countries Expected To Dip Further Below Minimum TRQ Access Levels

The traditionally large gap between U.S. and global sugar prices provides a strong incentive to export sugar to the United States, but U.S. imports from countries other than Mexico are governed by a tariff-rate quota (TRQ) system, which limits imports beyond a certain level. A TRQ is a two-tiered tariff for which the average tariff rate charged depends on the volume of imports. Low tariffs are charged on a preestablished quantity of imports, while higher tariffs (over 15 cents/lb) are charged on imports beyond that level. Since FY 2000, yearly imports under the sugar TRQ have averaged 1.48 million STRV. The quantity allocated to refined sugar is normally a small proportion of the total, amounting to about 2 percent.

While the total quantity of low-tariff sugar imports permitted under the TRQ system is roughly 1.38 million tons in 2009/10, USDA projections anticipate TRQ imports of 1.18 million tons, nearly 250,000 tons less than estimated TRQ imports in 2008/09. TRQ imports are projected to decline in 2009/10 for several reasons.

First, the projected shortfall in TRQ imports, at 200,000 tons, is a larger than normal due to diminished global production and trade in 2008/09, which contributed to high world sugar prices that are likely to linger into 2009/10 (fig. A8). The narrowing gap between U.S. and international prices has made the United States a less attractive export destination. The sugar TRQ is allocated to 40 countries, and it is not unusual for some countries to underfill their TRQ exports to

Figure A8
Global production and trade slips in 2008/09


Note: Data is for centrifugal sugar. EU data is for EU-15 (2000-03), EU-25 (2004-05), and EU-27 (2006-09). Source: USDA, FAS, Production, Supply, and Distribution Online. (http://www.fas.usda.gov/psdonline/psdQuery.aspx).
the United States, but the overall TRQ shortfall is typically well below half the anticipated 2009/10 level. Global sugar prices have been climbing since the beginning of 2009 primarily in response to market developments in Brazil and India, the world's two leading sugar producers. In Brazil, wet weather (lowering sucrose content in cane) and a rising share of production devoted to ethanol have constrained growth in sugar exports. Consecutive poor harvests in India in 2008/09 and 2009/10 have turned the country from a net exporter of 5.8 million tons of sugar in 2007/08 to a net importer in 2008/09 and 2009/10 (projected).

Second, although the USDA authorized 300,000 tons of additional TRQ entries of refined sugar in FY 2008 (much of which entered in FY 2009-the 2008/09 crop year), the USDA has not authorized any above-TRQ entries for FY 2009 or FY 2010. Provisions of the 2008 Farm Act state that additional entries before April 1 of the next year can be authorized by the USDA only in the event of a sugar shortage caused by an emergency situation, such as a natural disaster or war. Sugar imports can be increased after that date for any reason if a shortfall persists, as long as the increase does not threaten to result in forfeitures to the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC).

## Prices Expected To Remain Elevated Into 2010

The USDA does not project U.S. or global sugar prices in its monthly WASDE report. However, tight domestic supplies and a delayed supply response internationally to higher prices suggest that prices will remain elevated well into the coming 2009/10 marketing year. Current month (September 2009) futures contract quotes for world (contract \#11, NYBOT, May 2010 contract) and U.S. (contract \#16, ICE, March 2010 contract) raw sugar prices indicate that prices are expected to remain near or above recent monthly prices. Continued high prices through next year may induce increased production both domestically and abroad, particularly if prices for sugar remain attractive compared with those for alternative crops or uses (e.g., sugar beets vs. alternative crops in the United States or sugar vs. ethanol in Brazil).

## Contact Information

Stephen Haley, (202) 694-5247, shaley@ers.usda.gov (coordinator)
Andy Jerardo, (202) 694-5266, ajerardo@ers.usda.gov (maple syrup)
Erik Dohlman (202) 694-5308, edohlman@ers.usda.gov (special article)
Mae Dean Johnson (202) 694-5245, maedean@ers.usda.gov (web publishing)

## Subscription Information

Subscribe to ERS' e-mail notification service at http://www.ers.usda.gov/updates/ to receive timely notification of newsletter availability. Printed copies can be purchased from the USDA Order Desk by calling 1-800-999-6779 (specify the issue number).

## Data

Tables from the Sugar and Sweeteners Yearbook are available in the Sugar and Sweeteners Briefing Room at http://www.ers.usda.gov/briefing/sugar/. They contain the latest data and historical information on the production, use, prices, imports, and exports of sugar and sweeteners.

## Related Websites

WASDE http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/MannUsda/viewDocumentInfo.do? documented=1194
Sugar Briefing Room, http://www.ers.usda.gov/briefing/Sugar/

## E-mail Notification

Readers of ERS outlook reports have two ways they can receive an e-mail notice about release of reports and associated data.

- Receive timely notification (soon after the report is posted on the web) via USDA's Economics, Statistics and Market Information System (which is housed at Cornell University's Mann Library). Go to http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/M annUsda/aboutEmailService.do and follow the instructions to receive e-mail notices about ERS, Agricultural Marketing Service, National Agricultural Statistics Service, and World Agricultural Outlook Board products.
- Receive weekly notification (on Friday afternoon) via the ERS website. Go to http://www.ers.usda.gov/Updates/ and follow the instructions to receive notices about ERS outlook reports, Amber Waves magazine, and other reports and data products on specific topics. ERS also offers RSS (really simple syndication) feeds for all ERS products. Go to http://www.ers.usda.gov/rss/ to get started.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and, where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Table 9--World ref ined sugar price, monthly, quarterly, and by calendar and fiscal year 1/

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |  | 1st Q. | 2nd Q. | 3rd Q. | 4th Q. | : Calendar | Fiscal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cents per pound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 13.39 | 13.40 | 13.86 | 12.90 | 12.99 | 13.94 | 14.73 | 14.40 | 13.09 | 13.03 | 12.71 | 12.46 |  | 13.55 | 13.28 | 14.07 | 12.73 | 13.41 | 13.71 |
| 1992 | 12.18 | 11.92 | 12.19 | 12.54 | 12.89 | 13.41 | 13.41 | 12.96 | 12.29 | 11.94 | 11.68 | 11.26 |  | 12.10 | 12.95 | 12.89 | 11.63 | 12.39 | 12.67 |
| 1993 | 11.60 | 11.97 | 13.05 | 13.38 | 13.39 | 12.64 | 12.20 | 13.05 | 12.90 | 13.23 | 13.15 | 12.97 |  | 12.21 | 13.14 | 12.72 | 13.12 | 12.79 | 12.42 |
| 1994 | 13.14 | 14.11 | 15.46 | 14.92 | 15.77 | 16.05 | 15.54 | 15.62 | 15.42 | 15.46 | 17.77 | 18.65 |  | 14.24 | 15.58 | 15.53 | 17.29 | 15.66 | 14.62 |
| 1995 | 18.75 | 18.17 | 17.45 | 16.31 | 17.05 | 19.16 | 20.27 | 20.01 | 16.58 | 17.29 | 17.64 | 17.21 |  | 18.12 | 17.51 | 18.95 | 17.38 | 17.99 | 17.97 |
| 1996 | 17.36 | 17.90 | 18.14 | 18.02 | 17.79 | 18.00 | 16.99 | 16.81 | 15.74 | 14.87 | 14.09 | 13.95 |  | 17.80 | 17.94 | 16.51 | 14.30 | 16.64 | 17.41 |
| 1997 | 13.87 | 13.98 | 14.05 | 14.19 | 14.61 | 14.93 | 15.07 | 15.66 | 14.51 | 13.58 | 13.81 | 13.64 |  | 13.97 | 14.58 | 15.08 | 13.68 | 14.33 | 14.48 |
| 1998 | 13.52 | 12.78 | 12.23 | 11.63 | 12.00 | 11.80 | 11.65 | 11.62 | 10.05 | 10.00 | 10.78 | 10.97 |  | 12.84 | 11.81 | 11.11 | 10.58 | 11.59 | 12.36 |
| 1999 | 10.99 | 10.50 | 9.85 | 8.79 | 9.13 | 9.93 | 9.47 | 9.04 | 8.28 | 7.85 | 7.73 | 7.61 |  | 10.45 | 9.28 | 8.93 | 7.73 | 9.10 | 9.81 |
| 2000 | 7.70 | 7.67 | 7.83 | 8.66 | 9.06 | 10.63 | 11.38 | 11.29 | 11.74 | 11.76 | 11.02 | 10.95 |  | 7.73 | 9.45 | 11.47 | 11.24 | 9.97 | 9.10 |
| 2001 | 11.27 | 10.65 | 10.26 | 10.61 | 11.71 | 12.68 | 12.60 | 12.08 | 10.66 | 10.19 | 11.27 | 11.52 |  | 10.73 | 11.67 | 11.78 | 10.99 | 11.29 | 11.35 |
| 2002 | 11.88 | 10.80 | 10.81 | 10.09 | 10.28 | 10.02 | 10.23 | 10.33 | 9.68 | 9.72 | 10.16 | 10.25 |  | 11.16 | 10.13 | 10.08 | 10.04 | 10.35 | 10.59 |
| 2003 | 10.64 | 11.10 | 10.51 | 10.14 | 9.95 | 9.66 | 9.84 | 9.74 | 8.95 | 8.39 | 8.67 | 9.23 |  | 10.75 | 9.92 | 9.51 | 8.76 | 9.74 | 10.06 |
| 2004 | 9.16 | 9.54 | 10.59 | 11.19 | 10.78 | 10.73 | 11.81 | 11.80 | 11.12 | 11.21 | 11.27 | 11.23 |  | 9.76 | 10.90 | 11.58 | 11.24 | 10.87 | 10.25 |
| 2005 | 11.63 | 12.09 | 12.02 | 11.76 | 11.75 | 12.61 | 14.70 | 14.81 | 14.60 | 14.18 | 13.10 | 15.00 |  | 11.91 | 12.04 | 14.70 | 14.09 | : 13.19 | 12.47 |
| 2006 | 16.92 | 19.99 | 20.45 | 21.35 | 21.81 | 20.93 | 20.95 | 18.16 | 17.32 | 17.92 | 16.41 | 15.86 |  | 19.12 | 21.36 | 18.81 | 16.73 | : 19.01 | 18.35 |
| 2007 | 15.13 | 14.92 | 15.59 | 14.21 | 14.94 | 14.36 | 14.13 | 12.87 | 12.54 | 12.56 | 13.00 | 13.78 | : | 15.21 | 14.50 | 13.18 | 13.11 | : 14.00 | 14.91 |
| 2008 | 15.17 | 16.61 | 15.79 | 15.87 | 14.92 | 16.35 | 17.06 | 17.92 | 17.52 | 15.07 | 15.00 | 14.27 |  | 15.86 | 15.71 | 17.50 | 14.78 | 15.96 | 15.55 |
| 2009 | 15.67 | 17.60 | 17.83 | 18.38 | 20.10 | 19.98 | 21.36 | 24.89 | 26.27 |  |  |  |  | 17.03 | 19.49 | 24.17 |  |  | 18.87 |

$1 /$ Contract No. 5, London DailyP rice, for refined sugar, f.o.b. Europe, spot, through June 2006. Starting in July 2006, spot price replac ed by average of nearest futur es month for which an entire month of prices is available. Source: London International Financial Futures and Options Exc hange (LIFFE).

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | 1st Q. | 2ndQ. | 3rd Q. | 4th Q. | Calendar | Fiscal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cents per pound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 8.88 | 8.57 | 9.22 | 8.55 | 7.88 | 9.37 | 10.26 | 9.45 | 9.39 | 9.10 | 8.79 | 9.03 | 8.89 | 8.60 | 9.70 | 8.97 | 9.04 | 9.26 |
| 1992 | 8.43 | 8.06 | 8.22 | 9.53 | 9.62 | 10.52 | 10.30 | 9.78 | 9.28 | 8.66 | 8.54 | 8.15 | 8.24 | 9.89 | 9.79 | 8.45 | 9.09 | 9.22 |
| 1993 | 8.27 | 8.61 | 10.75 | 11.30 | 11.87 | 10.35 | 9.60 | 9.30 | 9.52 | 10.27 | 10.10 | 10.47 | 9.21 | 11.17 | 9.47 | 10.28 | 10.03 | 9.58 |
| 1994 | 10.29 | 10.80 | 11.71 | 11.10 | 11.79 | 12.04 | 11.73 | 12.05 | 12.62 | 12.75 | 13.88 | 14.76 | 10.93 | 11.64 | 12.13 | 13.80 | 12.13 | 11.25 |
| 1995 | 14.87 | 14.43 | 14.58 | 13.63 | 13.49 | 13.99 | 13.46 | 13.75 | 12.72 | 11.94 | 11.96 | 12.40 | 14.63 | 13.70 | 13.31 | 12.10 | 13.44 | 13.86 |
| 1996 | 12.57 | 12.97 | 13.07 | 12.43 | 11.94 | 12.54 | 12.83 | 12.33 | 11.87 | 11.65 | 11.29 | 11.38 | 12.87 | 12.30 | 12.34 | 11.44 | 12.24 | 12.40 |
| 1997 | 11.13 | 11.06 | 11.17 | 11.50 | 11.54 | 12.02 | 12.13 | 12.54 | 12.65 | 12.86 | 13.19 | 12.90 | 11.12 | 11.69 | 12.44 | 12.98 | 12.06 | 11.67 |
| 1998 | 11.71 | 11.06 | 10.66 | 10.27 | 10.17 | 9.33 | 9.70 | 9.50 | 8.21 | 8.24 | 8.73 | 8.59 | 11.14 | 9.92 | 9.14 | 8.52 | 9.68 | 10.80 |
| 1999 | 8.40 | 7.05 | 6.11 | 5.44 | 5.83 | 6.67 | 6.11 | 6.39 | 6.98 | 6.90 | 6.54 | 6.00 | 7.19 | 5.98 | 6.49 | 6.48 | 6.54 | 7.05 |
| 2000 | 5.64 | 5.51 | 5.54 | 6.48 | 7.33 | 8.72 | 10.18 | 11.14 | 10.35 | 10.96 | 10.02 | 10.23 | 5.56 | 7.51 | 10.56 | 10.40 | 8.51 | 7.53 |
| 2001 | 10.63 | 10.26 | 9.64 | 9.27 | 9.96 | 9.80 | 9.48 | 8.77 | 8.60 | 7.15 | 7.80 | 8.02 | 10.18 | 9.68 | 8.95 | 7.66 | 9.12 | 9.80 |
| 2002 | 7.96 | 6.81 | 7.27 | 7.12 | 7.33 | 7.07 | 8.02 | 7.86 | 8.54 | 8.84 | 8.87 | 8.81 | 7.35 | 7.17 | 8.14 | 8.84 | 7.88 | 7.58 |
| 2003 | 8.56 | 9.14 | 8.50 | 7.92 | 7.41 | 6.85 | 7.18 | 7.30 | 6.70 | 6.74 | 6.83 | 6.95 | 8.73 | 7.39 | 7.06 | 6.84 | 7.51 | 8.01 |
| 2004 | 6.42 | 7.01 | 8.23 | 8.21 | 8.08 | 8.41 | 9.19 | 8.99 | 9.10 | 9.84 | 9.65 | 10.19 | 7.22 | 8.23 | 9.09 | 9.89 | 8.61 | 7.85 |
| 2005 | 10.33 | 10.51 | 10.57 | 10.19 | 10.23 | 10.45 | 10.89 | 11.09 | 11.59 | 12.40 | 12.86 | 15.09 | 10.47 | 10.29 | 11.19 | 13.45 | 11.35 | 10.46 |
| 2006 | 17.27 | 18.93 | 18.01 | 18.21 | 17.83 | 16.19 | 16.61 | 13.58 | 12.42 | 12.09 | 12.38 | 12.47 | 18.07 | 17.41 | 14.20 | 12.31 | 15.50 | 15.78 |
| 2007 | 11.85 | 11.63 | 11.44 | 10.85 | 10.78 | 11.05 | 12.18 | 11.66 | 11.61 | 11.86 | 11.83 | 12.47 | 11.64 | 10.89 | 11.82 | 12.05 | 11.60 | 11.67 |
| 2008 | 13.75 | 15.16 | 14.60 | 13.68 | 12.23 | 13.29 | 14.90 | 15.58 | 14.74 | 12.99 | 12.87 | 12.31 | 14.50 | 13.07 | 15.07 | 12.72 | 13.84 | 13.67 |
| 2009 | 13.09 | 13.90 | 13.83 | 14.43 | 16.89 | 16.94 | 18.57 | 22.37 | 23.11 |  |  |  | 13.61 | 16.09 | 21.35 |  |  | 15.94 |

1 Contract No. 11 f.o.b. stowed C aribbean port, inclu ding B razil, bulk spot price, plus freight to FarE ast.
Source: New York Board of Trade (www.nybot.com).

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |  | 1st Q. | 2nd Q. | 3rd Q. | 4th Q. | Calendar | Fiscal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cents per pound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 21.86 | 21.42 | 21.46 | 21.23 | 21.29 | 21.42 | 21.25 | 21.83 | 22.06 | 21.76 | 21.75 | 21.50 |  | 21.58 | 21.31 | 21.71 | 21.67 | 21.57 | 21.89 |
| 1992 | 21.38 | 21.56 | 21.36 | 21.38 | 21.04 | 20.92 | 21.10 | 21.34 | 21.55 | 21.61 | 21.39 | 21.11 |  | 21.43 | 21.11 | 21.33 | 21.37 | 21.31 | 21.39 |
| 1993 | 20.76 | 21.16 | 21.56 | 21.76 | 21.36 | 21.42 | 21.89 | 21.85 | 21.97 | 21.80 | 21.87 | 22.00 |  | 21.16 | 21.51 | 21.90 | 21.89 | 21.62 | 21.49 |
| 1994 | 22.00 | 21.95 | 21.95 | 22.08 | 22.18 | 22.44 | 22.72 | 21.84 | 21.78 | 21.58 | 21.57 | 22.35 |  | 21.97 | 22.23 | 22.11 | 21.83 | 22.04 | 22.05 |
| 1995 | 22.65 | 22.69 | 22.46 | 22.76 | 23.10 | 23.09 | 24.47 | 23.18 | 23.21 | 22.67 | 22.60 | 22.63 |  | 22.60 | 22.98 | 23.62 | 22.63 | 22.96 | 22.76 |
| 1996 | 22.39 | 22.68 | 22.57 | 22.71 | 22.62 | 22.48 | 21.80 | 22.51 | 22.38 | 22.37 | 22.12 | 22.14 |  | 22.55 | 22.60 | 22.23 | 22.21 | 22.40 | 22.50 |
| 1997 | 21.88 | 22.07 | 21.81 | 21.79 | 21.70 | 21.62 | 22.04 | 22.21 | 22.30 | 22.27 | 21.90 | 21.93 |  | 21.92 | 21.70 | 22.18 | 22.03 | 21.96 | 22.00 |
| 1998 | 21.85 | 21.79 | 21.74 | 22.14 | 22.31 | 22.42 | 22.66 | 22.19 | 21.92 | 21.67 | 21.83 | 22.19 |  | 21.79 | 22.29 | 22.26 | 21.90 | 22.06 | 22.09 |
| 1999 | 22.41 | 22.38 | 22.55 | 22.57 | 22.65 | 22.61 | 22.61 | 21.24 | 20.10 | 19.50 | 17.45 | 17.87 |  | 22.45 | 22.61 | 21.32 | 18.27 | 21.16 | 22.07 |
| 2000 | 17.70 | 17.24 | 18.46 | 19.43 | 19.12 | 19.31 | 17.64 | 18.12 | 18.97 | 21.15 | 21.39 | 20.56 |  | 17.80 | 19.29 | 18.24 | 21.03 | 19.09 | 18.40 |
| 2001 | 20.81 | 21.18 | 21.40 | 21.51 | 21.19 | 21.04 | 20.64 | 21.10 | 20.87 | 20.90 | 21.19 | 21.43 |  | 21.13 | 21.25 | 20.87 | 21.17 | 21.11 | 21.07 |
| 2002 | 21.03 | 20.69 | 19.92 | 19.73 | 19.52 | 19.93 | 20.86 | 20.91 | 21.65 | 21.94 | 22.22 | 22.03 |  | 20.55 | 19.73 | 21.14 | 22.06 | 20.87 | 20.65 |
| 2003 | 21.62 | 21.91 | 22.14 | 21.87 | 21.80 | 21.62 | 21.32 | 21.26 | 21.34 | 20.92 | 20.91 | 20.37 |  | 21.89 | 21.76 | 21.31 | 20.73 | 21.42 | 21.76 |
| 2004 | 20.54 | 20.57 | 20.86 | 20.88 | 20.69 | 20.03 | 20.14 | 20.10 | 20.47 | 20.31 | 20.40 | 20.55 |  | 20.66 | 20.53 | 20.24 | 20.42 | 20.46 | 20.54 |
| 2005 | 20.57 | 20.36 | 20.54 | 21.21 | 21.96 | 21.89 | 21.94 | 20.49 | 21.10 | 21.71 | 21.83 | 21.74 |  | 20.49 | 21.69 | 21.18 | 21.76 | 21.28 | 20.94 |
| 2006 | 23.61 | 24.05 | 23.10 | 23.56 | 23.48 | 23.32 | 22.44 | 21.38 | 21.27 | 20.22 | 19.66 | 19.59 |  | 23.59 | 23.45 | 21.70 | 19.82 | 22.14 | 22.62 |
| 2007 | 20.03 | 20.59 | 20.85 | 20.91 | 21.27 | 21.33 | 22.72 | 21.80 | 21.42 | 20.56 | 20.25 | 20.12 | . | 20.49 | 21.17 | 21.98 | 20.31 | 20.99 | 20.87 |
| 2008 | 20.24 | 20.21 | 20.65 | 20.54 | 20.83 | 21.80 | 23.76 | 23.15 | 23.10 | 21.46 | 19.83 | 20.00 |  | 20.37 | 21.06 | 23.34 | 20.43 | 21.30 | 21.27 |
| 2009 | 20.15 | 19.83 | 19.75 | 21.58 | 21.64 | 22.47 | 23.02 | 26.18 | 28.91 |  |  |  |  | 19.91 | 21.90 | 26.04 |  |  | 22.07 |

$1 /$ Contract No. 14, duty fee paid New York. Average of nearest futures month for which an entire month of prices will be available. For example, April 2001 's price
average of 21.51 cents is the average of closes for the July 2001 futures during the month of April since there was not a full month of May 2001 futures in
April (the May 2001 futures expired April 10, July 2001 became the nearest futures, so July 2001 was us ed for the entire month of April).
Source: New York Board of Trade (https://www. theice. com/marketdata/reportcenter/reports.htm?reportld=10)

Table 12--U.S. wholesale refined beet sugar price, Midwest markets, monthly, quarterly, and by calendar and fiscal year

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |  | 1st Q. | 2nd Q. | 3rd Q. | 4th Q. |  | Calendar | Fiscal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cents per pound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 26.88 | 26.50 | 26.50 | 26.13 | 26.00 | 25.75 | 25.50 | 25.50 | 25.00 | 24.94 | 24.60 | 24.50 |  | 26.63 | 25.96 | 25.33 | 24.68 | : | 25.65 | 26.57 |
| 1992 | 25.40 | 26.50 | 26.50 | 26.50 | 26.40 | 26.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 24.90 | 24.13 | 23.90 |  | 26.13 | 26.30 | 25.00 | 24.31 | : | 25.44 | 25.53 |
| 1993 | 23.25 | 23.00 | 23.00 | 23.50 | 23.50 | 23.50 | 25.50 | 27.75 | 27.50 | 27.50 | 27.25 | 26.50 |  | 23.08 | 23.50 | 26.92 | 27.08 | : | 25.15 | 24.45 |
| 1994 | 25.75 | 25.50 | 25.50 | 24.50 | 24.75 | 25.25 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 24.70 | 25.00 | 25.38 | 25.50 | : | 25.58 | 24.83 | 24.90 | 25.29 | : | 25.15 | 25.60 |
| 1995 | 25.50 | 25.50 | 25.50 | 25.50 | 25.13 | 25.10 | 24.75 | 24.75 | 25.50 | 25.75 | 28.13 | 28.85 |  | 25.50 | 25.24 | 25.00 | 27.58 | : | 25.83 | 25.26 |
| 1996 | 28.69 | 29.00 | 29.50 | 29.50 | 29.70 | 29.50 | 29.50 | 29.00 | 29.00 | 29.00 | 29.00 | 29.00 |  | 29.06 | 29.57 | 29.17 | 29.00 | : | 29.20 | 28.84 |
| 1997 | 29.00 | 29.00 | 28.13 | 28.00 | 28.00 | 27.50 | 27.00 | 26.65 | 26.38 | 24.90 | 25.00 | 25.50 |  | 28.71 | 27.83 | 26.68 | 25.13 | : | 27.09 | 28.06 |
| 1998 | 25.50 | 25.50 | 25.50 | 25.50 | 26.00 | 26.00 | 26.00 | 26.00 | 26.50 | 26.90 | 27.00 | 27.00 |  | 25.50 | 25.83 | 26.17 | 26.97 | : | 26.12 | 25.66 |
| 1999 | 27.20 | 27.13 | 27.00 | 27.00 | 27.00 | 27.00 | 27.00 | 27.00 | 27.00 | 26.00 | 26.00 | 25.20 | : | 27.11 | 27.00 | 27.00 | 25.73 | : | 26.71 | 27.02 |
| 2000 | 23.38 | 22.25 | 21.50 | 21.00 | 19.75 | 19.00 | 19.00 | 19.00 | 20.70 | 21.25 | 21.00 | 21.80 | : | 22.38 | 19.92 | 19.57 | 21.35 | : | 20.80 | 21.90 |
| 2001 | 23.13 | 22.75 | 22.00 | 20.50 | 21.38 | 21.90 | 22.50 | 22.50 | 24.63 | 25.75 | 26.20 | 26.50 | : | 22.63 | 21.26 | 23.21 | 26.15 | : | 23.31 | 22.11 |
| 2002 | 26.75 | 26.00 | 25.95 | 24.63 | 24.50 | 24.00 | 24.00 | 25.40 | 26.25 | 26.75 | 27.40 | 27.88 | : | 26.23 | 24.38 | 25.22 | 27.34 | : | 25.79 | 25.49 |
| 2003 | 27.80 | 26.50 | 27.13 | 27.63 | 28.00 | 28.00 | 27.63 | 25.50 | 24.00 | 24.70 | 23.94 | 23.63 | . | 27.14 | 27.88 | 25.71 | 24.09 | : | 26.21 | 27.02 |
| 2004 | 23.70 | 23.50 | 23.50 | 23.50 | 23.50 | 23.50 | 23.50 | 23.50 | 23.50 | 23.50 | 23.38 | 23.20 | : | 23.57 | 23.50 | 23.50 | 23.36 | : | 23.48 | 23.66 |
| 2005 | 23.50 | 23.50 | 23.25 | 23.80 | 24.75 | 25.88 | 26.00 | 26.75 | 40.10 | 40.00 | 40.00 | 36.90 | . | 23.42 | 24.81 | 30.95 | 38.97 | : | 29.54 | 25.63 |
| 2006 | 34.50 | 36.50 | 37.10 | 36.38 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 34.50 | 31.20 | 28.75 | 27.19 | 26.10 | . | 36.03 | 35.46 | 33.57 | 27.35 | : | 33.10 | 36.01 |
| 2007 | 25.50 | 25.00 | 24.90 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.38 | 25.60 | 25.38 | 25.00 | 24.50 | 24.50 | : | 25.13 | 25.00 | 25.45 | 24.67 | : | 25.06 | 25.73 |
| 2008 | 24.13 | 26.40 | 28.00 | 28.00 | 29.60 | 33.25 | 38.00 | 38.40 | 38.50 | 36.20 | 35.00 | 35.00 |  | 26.18 | 30.28 | 38.30 | 35.40 |  | 32.54 | 29.86 |
| 2009 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 34.25 | 34.40 | 35.50 | 35.40 | 38.00 | 42.00 |  |  |  |  | 35.00 | 34.72 | 38.47 |  |  |  | 35.90 |

Table 13--U.S. ret ail refined sugar price, monthly, quarterly, and by calendar and fiscal year

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | 1st Q. | 2nd Q. | 3rd Q. | 4th Q. |  | Calendar | Fiscal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cents per pound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 43.40 | 43.00 | 43.40 | 43.30 | 43.10 | 43.20 | 43.50 | 42.80 | 42.20 | 42.00 | 41.90 | 41.80 | 43.27 | 43.20 | 42.83 | 41.90 | : | 42.80 | 43.08 |
| 1992 | 42.50 | 42.40 | 41.90 | 41.70 | 41.70 | 41.50 | 41.50 | 41.10 | 41.00 | 41.20 | 41.20 | 40.60 | 42.27 | 41.63 | 41.20 | 41.00 | : | 41.53 | 41.75 |
| 1993 | 41.20 | 41.00 | 40.60 | 40.80 | 40.80 | 40.30 | 40.20 | 40.60 | 40.40 | 40.50 | 40.30 | 39.80 | 40.93 | 40.63 | 40.40 | 40.20 | : | 40.54 | 40.74 |
| 1994 | 40.70 | 40.50 | 40.10 | 39.90 | 40.10 | 39.70 | 40.00 | 39.70 | 40.30 | 40.20 | 39.50 | 39.20 | 40.43 | 39.90 | 40.00 | 39.63 | : | 39.99 | 40.13 |
| 1995 | 39.70 | 39.90 | 39.80 | 39.40 | 39.70 | 39.50 | 39.70 | 39.60 | 39.80 | 40.40 | 40.70 | 39.80 | 39.80 | 39.53 | 39.70 | 40.30 | : | 39.83 | 39.67 |
| 1996 | 40.50 | 40.30 | 40.60 | 40.40 | 41.50 | 41.80 | 42.40 | 42.80 | 42.60 | 43.20 | 42.60 | 42.80 | 40.47 | 41.23 | 42.60 | 42.87 | : | 41.79 | 41.15 |
| 1997 | 43.40 | 42.90 | 43.10 | 43.50 | 43.40 | 43.60 | 43.30 | 43.60 | 43.60 | 43.00 | 42.90 | 42.80 | 43.13 | 43.50 | 43.50 | 42.90 | . | 43.26 | 43.25 |
| 1998 | 43.00 | 42.90 | 43.30 | 43.10 | 42.80 | 43.10 | 43.20 | 43.60 | 43.20 | 42.30 | 42.50 | 42.70 | 43.07 | 43.00 | 43.33 | 42.50 | : | 42.98 | 43.08 |
| 1999 | 43.60 | 43.00 | 43.70 | 43.20 | 43.60 | 43.10 | 43.20 | 43.10 | 43.70 | 43.80 | 42.60 | 42.60 | 43.43 | 43.30 | 43.33 | 43.00 | : | 43.27 | 43.14 |
| 2000 | 43.70 | 43.20 | 42.90 | 41.40 | 42.40 | 42.80 | 42.50 | 42.40 | 42.40 | 42.50 | 41.30 | 41.40 | 43.27 | 42.20 | 42.43 | 41.73 | . | 42.41 | 42.73 |
| 2001 | 42.80 | 43.50 | 43.70 | 42.90 | 43.80 | 43.50 | 44.30 | 43.30 | 44.20 | 44.00 | 42.50 | 42.50 | 43.33 | 43.40 | 43.93 | 43.00 | - | 43.42 | 43.10 |
| 2002 | 44.10 | 43.70 | 42.60 | 44.40 | 42.70 | 43.00 | 43.30 | 43.30 | 43.70 | 42.40 | 41.90 | 42.10 | 43.47 | 43.37 | 43.43 | 42.13 | : | 43.10 | 43.32 |
| 2003 | 43.00 | 42.70 | 42.70 | 42.70 | 43.10 | 42.90 | 43.10 | 43.50 | 42.60 | 42.50 | 41.10 | 42.20 | 42.80 | 42.90 | 43.07 | 41.93 | : | 42.68 | 42.73 |
| 2004 | 42.90 | 42.60 | 42.60 | 42.70 | 42.50 | 42.50 | 42.90 | 42.60 | 42.60 | 42.60 | 42.20 | 43.00 | 42.70 | 42.57 | 42.70 | 42.60 | : | 42.64 | 42.48 |
| 2005 | 43.70 | 43.50 | 43.30 | 43.60 | 42.70 | 42.80 | 42.40 | 43.20 | 43.70 | 44.20 | 44.50 | 44.90 | 43.50 | 43.03 | 43.10 | 44.53 | : | 43.54 | 43.06 |
| 2006 | 46.10 | 46.80 | 47.10 | 48.00 | 49.90 | 50.40 | 50.50 | 51.60 | 51.50 | 51.20 | 51.30 | 50.60 | 46.67 | 49.43 | 51.20 | 51.03 | : | 49.58 | 47.96 |
| 2007 | 51.90 | 51.40 | 51.80 | 50.80 | 51.30 | 52.10 | 52.20 | 51.80 | 51.80 | 51.30 | 51.00 | 50.30 | 51.70 | 51.40 | 51.93 | 50.87 | - | 51.48 | 51.52 |
| 2008 | 51.90 | 51.30 | 50.40 | 51.70 | 52.10 | 52.50 | 52.50 | 53.50 | 56.30 | 56.50 | 52.80 | 53.40 | 51.20 | 52.10 | 54.10 | 54.23 |  | 52.91 | 52.07 |
| 2009 | 56.90 | 56.90 | 57.10 | 56.80 | 56.10 | 56.20 | 55.60 | 55.60 |  |  |  |  | 56.97 | 56.37 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 14--U.S. producer price index for corn sweeteners and sugar, monthly

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corn sweeteners (liquids and solids), incl. glucose, dextrose, and HFCS, June 1985=100 1/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 98.9 | 98.0 | 97.8 | 98.0 | 97.9 | 97.9 | 97.8 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 97.6 | 99.2 | 100.3 | 98.3 |
| 2001 | 111.3 | 111.6 | 111.6 | 111.5 | 111.9 | 111.3 | 111.3 | 111.3 | 112.2 | 112.3 | 113.9 | 114.0 | 112.0 |
| 2002 | 116.5 | 120.1 | 119.7 | 119.8 | 117.4 | 119.6 | 121.2 | 121.0 | 127.4 | 127.9 | 125.9 | 126.5 | 121.9 |
| 2003 | 130.0 | 131.4 | 131.3 | 131.3 | 131.5 | 131.9 | -- | 132.2 | 131.9 | 130.6 | 130.9 | 130.7 | 131.3 |
| 2004 | 131.9 | 132.0 | 131.9 | 131.7 | 131.6 | 131.7 | 131.8 | 131.5 | 131.6 | 131.5 | 131.6 | 131.6 | 131.7 |
| 2005 | 133.1 | 133.3 | 133.5 | 133.1 | 133.1 | 133.1 | 133.2 | 132.9 | 133.2 | 137.2 | 133.1 | 133.2 | 133.5 |
| 2006 | 144.5 | 144.8 | 145.1 | 153.4 | 151.1 | 151.2 | 151.2 | 150.9 | 150.9 | 150.9 | 151.1 | 151.0 | 149.7 |
| 2007 | 175.5 | 176.8 | 176.8 | 176.8 | 176.9 | 177.1 | 176.8 | 176.8 | 176.5 | 176.9 | 177.0 | 176.6 | 176.7 |
| 2008 | 207.1 | 207.8 | 207.9 | 207.9 | 207.9 | 209.2 | 209.2 | 209.4 | 209.2 | 209.3 | 210.6 | 210.1 | 208.8 |
| 200921 | 221.1 | 220.5 | 220.6 | 218.8 | 218.8 | 218.7 | 216.8 | 216.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raw cane sugar and other can mill products and byprod ucts, June 1982=100 1/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 92.7 | 89.4 | 95.1 | 97.4 | 97.0 | 99.5 | 92.7 | 90.7 | 95.9 | 106.1 | 106.9 | 103.4 | 97.2 |
| 2001 | 106.3 | 107.6 | 107.6 | 108.6 | 107.8 | 106.1 | 107.7 | 107.4 | 107.1 | 107.4 | 108.2 | 109.8 | 107.6 |
| 2002 | 109.2 | 107.0 | 103.8 | 103.4 | 101.4 | 102.7 | 106.7 | 106.9 | 111.2 | 111.6 | 113.9 | 112.7 | 107.5 |
| 2003 | 108.8 | 111.3 | 113.5 | 111.6 | 112.1 | 111.1 | 109.8 | 109.8 | 108.0 | 106.8 | 107.4 | 105.2 | 109.6 |
| 2004 | 104.7 | 104.5 | 106.4 | 105.6 | 105.8 | 102.7 | 104.6 | 103.3 | 107.1 | 104.2 | 104.2 | 106.5 | 105.0 |
| 2005 | 106.5 | 105.6 | 120.0 | 121.4 | 122.9 | 124.5 | 125.0 | 127.2 | 123.3 | 125.0 | 126.4 | 126.3 | 121.2 |
| 2006 | 129.5 | 133.2 | 129.9 | 132.9 | 134.6 | 135.4 | 134.2 | 132.0 | 132.1 | 127.5 | 124.4 | 123.0 | 130.7 |
| 2007 | 123.9 | 125.4 | 125.9 | 125.9 | 127.0 | 127.2 | 129.0 | 127.4 | 127.6 | 126.2 | 124.7 | 123.0 | 126.1 |
| 2008 | 124.0 | 122.3 | 124.2 | 124.0 | 124.5 | 125.0 | 129.1 | 131.0 | 130.8 | 128.4 | 126.8 | 127.8 | 126.5 |
| 200921 | 130.3 | 126.8 | 125.1 | 128.5 | 127.4 | 130.0 | 130.3 | 137.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Refined beet sugar and byproducts, June 1982=100 1/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 105.4 | 101.5 | 100.3 | 99.1 | 98.3 | 98.3 | 97.7 | 96.2 | 95.5 | 94.7 | 95.0 | 94.0 | 98.0 |
| 2001 | 97.5 | 97.6 | 97.8 | 98.0 | 99.4 | 99.5 | 99.5 | 100.9 | 102.0 | 103.3 | 105.0 | 106.8 | 100.6 |
| 2002 | 108.5 | 109.8 | 110.5 | 111.2 | 111.1 | 110.9 | 111.3 | 111.3 | 114.2 | 114.3 | 116.1 | 117.9 | 112.3 |
| 2003 | 118.7 | 118.8 | 119.1 | 119.5 | 119.2 | 119.4 | 119.3 | 119.4 | 113.7 | 116.6 | 116.4 | 116.2 | 118.0 |
| 2004 | 116.1 | 116.3 | 116.4 | 116.8 | 116.3 | 116.6 | 116.6 | 116.7 | 116.9 | 115.5 | 115.8 | 116.1 | 116.4 |
| 2005 | 116.3 | 117.8 | 115.9 | 116.5 | 117.3 | 118.6 | 118.5 | 118.4 | 118.2 | 122.6 | 136.0 | 141.5 | 121.5 |
| 2006 | 141.9 | 147.4 | 148.8 | 149.0 | 148.6 | 149.2 | 152.0 | 151.2 | 146.2 | 145.0 | 143.5 | 138.1 | 146.7 |
| 2007 | 136.2 | 136.5 | 133.8 | 132.9 | 129.4 | 126.6 | 126.2 | 126.1 | 125.9 | 126.3 | 124.3 | 123.9 | 129.0 |
| 2008 | 121.3 | 121.5 | 123.0 | 124.2 | 127.6 | 130.1 | 131.2 | 142.4 | 141.8 | 140.8 | 139.2 | 139.3 | 131.9 |
| 200921 | 139.8 | 140.6 | 145.2 | 146.6 | 144.9 | 145.3 | 147.5 | 148.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Refined cane sugar and byproducts, June 1982=100 1/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 124.7 | 121.8 | 121.7 | 119.8 | 120.4 | 119.8 | 120.5 | 119.2 | 117.5 | 113.9 | 113.2 | 114.4 | 118.9 |
| 2001 | 112.8 | 117.5 | 116.2 | 114.6 | 115.1 | 115.3 | 115.6 | 116.6 | 115.5 | 115.2 | 115.2 | 116.3 | 115.5 |
| 2002 | 117.4 | 117.9 | 121.0 | 122.3 | 119.7 | 121.2 | 121.3 | 120.8 | 120.8 | 121.0 | 119.5 | 120.1 | 120.2 |
| 2003 | 119.1 | 122.3 | 122.8 | 122.9 | 122.9 | 123.5 | 123.8 | 124.5 | 125.5 | 124.3 | 122.3 | 123.4 | 123.1 |
| 2004 | 120.5 | 120.4 | 121.6 | 121.6 | 123.0 | 124.3 | 123.3 | 123.5 | 123.1 | 123.6 | 122.5 | 121.6 | 122.4 |
| 2005 | 122.8 | 121.9 | 121.5 | 121.4 | 122.6 | 123.7 | 122.4 | 124.4 | 125.3 | 130.4 | 133.6 | 140.8 | 125.9 |
| 2006 | 142.8 | 146.2 | 155.5 | 156.9 | 155.5 | 150.7 | 156.4 | 153.1 | 152.3 | 148.2 | 143.9 | 142.3 | 150.3 |
| 2007 | 144.9 | 140.4 | 137.9 | 136.1 | 134.9 | 132.0 | 132.4 | 128.5 | 130.0 | 124.7 | 130.1 | 129.9 | 133.5 |
| 2008 | 127.4 | 129.0 | 127.5 | 128.0 | 128.1 | 132.1 | 134.7 | 139.4 | 144.2 | 160.4 | 161.0 | 162.0 | 139.5 |
| 200921 | 163.4 | 163.4 | 163.2 | 161.3 | 161.1 | 161.5 | 161.4 | 162.0 |  |  |  |  |  |

1/Based on a sample of domestic producers. 2/P reliminary, all indexes are subject to revis ion four months after original publishing.
Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table 15-U.S. Consumer Price Index for sugar and selected sweetener-containing products 1 /

| Year and month | Sugar and sweets 2/ | Sugar and artificial sweeteners $3 /$ | Flour and prepared flour mixes 4/ | Cereals and bakery products 5/ | Breakfast cereal <br> 6/ | White bread <br> $7 /$ | Cakes, cupcakes, and cookies <br> 8/ | Other bakery produc ts <br> 9/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982-84=100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 154.0 | 137.1 | 160.2 | 188.3 | 198.0 | 199.1 | 187.9 | 191.5 |
| 2001 | 155.7 | 140.3 | 164.3 | 193.8 | 199.7 | 208.3 | 192.0 | 199.1 |
| 2002 | 159.0 | 143.2 | 171.0 | 198.0 | 203.0 | 213.4 | 196.7 | 203.0 |
| 2003 | 162.0 | 145.7 | 178.4 | 202.8 | 204.3 | 218.6 | 202.8 | 207.3 |
| 2004 | 163.2 | 146.9 | 177.8 | 206.0 | 203.5 | 223.8 | 206.4 | 211.8 |
| 2005 | 165.2 | 149.1 | 179.6 | 209.0 | 203.6 | 232.1 | 209.8 | 211.4 |
| 2006 | 171.5 | 163.9 | 182.2 | 212.8 | 199.9 | 238.0 | 214.2 | 215.5 |
| 2007 | 176.8 | 167.1 | 191.6 | 222.1 | 205.0 | 258.0 | 221.7 | 220.5 |
| 2008 | 186.6 | 170.5 | 225.8 | 244.9 | 212.1 | 294.2 | 239.9 | 236.5 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 180.2 | 167.0 | 202.3 | 228.7 | 203.1 | 273.1 | 227.9 | 221.7 |
| Feb. | 180.6 | 167.7 | 208.8 | 233.4 | 205.9 | 278.9 | 229.2 | 227.2 |
| Mar. | 182.2 | 165.4 | 215.5 | 236.3 | 211.4 | 287.9 | 232.7 | 225.0 |
| Apr. | 184.9 | 168.5 | 224.3 | 240.0 | 208.6 | 291.4 | 234.5 | 233.8 |
| May | 185.1 | 169.1 | 231.1 | 244.2 | 211.4 | 294.6 | 237.9 | 237.7 |
| June | 185.6 | 170.0 | 233.4 | 245.8 | 210.6 | 296.7 | 240.4 | 239.1 |
| July | 187.1 | 170.4 | 236.9 | 250.3 | 214.4 | 302.4 | 243.4 | 241.9 |
| Aug. | 187.8 | 172.7 | 236.1 | 250.1 | 213.4 | 299.5 | 243.1 | 243.6 |
| Sep. | 189.9 | 175.7 | 232.2 | 250.9 | 214.9 | 298.3 | 244.4 | 243.8 |
| Oct. | 190.5 | 174.6 | 231.0 | 252.8 | 216.1 | 301.2 | 246.9 | 245.2 |
| Nov. | 191.8 | 171.8 | 228.4 | 252.7 | 218.1 | 302.1 | 249.7 | 238.5 |
| Dec. | 193.3 | 173.0 | 229.9 | 253.1 | 217.9 | 304.7 | 248.7 | 240.9 |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 197.4 | 178.2 | 237.4 | 254.4 | 217.0 | 301.1 | 249.8 | 247.2 |
| Feb. | 196.7 | 178.5 | 237.9 | 254.2 | 214.8 | 302.4 | 249.3 | 248.6 |
| Mar. | 197.1 | 178.9 | 233.9 | 253.7 | 215.0 | 304.4 | 249.6 | 245.9 |
| Apr. | 197.3 | 175.3 | 231.2 | 252.7 | 217.6 | 301.8 | 250.5 | 244.4 |
| May | 196.4 | 177.4 | 234.8 | 252.7 | 217.3 | 299.4 | 248.6 | 247.8 |
| June | 197.0 | 177.3 | 235.1 | 253.0 | 216.8 | 299.6 | 251.6 | 247.8 |
| July | 195.1 | 176.8 | 238.1 | 253.4 | 221.9 | 301.3 | 249.2 | 245.5 |
| Aug. | 195.4 | 176.7 | 234.0 | 252.4 | 219.6 | 295.7 | 249.8 | 249.3 |

Table 15-U.S. Consumer Price Index for sugar and selected sweetener-containing products 1 /

| Year and month | Non-alcoholic beverages10/ | Carbonated drinks11/ | Non-carbonated juices and drinks 12 | Canned fruits | Candy and Ice cream chewing gum and related products <br> $14 /$ <br> 15/ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Food } \\ 16 / \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1982-84=100$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 137.8 | 123.4 | 104.2 | 106.9 | 103.8 | 164.4 | 167.8 |
| 2001 | 139.2 | 125.4 | 106.0 | 109.0 | 104.3 | 173.4 | 173.1 |
| 2002 | 139.2 | 125.6 | 106.4 | 111.6 | 106.2 | 179.1 | 176.2 |
| 2003 | 139.8 | 125.6 | 106.5 | 113.7 | 107.8 | 175.5 | 180.0 |
| 2004 | 140.4 | 127.9 | 105.7 | 114.0 | 108.4 | 178.3 | 186.2 |
| 2005 | 144.4 | 131.9 | 106.5 | 118.4 | 109.5 | 177.6 | 190.7 |
| 2006 | 147.4 | 134.2 | 109.5 | 121.5 | 112.2 | 179.3 | 195.2 |
| 2007 | 153.4 | 140.1 | 112.9 | 125.2 | 116.1 | 183.4 | 202.9 |
| 2008 | 160.0 | 147.0 | 117.5 | 135.6 | 123.2 | 192.8 | 214.1 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 157.9 | 143.7 | 116.8 | 128.3 | 118.8 | 189.5 | 208.6 |
| Feb. | 157.8 | 146.5 | 116.1 | 132.3 | 119.1 | 190.2 | 209.2 |
| Mar. | 158.1 | 144.5 | 116.1 | 130.2 | 120.6 | 188.8 | 209.4 |
| Apr. | 159.7 | 147.0 | 117.2 | 130.7 | 122.5 | 190.7 | 211.1 |
| May | 158.3 | 143.2 | 117.1 | 135.2 | 122.2 | 190.2 | 212.1 |
| June | 158.3 | 144.5 | 115.7 | 136.2 | 122.6 | 190.1 | 213.2 |
| July | 159.3 | 145.1 | 117.2 | 136.3 | 123.8 | 187.8 | 215.3 |
| Aug. | 160.1 | 144.5 | 117.7 | 137.3 | 123.6 | 192.3 | 216.4 |
| Sep. | 161.5 | 149.6 | 118.0 | 140.6 | 124.6 | 194.3 | 217.7 |
| Oct. | 163.7 | 152.3 | 119.0 | 141.0 | 124.6 | 199.7 | 218.7 |
| Nov. | 163.0 | 151.8 | 119.2 | 139.8 | 126.9 | 200.6 | 218.7 |
| Dec. | 162.8 | 151.1 | 120.2 | 139.1 | 128.7 | 199.1 | 218.8 |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 164.9 | 154.9 | 119.9 | 141.5 | 130.3 | 201.7 | 219.7 |
| Feb. | 164.2 | 155.5 | 118.5 | 142.2 | 130.2 | 201.0 | 219.2 |
| Mar. | 165.7 | 157.9 | 119.4 | 144.2 | 129.8 | 198.2 | 218.6 |
| Apr. | 162.9 | 153.8 | 118.7 | 140.5 | 130.7 | 197.4 | 218.2 |
| May | 162.8 | 154.3 | 118.4 | 146.7 | 129.5 | 198.5 | 217.8 |
| June | 162.6 | 155.3 | 117.2 | 146.4 | 130.3 | 192.6 | 217.7 |
| July | 162.1 | 153.9 | 117.5 | 145.1 | 128.3 | 191.2 | 217.3 |
| Aug. | 163.0 | 153.6 | 117.3 | 144.7 | 128.7 | 192.0 | 217.4 |

1/ All-urban, unadjusted, U.S. city average. 2/ Series:SEFR, Base: 1982-84=100.3/ Series: SEFR01, Base: 1982-84=100.
4/ Series: SEFA01, Base: 1982-84=100; 5/ Series: SAF111, Base: 1982-84=100. 6/ Series: SEFA02, Base: 1982-84=100.
7/ Series: SS02011, Base: 1982-84=100. 8/ Series: SEFB03, Base: 1982-84=100. 9/ Series: SEFB04, Base: 1982-84=100.
10/ Series: SAF114, Base: 1982-84=100. 11/ Series: SEFN01, Base: 1982-84=100.12/ Series: SEFN03, Base: Dec. 1997=100. 13/ Series: SS13031, Base: Dec. 1997=100. 14/ Series: SEFR02, Base: Dec. 1997=100. 15/ Series: SEFJ03, Base: 1982-84=100. 16/ Series: SAF1, Base: 1982-84=100.

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table 16-U.S. cane and beet sugar deliveries, monthly, quarterly, and by fis cal and calendar year
Year Jan. Feb. $\quad$ Mar. $\quad$ Apr. $\quad$ May June July $\quad$ Aug. $\quad$ Sep. $\quad$ Oct. $\quad$ Nov. Dec. : 1st Q. 2nd Q. 3rd Q. 4th Q. : Fiscal Calendar

| 1993 | 303 | 287 | 397 | 299 | 328 | 367 | 358 | 372 | 367 | 346 | 325 | 338 |  | 988 | 994 | 1,097 | 1,008 | 4,134 | 4,087 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 312 | 313 | 370 | 303 | 338 | 406 | 360 | 406 | 437 | 338 | 304 | 282 |  | 995 | 1,047 | 1,204 | 924 : | 4,254 | 4,170 |
| 1995 | 301 | 311 | 378 | 311 | 356 | 399 | 384 | 450 | 465 | 404 | 395 | 331 |  | 989 | 1,066 | 1,300 | 1,131 : | 4,279 | 4,486 |
| 1996 | 316 | 342 | 361 | 343 | 338 | 325 | 350 | 335 | 300 | 333 | 315 | 267 |  | 1,018 | 1,006 | 984 | 915 | 4,139 | 3,923 |
| 1997 | 280 | 272 | 315 | 312 | 326 | 332 | 351 | 373 | 428 | 375 | 316 | 317 |  | 867 | 970 | 1,152 | 1,009 | 3,903 | 3,997 |
| 1998 | 324 | 316 | 362 | 344 | 342 | 401 | 393 | 388 | 409 | 392 | 334 | 308 |  | 1,002 | 1,087 | 1,190 | 1,034 | 4,288 | 4,313 |
| 1999 | 319 | 325 | 374 | 346 | 361 | 417 | 400 | 427 | 416 | 438 | 392 | 321 |  | 1,018 | 1,124 | 1,244 | 1,151 | 4,419 | 4,536 |
| 2000 | 320 | 340 | 385 | 341 | 393 | 384 | 348 | 411 | 392 | 412 | 378 | 329 |  | 1,045 | 1,118 | 1,152 | 1,119 | 4,465 | 4,433 |
| 2001 | 366 | 346 | 401 | 375 | 405 | 403 | 414 | 450 | 408 | 429 | 373 | 311 |  | 1,113 | 1,183 | 1,272 | 1,112 | 4,686 | 4,680 |
| 2002 | 349 | 315 | 347 | 340 | 375 | 332 | 369 | 365 | 380 | 423 | 396 | 300 |  | 1,012 | 1,047 | 1,114 | 1,119 | 4,285 | 4,291 |
| 2003 | 315 | 307 | 341 | 338 | 338 | 365 | 380 | 366 | 388 | 395 | 335 | 353 |  | 962 | 1,041 | 1,134 | 1,082 | 4,255 | 4,219 |
| 2004 | 359 | 367 | 407 | 387 | 333 | 438 | 408 | 433 | 392 | 423 | 378 | 342 |  | 1,133 | 1,159 | 1,233 | 1,143 | 4,607 | 4,668 |
| 2005 | 358 | 368 | 395 | 387 | 370 | 416 | 384 | 415 | 449 | 457 | 375 | 337 |  | 1,120 | 1,173 | 1,248 | 1,169 | 4,684 | 4,710 |
| 2006 | 342 | 306 | 357 | 323 | 362 | 381 | 348 | 406 | 366 | 369 | 329 | 306 |  | 1,005 | 1,067 | 1,120 | 1,004 | 4,360 | 4,195 |
| 2007 | 339 | 330 | 378 | 396 | 414 | 404 | 422 | 456 | 420 | 436 | 402 | 311 |  | 1,047 | 1,214 | 1,297 | 1,149 | 4,562 | 4,707 |
| 2008 | 365 | 401 | 402 | 405 | 422 | 453 | 438 | 424 | 436 | 437 | 352 | 333 |  | 1,167 | 1,280 | 1,298 | 1,122 | 4,894 | 4,867 |
| 2009 | 316 | 282 | 344 | 322 | 332 | 379 | 364 | 404 |  |  |  |  |  | 942 | 1,033 |  |  |  |  |
| Cane sugar for domestic consumption: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 311 | 339 | 391 | 387 | 351 | 423 | 422 | 441 | 469 | 427 | 424 | 395 |  | 1,042 | 1,161 | 1,332 | 1,246 | 4,734 | 4,781 |
| 1994 | 332 | 358 | 422 | 361 | 400 | 448 | 411 | 427 | 473 | 443 | 434 | 420 |  | 1,112 | 1,209 | 1,310 | 1,298 | 4,877 | 4,929 |
| 1995 | 340 | 332 | 432 | 380 | 424 | 438 | 369 | 444 | 423 | 431 | 413 | 381 |  | 1,104 | 1,243 | 1,236 | 1,226 | 4,880 | 4,808 |
| 1996 | 353 | 376 | 443 | 425 | 452 | 471 | 463 | 488 | 565 | 547 | 500 | 456 |  | 1,172 | 1,349 | 1,515 | 1,504 : | 5,262 | 5,539 |
| 1997 | 397 | 396 | 481 | 444 | 474 | 509 | 462 | 476 | 500 | 525 | 459 | 431 |  | 1,274 | 1,427 | 1,437 | 1,416 : | 5,641 | 5,553 |
| 1998 | 369 | 391 | 470 | 430 | 429 | 481 | 432 | 438 | 506 | 486 | 467 | 451 |  | 1,230 | 1,339 | 1,377 | 1,404 : | 5,361 | 5,349 |
| 1999 | 355 | 379 | 453 | 452 | 500 | 476 | 433 | 490 | 485 | 483 | 481 | 433 |  | 1,186 | 1,429 | 1,407 | 1,39 6 | 5,427 | 5,419 |
| 2000 | 383 | 404 | 484 | 425 | 452 | 488 | 455 | 530 | 471 | 534 | 481 | 398 |  | 1,272 | 1,365 | 1,456 | 1,414 | 5,490 | 5,508 |
| 2001 | 410 | 371 | 470 | 413 | 431 | 458 | 419 | 446 | 417 | 487 | 467 | 384 |  | 1,251 | 1,302 | 1,282 | 1,338 | 5,248 | 5,172 |
| 2002 | 392 | 378 | 437 | 424 | 458 | 490 | 472 | 486 | 549 | 468 | 444 | 407 |  | 1,208 | 1,373 | 1,507 | 1,320 | 5,424 | 5,407 |
| 2003 | 372 | 377 | 467 | 434 | 408 | 475 | 421 | 488 | 415 | 476 | 486 | 413 |  | 1,216 | 1,317 | 1,324 | 1,375 | 5,177 | 5,232 |
| 2004 | 346 | 393 | 406 | 377 | 415 | 408 | 404 | 448 | 415 | 528 | 466 | 383 |  | 1,144 | 1,200 | 1,268 | 1,377 | 4,987 | 4,989 |
| 2005 | 377 | 363 | 459 | 400 | 437 | 441 | 418 | 477 | 458 | 476 | 429 | 401 |  | 1,199 | 1,277 | 1,353 | 1,306 | 5,207 | 5,136 |
| 2006 | 405 | 383 | 440 | 405 | 434 | 466 | 435 | 494 | 441 | 487 | 456 | 384 |  | 1,228 | 1,305 | 1,369 | 1,327 | 5,209 | 5,230 |
| 2007 | 399 | 363 | 455 | 426 | 426 | 429 | 400 | 497 | 435 | 448 | 470 | 376 |  | 1,217 | 1,281 | 1,332 | 1,295 | 5,157 | 5,124 |
| 2008 | 408 | 411 | 443 | 393 | 443 | 408 | 435 | 432 | 426 | 450 | 434 | 401 |  | 1,262 | 1,243 | 1,293 | 1,285 | 5,093 | 5,083 |
| 2009 | 395 | 392 | 468 | 447 | 459 | 467 | 499 | 492 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,255 | 1,373 |  |  |  |  |
| Imports to nonreporters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 8 | : | 10 | 17 | 3 | 23 : | 48 | 52 |
| 1994 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 12 |  | 14 | 9 | 18 | 38 | 63 | 78 |
| 1995 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 17 | 5 | 0 |  | 12 | 3 | 6 | 22 | 59 | 44 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 10 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 20 | 12 | 44 | 33 |
| 1997 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 4 | 2 | 19 | 20 | 27 |
| 1998 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 19 | 23 | 24 |
| 1999 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 27 | 3 | 4 |  | 4 | 0 | 4 | 33 | 28 | 41 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 26 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 3 | 31 : | 38 | 36 |
| 2001 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 21 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 8 |  | 6 | 1 | 27 | 24 | 65 | 58 |
| 2002 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 36 | 19 | 2 |  | 8 | 20 | 24 | 58 : | 76 | 109 |
| 2003 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 25 | 16 | 5 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 | 47 | 71 | 60 |
| 2004 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 16 | 11 | 1 |  | 9 | 9 | 19 | 27 | 84 | 64 |
| 2005 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 6 | 57 | 17 | 24 | 55 |  | 16 | 21 | 65 | 96 | 128 | 197 |
| 2006 | 92 | 6 | 104 | 26 | 29 | 60 | 71 | 70 | 61 | 32 | 22 | 5 |  | 202 | 115 | 202 | 58 | 615 | 577 |
| 2007 | 16 | 22 | 4 | 15 | 22 | 4 | 21 | 13 | 19 | 18 | 40 | 11 |  | 43 | 40 | 53 | 69 | 194 | 206 |
| 2008 | 13 | 34 | 37 | 38 | 58 | 46 | 50 | 98 | 140 | 134 | 120 | 105 |  | 83 | 143 | 288 | 359 | 584 | 873 |
| 2009 | 82 | 90 | 96 | 103 | 111 | 90 | 66 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  | 268 | 305 |  |  |  |  |
| Total sugar for domestic consu mption : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 619 | 629 | 791 | 688 | 685 | 799 | 782 | 815 | 836 | 783 | 755 | 740 | : | 2,039 | 2,172 | 2,432 | 2,277 | 8,916 | 8,920 |
| 1994 | 649 | 674 | 798 | 665 | 742 | 857 | 776 | 838 | 918 | 792 | 754 | 714 |  | 2,121 | 2,265 | 2,532 | 2,260 | 9,195 | 9,177 |
| 1995 | 651 | 644 | 811 | 694 | 780 | 837 | 755 | 894 | 892 | 853 | 813 | 713 |  | 2,105 | 2,311 | 2,542 | 2,379 | 9,218 | 9,337 |
| 1996 | 670 | 718 | 804 | 769 | 790 | 796 | 813 | 823 | 883 | 891 | 816 | 724 |  | 2,191 | 2,355 | 2,519 | 2,430 | 9,445 | 9,496 |
| 1997 | 678 | 668 | 797 | 758 | 801 | 841 | 813 | 849 | 928 | 915 | 778 | 750 |  | 2,143 | 2,401 | 2,591 | 2,443 | 9,565 | 9,578 |
| 1998 | 694 | 707 | 832 | 774 | 772 | 883 | 826 | 826 | 915 | 892 | 806 | 760 |  | 2,233 | 2,428 | 2,568 | 2,458 | 9,672 | 9,686 |
| 1999 | 676 | 704 | 827 | 798 | 861 | 894 | 833 | 916 | 905 | 947 | 876 | 757 |  | 2,208 | 2,553 | 2,655 | 2,580 | 9,873 | 9,996 |
| 2000 | 703 | 745 | 870 | 766 | 845 | 872 | 804 | 941 | 867 | 973 | 863 | 728 |  | 2,318 | 2,484 | 2,611 | 2,564 : | 9,993 | 9,977 |
| 2001 | 781 | 718 | 871 | 788 | 837 | 861 | 835 | 917 | 828 | 922 | 849 | 703 |  | 2,370 | 2,486 | 2,580 | 2,474 : | 10,000 | 9,911 |
| 2002 | 744 | 695 | 788 | 771 | 834 | 834 | 844 | 858 | 943 | 927 | 860 | 709 |  | 2,227 | 2,439 | 2,645 | 2,497 : | 9,785 | 9,808 |
| 2003 | 689 | 685 | 809 | 772 | 746 | 841 | 802 | 856 | 807 | 896 | 837 | 771 |  | 2,183 | 2,360 | 2,464 | 2,504 : | 9,504 | 9,511 |
| 2004 | 706 | 762 | 819 | 767 | 751 | 850 | 817 | 893 | 810 | 967 | 855 | 726 |  | 2,286 | 2,368 | 2,520 | 2,547 : | 9,678 | 9,722 |
| 2005 | 737 | 732 | 866 | 793 | 811 | 867 | 804 | 897 | 964 | 951 | 828 | 793 |  | 2,335 | 2,471 | 2,666 | 2,571 : | 10,019 | 10,043 |
| 2006 | 839 | 695 | 901 | 755 | 825 | 907 | 853 | 969 | 868 | 888 | 806 | 694 | : | 2,436 | 2,487 | 2,690 | 2,389 : | 10,184 | 10,002 |
| 2007 | 754 | 715 | 838 | 837 | 862 | 837 | 843 | 966 | 873 | 903 | 912 | 698 |  | 2,307 | 2,535 | 2,682 | 2,513 | 9,913 | 10,037 |
| 2008 | 786 | 846 | 881 | 836 | 923 | 907 | 923 | 953 | 1,002 | 1,021 | 906 | 839 |  | 2,513 | 2,665 | 2,879 | 2,766 | 10,571 | 10,823 |
| 2009 | 792 | 765 | 909 | 873 | 901 | 937 | 929 | 966 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,466 | 2,711 |  |  | ntinued |  |

Table 16-U.S. cane and beet sugar deliveries, monthly, quarterly, and by fis cal and calendar year

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | : | 1st Q. | 2nd Q. | 3rd Q. | 4th Q. | Fiscal | Calendar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,000 short tons, raw value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reexported in products: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 10 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 12 | 14 | 22 | 20 | 8 | 8 | 7 | : | 23 | 26 | 57 | 24 : | 132 | 129 |
| 1994 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 12 | 11 | 5 |  | 20 | 39 | 44 | 28 : | 127 | 131 |
| 1995 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 18 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 7 |  | 18 | 18 | 39 | $21:$ | 103 | 96 |
| 1996 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 6 |  | 20 | 30 | 32 | 22 : | 104 | 104 |
| 1997 | 32 | 30 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 16 | 17 | 7 | 6 | 8 | . | 68 | 22 | 45 | 21 : | 157 | 156 |
| 1998 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 18 | 15 | 11 | : | 24 | 32 | 46 | 44 : | 123 | 146 |
| 1999 | 26 | 19 | 12 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 7 | : | 58 | 35 | 32 | 21 : | 169 | 145 |
| 2000 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | : | 21 | 22 | 22 | 18 : | 86 | 84 |
| 2001 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 16 | 13 | : | 21 | 29 | 30 | 40 : | 98 | 120 |
| 2002 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 12 | 14 | : | 39 | 35 | 42 | 43 : | 156 | 158 |
| 2003 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 20 | 19 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 10 | 9 | : | 44 | 49 | 47 | 35 : | 183 | 175 |
| 2004 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 18 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 9 |  | 28 | 40 | 39 | 28 : | 142 | 135 |
| 2005 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 17 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 6 | 14 | 6 |  | 24 | 37 | 33 | 25 : | 121 | 118 |
| 2006 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 12 |  | 25 | 23 | 32 | 31 : | 106 | 111 |
| 2007 | 18 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 22 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 16 |  | 43 | 55 | 40 | 35 | 169 | 173 |
| 2008 | 11 | 7 | 9 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 15 | 8 | 4 | 5 |  | 27 | 37 | 41 | 17 | 141 | 123 |
| 2009 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 33 |  |  |  |  |
| Polyhydric alcohol and livestock feed use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | : | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 : | 15 | 14 |
| 1994 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 : | 13 | 14 |
| 1995 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 : | 17 | 17 |
| 1996 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 : | 18 | 18 |
| 1997 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 6 | 6 | 5 : | 21 | 21 |
| 1998 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 : | 20 | 21 |
| 1999 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 5 | 6 | 6 | 8 : | 24 | 26 |
| 2000 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | : | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 : | 32 | 30 |
| 2001 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 2 | : | 8 | 10 | 17 | 9 : | 42 | 44 |
| 2002 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | : | 7 | 8 | 8 | 5 : | 33 | 28 |
| 2003 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | : | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 : | 24 | 27 |
| 2004 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | : | 9 | 11 | 13 | 10 : | 41 | 44 |
| 2005 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | . | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 : | 48 | 51 |
| 2006 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | : | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 : | 50 | 49 |
| 2007 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 |  | 14 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 53 | 54 |
| 2008 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 4 |  | 16 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 61 | 61 |
| 2009 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Total U.S. sugar deliveries 1/: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 630 | 635 | 801 | 697 | 693 | 812 | 797 | 838 | 857 | 792 | 763 | 748 | : | 2,067 | 2,201 | 2,492 | 2,303 : | 9,063 | 9,063 |
| 1994 | 657 | 682 | 806 | 675 | 758 | 873 | 787 | 856 | 936 | 804 | 767 | 720 |  | 2,145 | 2,307 | 2,579 | 2,291: | 9,334 | 9,322 |
| 1995 | 655 | 653 | 820 | 703 | 786 | 846 | 772 | 914 | 899 | 861 | 823 | 721 |  | 2,127 | 2,334 | 2,585 | 2,405 : | 9,337 | 9,451 |
| 1996 | 676 | 724 | 815 | 785 | 800 | 806 | 822 | 838 | 896 | 901 | 824 | 731 |  | 2,215 | 2,390 | 2,557 | 2,457 : | 9,567 | 9,619 |
| 1997 | 712 | 699 | 804 | 766 | 810 | 854 | 827 | 867 | 948 | 924 | 785 | 760 |  | 2,215 | 2,429 | 2,641 | 2,469 : | 9,742 | 9,755 |
| 1998 | 701 | 718 | 843 | 787 | 784 | 894 | 843 | 843 | 933 | 912 | 823 | 773 |  | 2,261 | 2,465 | 2,619 | 2,508 : | 9,815 | 9,854 |
| 1999 | 704 | 725 | 842 | 814 | 875 | 906 | 850 | 928 | 915 | 958 | 883 | 767 |  | 2,271 | 2,594 | 2,693 | 2,609 : | 10,066 | 10,167 |
| 2000 | 713 | 755 | 880 | 776 | 855 | 881 | 813 | 954 | 875 | 981 | 871 | 737 | : | 2,348 | 2,513 | 2,641 | 2,589 : | 10,111 | 10,091 |
| 2001 | 792 | 726 | 882 | 800 | 851 | 874 | 849 | 932 | 847 | 936 | 869 | 718 |  | 2,399 | 2,524 | 2,628 | 2,524 : | 10,140 | 10,075 |
| 2002 | 761 | 710 | 801 | 786 | 848 | 849 | 860 | 874 | 960 | 946 | 874 | 724 |  | 2,272 | 2,483 | 2,694 | 2,544 : | 9,973 | 9,994 |
| 2003 | 707 | 701 | 825 | 788 | 764 | 863 | 823 | 873 | 823 | 914 | 849 | 783 |  | 2,233 | 2,415 | 2,519 | 2,546 : | 9,711 | 9,713 |
| 2004 | 718 | 775 | 832 | 782 | 773 | 864 | 833 | 912 | 827 | 980 | 866 | 739 | : | 2,324 | 2,419 | 2,572 | 2,586 : | 9,861 | 9,901 |
| 2005 | 748 | 744 | 879 | 808 | 824 | 889 | 820 | 912 | 979 | 960 | 846 | 803 | : | 2,370 | 2,521 | 2,711 | 2,609 : | 10,188 | 10,212 |
| 2006 | 850 | 709 | 914 | 768 | 835 | 919 | 865 | 984 | 886 | 903 | 818 | 710 | : | 2,474 | 2,522 | 2,734 | 2,432 : | 10,339 | 10,162 |
| 2007 | 776 | 731 | 857 | 858 | 889 | 857 | 862 | 984 | 888 | 916 | 928 | 718 |  | 2,364 | 2,604 | 2,735 | 2,563 | 10,134 | 10,265 |
| 2008 | 803 | 858 | 895 | 855 | 940 | 923 | 945 | 968 | 1,023 | 1,035 | 915 | 847 |  | 2,556 | 2,718 | 2,937 | 2,797 | 10,773 | 11,007 |
| 2009 | 806 | 777 | 924 | 888 | 917 | 949 | 942 | 983 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,507 | 2,755 |  |  |  |  |

Totals may not add due to rounding.
Note: This table commenced in October 1991 when USDA began reporting monthly production data. Puerto Rico data were added beginning October 1993.
1/ Fiscal year totals priorto 1994 differ from supply and use (table ) since WASDE includes Puerto Rico.
Source: USDA, FSA, Sweetener Market Data.

| Items | 1999/00 | 2000/01 | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 / 10 \\ \text { Projection } \\ \text { Sep-09 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,000 short tons, raw value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning stocks $2 /$ | 1,639 | 2,216 | 2,180 | 1,528 | 1,670 | 1,897 | 1,332 | 1,698 | 1,799 | 1,660 | 1,307 |
| Total production 3/, 4 / | 9,050 | 8,769 | 7,900 | 8,426 | 8,649 | 7,876 | 7,399 | 8,445 | 8,152 | 7,571 | 8,025 |
| Beet sugar | 4,974 | 4,680 | 3,915 | 4,462 | 4,692 | 4,611 | 4,444 | 5,008 | 4,721 | 4,250 | 4,700 |
| Cane sugar | 4,076 | 4,089 | 3,985 | 3,964 | 3,957 | 3,265 | 2,955 | 3,438 | 3,431 | 3,321 | 3,325 |
| Florida | 1,966 | 2,057 | 1,980 | 2,129 | 2,154 | 1,693 | 1,367 | 1,719 | 1,645 | 1,569 | 1,700 |
| Louisiana | 1,683 | 1,585 | 1,580 | 1,367 | 1,377 | 1,157 | 1,190 | 1,320 | 1,446 | 1,400 | 1,300 |
| Texas | 105 | 206 | 174 | 191 | 175 | 158 | 175 | 177 | 158 | 152 | 165 |
| Hawaii | 318 | 241 | 251 | 276 | 251 | 258 | 223 | 222 | 182 | 200 | 160 |
| Puerto Rico | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total imports | 1,636 | 1,590 | 1,535 | 1,730 | 1,750 | 2,100 | 3,443 | 2,080 | 2,620 | 3,116 | 2,087 |
| Tariff-rate quota imports $5 /$ | 1,124 | 1,277 | 1,158 | 1,210 | 1,226 | 1,408 | 2,588 | 1,624 | 1,354 | 1,431 | 1,182 |
| Other Program Imports | 388 | 238 | 296 | 488 | 464 | 500 | 349 | 390 | 565 | 300 | 400 |
| Non- program imports | 124 | 76 | 81 | 32 | 60 | 192 | 506 | 66 | 701 | 1,385 | 505 |
| Mexico 6/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | 694 | 1,375 | 495 |
| Total Supply | 12,325 | 12,575 | 11,615 | 11,684 | 12,070 | 11,873 | 12,174 | 12,223 | 12,571 | 12,347 | 11,419 |
| Total exports 3/ | 124 | 141 | 137 | 142 | 288 | 259 | 203 | 422 | 203 | 130 | 200 |
| Quota-exempt for reexport | 124 | 141 | 137 | 142 | 288 | 259 | 203 | 422 | 203 | 130 | 200 |
| Other exports | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| CCC disposal, for export | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Statistical difference 7/ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous | -126 | 123 | -24 | 161 | 23 | 94 | -67 | -132 | -66 | 0 | 0 |
| CCC disposal, for domestic non-food use | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Refining loss adjustment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Statistical adjustment 8/ | -126 | 113 | -24 | 161 | 23 | 94 | -67 | -132 | -66 | 0 | 0 |
| Deliveries for domestic use | 10,111 | 10,132 | 9,974 | 9,711 | 9,862 | 10,188 | 10,340 | 10,135 | 10,773 | 10,910 | 10,375 |
| Transfer to sugar-cont. products for exports under reexport pro gram | 86 | 98 | 156 | 183 | 142 | 121 | 106 | 169 | 141 | 115 | 175 |
| Transfer to polyhydric alcohol, feed | 32 | 33 | 33 | 24 | 41 | 48 | 51 | 53 | 61 | 60 | 60 |
| Deliveries for domestic food and beverage use | 9,993 | 10,000 | 9,785 | 9,504 | 9,678 | 10,019 | 10,184 | 9,913 | 10,571 | 10,735 | 10,140 |
| Total Use | 10,090 | 10,396 | 10,087 | 10,014 | 10,172 | 10,542 | 10,476 | 10,424 | 10,911 | 11,040 | 10,575 |
| Ending stocks 3/ | 2,216 | 2,180 | 1,528 | 1,670 | 1,897 | 1,332 | 1,698 | 1,799 | 1,660 | 1,307 | 844 |
| Privately owned | 1,919 | 1,395 | 1,316 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCC | 297 | 784 | 212 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stocks-to-use ratio | 22 | 21 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 15 | 12 | 8 |
| 1/Fiscal year beginning October 1. 2/ Stocks in hands of prim ary distributors and CCC. 3/ Historical data are from FSA (formerly ASCS), Sweetener Market Data, and NASS, Sugar Market Statistics prior to 1992. 4/ Production reflects processors' projections compiled by the Farm Service Agency. 5/ Aactual arrivals under the tariff-rate quota (TRQ) with late entries, early entries, and (TRQ) overfills assigned to the fiscal year in which they actually arrived. The 2009/10 available TRQ assumes shortfall of 150,000 tons. 6/ Does not include Mexico TRQ imports. 7/ Receipts compiled by NASS and FSA Customs data. 8/ C alculated as a residual. Largely consists of invisible stocks change. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 18--Net cost of corn starch to U.S. wet-milers, Midwest markets

| Period | Com byproducts |  |  |  | Byproduct credits |  |  |  | Net cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yellow dent corn 1/ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Corn } \\ \text { oil } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Com <br> gluten feed | Corn <br> gluten <br> meal | $\begin{gathered} \text { Corn } \\ \text { oil } \end{gathered}$ | Corn <br> gluten feed | Corn <br> gluten <br> meal | Total byproduct | Corn | Corn starch | Corn sweetener |
|  | Dollars per bu | Cents per Ib | Dollars per short ton |  | ----Cents per bushel---- |  |  | Dollars per bu | Dollars per bu | --Cents per lb-- |  |
| 1991 | 2.40 | 28.36 | 101.57 | 256.07 | 43.96 | 68.56 | 33.93 | 1.46 | 0.94 | 2.97 | 2.81 |
| 1992 | 2.33 | 23.89 | 102.80 | 259.72 | 37.03 | 69.39 | 34.41 | 1.41 | 0.92 | 2.93 | 2.77 |
| 1993 | 2.27 | 21.52 | 87.99 | 296.53 | 33.35 | 59.39 | 39.29 | 1.32 | 0.95 | 3.02 | 2.85 |
| 1994 | 2.40 | 27.22 | 89.59 | 262.50 | 42.19 | 60.47 | 34.78 | 1.37 | 1.03 | 3.26 | 3.08 |
| 1995 | 2.70 | 26.67 | 88.34 | 244.02 | 41.33 | 59.63 | 32.33 | 1.33 | 1.37 | 4.34 | 4.10 |
| 1996 | 3.82 | 24.52 | 116.25 | 332.40 | 38.00 | 78.47 | 44.04 | 1.61 | 2.22 | 7.04 | 6.65 |
| 1997 | 2.67 | 24.87 | 83.99 | 345.22 | 38.55 | 56.69 | 45.74 | 1.41 | 1.26 | 4.00 | 3.78 |
| 1998 | 2.23 | 29.90 | 64.86 | 260.54 | 46.34 | 43.78 | 34.52 | 1.25 | 0.98 | 3.12 | 2.95 |
| 1999 | 1.92 | 23.59 | 58.77 | 231.88 | 36.56 | 39.67 | 30.72 | 1.07 | 0.85 | 2.68 | 2.54 |
| 2000 | 1.88 | 14.66 | 51.71 | 237.63 | 22.72 | 34.90 | 31.49 | 0.89 | 0.98 | 3.13 | 2.95 |
| 2001 | 1.90 | 15.75 | 62.46 | 253.98 | 24.41 | 42.16 | 33.65 | 1.00 | 0.90 | 2.86 | 2.70 |
| 2002 | 2.17 | 20.78 | 60.33 | 243.72 | 32.21 | 40.72 | 32.29 | 1.05 | 1.12 | 3.55 | 3.36 |
| 2003 | 2.29 | 28.65 | 72.15 | 251.36 | 44.40 | 48.70 | 33.31 | 1.26 | 1.02 | 3.25 | 3.07 |
| 2004 | 2.39 | 27.59 | 72.01 | 308.44 | 42.76 | 48.61 | 40.87 | 1.32 | 1.07 | 3.39 | 3.20 |
| 2005 | 1.90 | 28.42 | 51.33 | 288.09 | 44.04 | 34.65 | 38.17 | 1.17 | 0.73 | 2.33 | 2.20 |
| 2006 | 2.41 | 25.06 | 59.87 | 264.89 | 38.84 | 40.41 | 35.10 | 1.14 | 1.27 | 4.02 | 3.80 |
| 2007 | 3.51 | 39.23 | 87.70 | 402.30 | 60.81 | 59.19 | 53.31 | 1.73 | 1.78 | 5.64 | 5.33 |
| 2008 | 4.95 | 63.05 | 110.97 | 505.40 | 97.72 | 74.90 | 66.97 | 2.40 | 2.55 | 8.10 | 7.66 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 4.55 | 63.35 | 135.60 | 545.00 | 98.19 | 91.53 | 72.21 | 2.62 | 1.93 | 6.13 | 5.79 |
| Feb. | 4.91 | 74.89 | 128.75 | 543.13 | 116.08 | 86.91 | 71.96 | 2.75 | 2.16 | 6.86 | 6.48 |
| Mar. | 5.16 | 83.55 | 117.19 | 561.88 | 129.50 | 79.10 | 74.45 | 2.83 | 2.33 | 7.40 | 6.99 |
| 1 | 4.87 | 73.93 | 127.18 | 550.00 | 114.59 | 85.85 | 72.88 | 2.73 | 2.14 | 6.79 | 6.42 |
| Apr. | 5.59 | 87.09 | 129.10 | 547.00 | 134.99 | 87.14 | 72.48 | 2.95 | 2.64 | 8.39 | 7.93 |
| May | 5.58 | 87.29 | 114.38 | 529.00 | 135.30 | 77.21 | 70.09 | 2.83 | 2.75 | 8.74 | 8.26 |
| June | 6.55 | 82.33 | 112.00 | 524.38 | 127.61 | 75.60 | 69.48 | 2.73 | 3.82 | 12.14 | 11.47 |
| 11 | 5.91 | 85.57 | 118.49 | 533.46 | 132.63 | 79.98 | 70.68 | 2.83 | 3.07 | 9.76 | 9.22 |
| July | 5.97 | 76.64 | 125.70 | 554.50 | 118.79 | 84.85 | 73.47 | 2.77 | 3.20 | 10.16 | 9.60 |
| Aug. | 5.04 | 60.00 | 108.13 | 505.00 | 93.00 | 72.99 | 66.91 | 2.33 | 2.71 | 8.61 | 8.13 |
| Sept. | 5.00 | 48.71 | 99.30 | 495.50 | 75.50 | 67.03 | 65.65 | 2.08 | 2.92 | 9.26 | 8.75 |
| III | 5.34 | 61.78 | 111.04 | 518.33 | 95.76 | 74.95 | 68.68 | 2.39 | 2.94 | 9.34 | 8.83 |
| Oct. | 3.90 | 34.76 | 91.25 | 464.13 | 53.88 | 61.59 | 61.50 | 1.77 | 2.13 | 6.76 | 6.39 |
| Nov. | 3.61 | 31.06 | 90.63 | 406.25 | 48.14 | 61.18 | 53.83 | 1.63 | 1.98 | 6.28 | 5.94 |
| Dec. | 3.52 | 26.88 | 79.60 | 389.00 | 41.66 | 53.73 | 51.54 | 1.47 | 2.05 | 6.51 | 6.15 |
| IV | 3.68 | 30.90 | 87.16 | 419.79 | 47.90 | 58.83 | 55.62 | 1.62 | 2.05 | 6.52 | 6.16 |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 3.81 | 25.19 | 96.13 | 469.38 | 39.04 | 64.89 | 62.19 | 1.66 | 2.15 | 6.82 | 6.45 |
| Feb. | 3.46 | 29.05 | 98.88 | 539.38 | 45.03 | 66.74 | 71.47 | 1.83 | 1.63 | 5.17 | 4.88 |
| Mar. | 3.60 | 29.64 | 75.40 | 424.38 | 45.94 | 50.90 | 56.23 | 1.53 | 2.07 | 6.57 | 6.21 |
| 1 | 3.62 | 27.96 | 90.14 | 477.71 | 43.34 | 60.84 | 63.30 | 1.67 | 1.95 | 6.19 | 5.85 |
| Apr. | 3.69 | 31.31 | 66.63 | 443.13 | 48.53 | 44.98 | 58.71 | 1.52 | 2.17 | 6.88 | 6.50 |
| May | 3.98 | 37.23 | 68.25 | 564.38 | 57.71 | 46.07 | 74.78 | 1.79 | 2.19 | 6.97 | 6.58 |
| June | 3.97 | 39.57 | 78.70 | 630.00 | 61.33 | 53.12 | 83.48 | 1.98 | 1.99 | 6.32 | 5.97 |
| 11 | 3.88 | 36.04 | 71.19 | 545.84 | 55.86 | 48.06 | 72.32 | 1.76 | 2.12 | 6.72 | 6.35 |
| July | 3.22 | 36.30 | 62.63 | 532.50 | 56.27 | 42.28 | 70.56 | 1.69 | 1.53 | 4.85 | 4.59 |
| Aug. | 3.21 | 35.23 | 61.13 | 495.00 | 54.61 | 41.26 | 65.59 | 1.61 | 1.60 | 5.06 | 4.79 |

NQ = no quote.
Sources: USDA, ERS, Sugar and Sweeteners Team ;USDA, byproduct credits and net cost calculations.
Note: To calculate the net cost of corn, it is assumed that the average bushel of com wet-milled in the United States contains 31.5 pounds of recoverable starch, dry weight, as well as 1.55 pounds of corn oil (crude weight), 13.5 pounds of corn gluten feed (commercial weight), and 2.65 pounds of corn gluten meal, (commercial weight). Also, 31.5 pounds of starch, dry weight, produces about 33.33 pounds of corn sweetener (dry weight) because of the chemical gain converting starch to sweetener.

Table 19-U.S. high fructose corn syrup (HFCS) deliveries, quarterly, by fiscal and calendar year 1/

| Quarter and Year | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 2,129 | 2,165 | 2,114 | 2,122 | 2,185 | 2,128 | 2,195 | 2,087 | 2,003 | 1,946 |
| II | 2,482 | 2,370 | 2,527 | 2,469 | 2,438 | 2,408 | 2,431 | 2,363 | 2,277 | 2,183 |
| III | 2,400 | 2,433 | 2,491 | 2,408 | 2,361 | 2,392 | 2,345 | 2,266 | 2,175 |  |
| IV | 2,103 | 2,181 | 2,161 | 2,136 | 2,076 | 2,130 | 2,073 | 2,049 | 1,907 |  |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal | 9,200 | 9,072 | 9,313 | 9,160 | 9,119 | 9,004 | 9,102 | 8,789 | 8,504 |  |
| Calendar | 9,114 | 9,149 | 9,294 | 9,135 | 9,060 | 9,058 | 9,045 | 8,765 | 8,361 |  |

1/ Includes Puerto Rico. HFCS = High Fructose Corn Syrup.
Source: Estimates by USDA, ERS, Sugar and Sweetener Team.

Table 20--U.S. raw sugar tariff-tate quota (TRQ) World Trade Organizatrion (WTO) allocations and entries by month, fiscal year 2009


1/ This amount is also included in Table 60, U.S. Imports of Sugar from Mexico.
Source: United States Customs and Border Protection, Weekly Commodity Status Report
http://www.fas.usda.gov/smi arc.asp.

Table 21--U.S. imports of sugar and certain sugar-containing products from Mexico, fiscal years (FYs) 2008 and 2009 1/

|  | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb | Mar | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Entries to date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metric tons, raw value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sugar for further processing $2 /$ | 9,234 | 0 | 23,638 | 16,960 | 39,220 | 16,960 | 40,174 | 30,210 | 13,250 | 0 | 41,764 | 8,056 | 239,466 |
| Sugar not for further processing 2/ | 8,328 | 16,180 | 10,788 | 9,301 | 12,677 | 30,059 | 33,758 | 40,227 | 47,507 | 50,142 | 61,965 | 69,128 | 390,060 |
| Total sugar | 17,562 | 16,180 | 34,426 | 26,261 | 51,897 | 47,019 | 73,932 | 70,437 | 60,757 | 50,142 | 103,729 | 77,184 | 629,526 |
| FY 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imported in bulk by ocean vessel $2 /$ | 16,816 | 46,158 | 53,256 | 0 | 5,902 | 71,227 | 63,898 | 106,630 | 8,971 | 46,526 | 0 |  | 419,384 |
| Imported in containers, railcars, or trucks | 73,145 | 68,207 | 63,554 | 55,935 | 74,109 | 85,967 | 91,379 | 96,123 | 82,855 | 61,786 | 58,065 |  | 811,125 |
| Total sugar | 89,961 | 114,365 | 116,810 | 55,935 | 80,011 | 157,194 | 155,277 | 202,753 | 91,826 | 108,312 | 58,065 |  | 1,230,509 |

$1 /$ Beginning 1/1/08, no duty or quota applies to sugar from Mexico. From 10/1/07-12/31/07, Mexico had duty-free access of 2,954 metric tons allocated under the refined TRQ and 175,000 metric tons (which included WTO raw sugar allocation to Mexico) established by Presidential Proclamation 8180 issued on September $28,2007$.
$2 /$ Includes imports under Mexico's WTO TRQ allocation for raw sugar. May include entries under U.S. Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) 1701.11.10.00,
$1701.11 .50 .00,1701.91 .10 .00,1701.91 .30 .00,1701.99 .10 .90$, and 1701.99 .50 .90 . Raw value is commercial weight multiplied by a factor of 1.06 .
3 / Includes entries by ocean and over land.
Source: United States Customs and Border Protection.
http://www.fas.usda.gov/smi arc.asp.

|  | Nominal pesos per $50 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{1/}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. |  | Nov. |  | Calendar | Fiscal |
| 1994 | 90.85 | 90.85 | 90.85 | 90.85 | 90.85 | 90.85 | 90.85 | 90.85 | 90.85 | 90.85 | 90.94 | 91.70 | 90.93 | 88.62 |
| 1995 | 91.70 | 99.33 | 105.95 | 106.34 | 110.92 | 117.25 | 117.25 | 119.80 | 133.76 | 140.30 | 144.91 | 149.57 | 119.76 | 106.32 |
| 1996 | 148.43 | 152.71 | 159.88 | 160.92 | 162.21 | 166.86 | 168.24 | 171.81 | 176.29 | 172.51 | 160.87 | 155.08 | 162.98 | 158.51 |
| 1997 | 173.20 | 196.96 | 187.29 | 179.11 | 172.99 | 179.36 | 175.96 | 173.60 | 176.78 | 169.63 | 162.55 | 162.99 | 175.87 | 175.31 |
| 1998 | 178.10 | 176.01 | 155.70 | 163.12 | 180.02 | 189.52 | 186.70 | 210.43 | 214.81 | 215.07 | 223.54 | 227.44 | 193.37 | 179.13 |
| 1999 | 222.59 | 214.45 | 195.14 | 184.23 | 184.54 | 223.55 | 220.27 | 207.16 | 211.56 | 224.71 | 242.96 | 228.98 | 213.35 | 210.80 |
| 2000 | 220.61 | 207.89 | 207.75 | 201.33 | 219.23 | 216.75 | 232.14 | 232.22 | 230.60 | 224.57 | 243.21 | 263.77 | 225.01 | 222.10 |
| 2001 | 248.89 | 234.25 | 208.67 | 189.46 | 185.45 | 218.39 | 222.00 | 219.07 | 249.51 | 249.34 | 240.23 | 233.55 | 224.90 | 225.60 |
| 2002 | 245.76 | 244.46 | 243.44 | 242.14 | 240.83 | 239.15 | 244.95 | 248.15 | 253.40 | 262.31 | 266.23 | 268.39 | 249.93 | 243.78 |
| 2003 | 268.50 | 266.46 | 265.01 | 270.04 | 273.14 | 278.50 | 285.05 | 287.64 | 294.90 | 302.40 | 303.75 | 319.10 | 284.54 | 273.85 |
| 2004 | 309.70 | 296.25 | 291.25 | 298.25 | 297.25 | 302.95 | 317.85 | 326.20 | 331.00 | 329.60 | 326.05 | 329.85 | 313.02 | 308.00 |
| 2005 | 322.70 | 312.00 | 306.00 | 306.00 | 305.25 | 304.10 | 297.25 | 300.00 | 289.00 | 284.10 | 283.50 | 282.50 | 299.37 | 310.65 |
| 2006 | 280.40 | 275.60 | 273.00 | 292.50 | 334.40 | 353.69 | 333.00 | 401.40 | 440.75 | 395.85 | 386.25 | 374.35 | 345.10 | 319.57 |
| 2007 | 361.40 | 344.95 | 347.10 | 341.00 | 332.30 | 323.00 | 321.00 | 306.50 | 288.12 | 280.40 | 272.12 | 292.00 | 317.49 | 317.96 |
| 2008 | 276.20 | 260.16 | 260.97 | 273.50 | 255.12 | 248.87 | 267.20 | 261.67 | 262.60 | 264.50 | 264.25 | 280.60 | 264.64 | 267.57 |
| 2009 | 272.75 | 272.88 | 289.20 | 329.63 | 337.17 | 371.20 | 424.17 | 465.25 | 658.50 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Real 2005 pesos per 50 kg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Calendar | Fiscal |
| 1994 | 393.27 | 392.18 | 390.71 | 388.64 | 386.59 | 384.13 | 381.76 | 379.50 | 377.09 | 375.46 | 373.94 | 373.17 | 383.04 | 379.31 |
| 1995 | 352.32 | 365.45 | 369.45 | 340.41 | 340.94 | 350.10 | 343.64 | 345.42 | 378.46 | 388.73 | 390.25 | 387.95 | 362.76 | 359.06 |
| 1996 | 372.82 | 374.76 | 383.47 | 375.22 | 372.80 | 379.32 | 377.81 | 381.06 | 386.93 | 374.02 | 343.10 | 322.42 | 370.31 | 380.93 |
| 1997 | 352.10 | 394.57 | 371.64 | 352.97 | 338.57 | 348.32 | 339.19 | 331.98 | 334.91 | 318.87 | 300.56 | 298.15 | 340.15 | 350.31 |
| 1998 | 317.59 | 308.24 | 269.92 | 281.00 | 308.71 | 321.26 | 313.50 | 348.96 | 345.92 | 339.44 | 347.94 | 348.41 | 320.91 | 311.06 |
| 1999 | 333.18 | 318.68 | 288.79 | 272.26 | 271.91 | 327.10 | 320.90 | 300.58 | 305.02 | 321.41 | 345.17 | 322.81 | 310.65 | 314.52 |
| 2000 | 307.50 | 287.68 | 285.92 | 274.83 | 298.09 | 292.06 | 312.68 | 311.68 | 308.76 | 298.35 | 321.13 | 346.30 | 303.75 | 305.72 |
| 2001 | 322.92 | 303.91 | 268.55 | 243.62 | 239.01 | 281.70 | 286.98 | 282.09 | 319.18 | 318.07 | 306.40 | 298.83 | 289.27 | 292.81 |
| 2002 | 313.63 | 311.77 | 305.66 | 301.16 | 296.75 | 292.43 | 297.86 | 299.95 | 303.74 | 314.63 | 317.15 | 315.62 | 305.86 | 303.85 |
| 2003 | 311.54 | 304.78 | 302.30 | 311.62 | 316.53 | 320.01 | 326.65 | 328.12 | 334.84 | 339.68 | 338.37 | 351.56 | 323.83 | 316.98 |
| 2004 | 339.81 | 320.08 | 309.87 | 312.67 | 309.65 | 315.35 | 329.52 | 335.55 | 338.59 | 334.95 | 330.49 | 336.39 | 326.08 | 328.39 |
| 2005 | 328.00 | 314.89 | 306.89 | 305.39 | 306.31 | 306.19 | 297.55 | 299.73 | 287.26 | 281.78 | 281.05 | 278.71 | 299.48 | 312.84 |
| 2006 | 273.74 | 268.32 | 263.44 | 277.63 | 313.41 | 327.97 | 308.09 | 369.14 | 405.49 | 364.98 | 356.54 | 344.18 | 322.74 | 304.06 |
| 2007 | 332.52 | 315.25 | 314.66 | 308.14 | 302.11 | 292.45 | 288.60 | 278.26 | 259.55 | 252.30 | 243.99 | 261.82 | 287.47 | 313.10 |
| 2008 | 245.57 | 228.78 | 227.14 | 236.31 | 219.48 | 212.82 | 226.44 | 221.60 | 221.20 | 217.22 | 215.17 | 227.73 | 224.96 | 233.12 |
| 2009 | 220.63 | 218.67 | 230.38 | 265.27 | 272.68 | 299.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | U.S. cents per pound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Calendar | Fiscal |
| 1994 | 26.52 | 26.40 | 24.96 | 24.61 | 24.85 | 24.48 | 24.22 | 24.37 | 24.23 | 24.09 | 23.96 | 20.93 | 24.47 | 24.66 |
| 1995 | 14.75 | 15.87 | 14.18 | 15.49 | 16.84 | 17.07 | 17.37 | 17.44 | 19.21 | 18.87 | 17.09 | 17.65 | 16.82 | 18.10 |
| 1996 | 18.00 | 18.43 | 19.16 | 19.54 | 19.79 | 20.01 | 20.04 | 20.74 | 21.20 | 20.23 | 18.45 | 17.86 | 19.45 | 19.21 |
| 1997 | 20.07 | 22.90 | 21.36 | 20.56 | 19.86 | 20.47 | 20.29 | 20.24 | 20.61 | 19.55 | 17.83 | 18.19 | 20.16 | 20.24 |
| 1998 | 19.64 | 18.78 | 16.49 | 17.41 | 19.02 | 19.27 | 19.03 | 20.37 | 19.07 | 19.20 | 20.34 | 20.83 | 19.12 | 18.72 |
| 1999 | 19.94 | 19.44 | 18.19 | 17.72 | 17.82 | 21.31 | 21.33 | 20.00 | 20.55 | 21.29 | 23.41 | 22.04 | 20.25 | 19.72 |
| 2000 | 21.08 | 20.01 | 20.29 | 19.44 | 20.92 | 20.00 | 22.36 | 22.72 | 22.35 | 21.36 | 23.21 | 25.28 | 21.58 | 21.32 |
| 2001 | 23.11 | 21.88 | 19.72 | 18.43 | 18.39 | 21.80 | 21.97 | 21.76 | 24.02 | 24.22 | 23.62 | 23.14 | 21.84 | 21.74 |
| 2002 | 24.33 | 24.36 | 24.37 | 23.97 | 22.97 | 22.21 | 22.72 | 22.88 | 22.83 | 23.58 | 23.69 | 23.81 | 23.48 | 23.47 |
| 2003 | 22.93 | 22.09 | 22.05 | 23.14 | 24.17 | 24.06 | 24.73 | 24.20 | 24.49 | 24.54 | 24.72 | 25.73 | 23.90 | 23.58 |
| 2004 | 25.73 | 24.36 | 23.98 | 24.01 | 23.41 | 24.12 | 25.14 | 25.97 | 26.14 | 26.22 | 26.01 | 26.72 | 25.15 | 24.82 |
| 2005 | 25.99 | 25.41 | 24.89 | 24.98 | 25.23 | 25.50 | 25.27 | 25.47 | 24.31 | 23.79 | 24.10 | 24.12 | 24.92 | 25.50 |
| 2006 | 24.13 | 23.85 | 23.04 | 24.02 | 27.35 | 28.16 | 27.51 | 33.49 | 36.39 | 32.99 | 32.11 | 31.29 | 28.69 | 26.66 |
| 2007 | 29.93 | 28.46 | 28.33 | 28.17 | 27.86 | 27.05 | 26.93 | 25.18 | 23.69 | 23.51 | 22.69 | 24.42 | 26.35 | 28.50 |
| 2008 | 22.98 | 21.92 | 22.06 | 23.60 | 22.17 | 21.86 | 23.73 | 23.47 | 22.34 | 18.95 | 18.27 | 18.97 | 21.69 | 22.90 |
| 2009 | 17.82 | 16.95 | 17.91 | 22.33 | 23.19 | 25.24 | 28.80 | 32.45 | 44.56 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Source: Servicio Nacional de Informacion de Mercados SNIIM-ECONOMICA

## 53

|  | Nominal pesos per 50 kg 1/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Calendar | Fiscal |
| 1994 | 101.83 | 101.83 | 101.83 | 101.83 | 101.83 | 101.83 | 101.83 | 101.83 | 101.83 | 101.83 | 101.85 | 102.00 | 101.85 | 99.31 |
| 1995 | 102.00 | 110.46 | 117.80 | 118.19 | 122.85 | 129.30 | 129.30 | 132.15 | 154.33 | 154.75 | 159.84 | 164.98 | 132.99 | 118.50 |
| 1996 | 161.26 | 167.01 | 177.07 | 179.04 | 178.82 | 181.29 | 183.36 | 186.30 | 188.39 | 187.66 | 186.40 | 186.42 | 180.25 | 173.51 |
| 1997 | 194.96 | 216.67 | 216.01 | 215.62 | 211.40 | 211.37 | 213.08 | 211.71 | 210.68 | 206.09 | 206.63 | 204.38 | 209.88 | 205.17 |
| 1998 | 209.08 | 207.25 | 202.34 | 198.37 | 205.43 | 209.93 | 212.25 | 229.75 | 229.88 | 244.41 | 250.01 | 246.63 | 220.44 | 210.12 |
| 1999 | 250.22 | 251.28 | 241.93 | 239.00 | 233.35 | 242.83 | 251.83 | 243.62 | 239.71 | 271.33 | 267.38 | 263.02 | 249.63 | 244.57 |
| 2000 | 259.02 | 252.50 | 250.11 | 248.45 | 245.58 | 237.48 | 244.47 | 246.61 | 245.91 | 245.09 | 259.57 | 271.48 | 250.52 | 252.66 |
| 2001 | 276.98 | 274.56 | 266.54 | 256.03 | 250.26 | 256.90 | 260.85 | 261.87 | 276.33 | 279.72 | 277.48 | 274.21 | 267.64 | 263.04 |
| 2002 | 288.40 | 283.56 | 284.03 | 280.56 | 278.54 | 279.34 | 285.98 | 292.64 | 298.51 | 303.09 | 306.90 | 309.50 | 290.92 | 283.58 |
| 2003 | 310.81 | 310.73 | 308.13 | 313.20 | 315.26 | 320.36 | 334.24 | 339.84 | 363.00 | 360.00 | 365.00 | 360.00 | 333.38 | 319.59 |
| 2004 | 352.50 | 340.00 | 337.20 | 340.00 | 337.50 | 340.60 | 345.00 | 337.40 | 339.50 | 339.25 | 338.20 | 341.00 | 340.68 | 346.23 |
| 2005 | 340.00 | 339.50 | 335.60 | 339.00 | 338.80 | 335.75 | 335.75 | 333.00 | 330.75 | 330.00 | 335.60 | 335.10 | 335.74 | 337.22 |
| 2006 | 332.80 | 332.75 | 350.00 | 355.00 | 375.60 | 412.00 | 415.25 | 459.70 | 532.63 | 486.20 | 435.75 | 424.75 | 409.37 | 380.54 |
| 2007 | 412.55 | 403.50 | 400.25 | 398.80 | 389.94 | 384.16 | 383.13 | 380.84 | 366.40 | 351.73 | 331.99 | 333.16 | 378.04 | 405.52 |
| 2008 | 323.53 | 313.24 | 309.41 | 324.99 | 316.33 | 307.83 | 322.66 | 329.17 | 332.43 | 331.75 | 330.42 | 334.94 | 323.06 | 324.71 |
| 2009 | 329.50 | 329.33 | 339.07 | 357.34 | 395.00 | 429.23 | 451.67 | 484.58 | 688.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Real 2005 pesos per 50 kg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Calendar | Fiscal |
| 1994 | 440.81 | 439.58 | 437.93 | 435.61 | 433.31 | 430.55 | 427.89 | 425.36 | 422.67 | 420.84 | 418.81 | 415.09 | 429.04 | 425.05 |
| 1995 | 391.89 | 406.40 | 410.77 | 378.34 | 377.62 | 386.08 | 378.95 | 381.03 | 436.65 | 428.76 | 430.44 | 427.91 | 402.90 | 400.21 |
| 1996 | 405.04 | 409.85 | 424.70 | 417.47 | 410.97 | 412.13 | 411.76 | 413.20 | 413.48 | 406.87 | 397.55 | 387.57 | 409.22 | 417.14 |
| 1997 | 396.34 | 434.06 | 428.63 | 424.91 | 413.74 | 410.48 | 410.74 | 404.86 | 399.13 | 387.41 | 382.06 | 373.86 | 405.52 | 409.57 |
| 1998 | 372.83 | 362.94 | 350.78 | 341.72 | 352.28 | 355.86 | 356.40 | 380.99 | 370.19 | 385.75 | 389.14 | 377.81 | 366.39 | 365.61 |
| 1999 | 374.54 | 373.41 | 358.03 | 353.20 | 343.83 | 355.31 | 366.88 | 353.49 | 345.61 | 388.09 | 379.87 | 370.79 | 363.59 | 364.75 |
| 2000 | 361.04 | 349.41 | 344.22 | 339.16 | 333.92 | 319.99 | 329.29 | 330.99 | 329.26 | 325.61 | 342.73 | 356.42 | 338.50 | 348.00 |
| 2001 | 359.36 | 356.21 | 343.02 | 329.22 | 322.53 | 331.37 | 337.21 | 337.21 | 353.49 | 356.82 | 353.91 | 350.86 | 344.27 | 341.20 |
| 2002 | 368.04 | 361.64 | 356.63 | 348.94 | 343.22 | 341.57 | 347.76 | 353.73 | 357.82 | 363.54 | 365.60 | 363.96 | 356.04 | 353.41 |
| 2003 | 360.63 | 355.41 | 351.49 | 361.43 | 365.34 | 368.11 | 383.02 | 387.66 | 412.16 | 404.38 | 406.60 | 396.62 | 379.40 | 369.86 |
| 2004 | 386.77 | 367.34 | 358.76 | 356.44 | 351.58 | 354.54 | 357.66 | 347.07 | 347.29 | 344.76 | 342.81 | 347.76 | 355.23 | 369.59 |
| 2005 | 345.59 | 342.64 | 336.58 | 338.33 | 339.98 | 338.05 | 336.09 | 332.70 | 328.75 | 327.30 | 332.70 | 330.60 | 335.78 | 339.50 |
| 2006 | 324.90 | 323.96 | 337.74 | 336.96 | 352.02 | 382.04 | 384.18 | 422.75 | 489.99 | 448.28 | 402.24 | 390.51 | 382.96 | 362.10 |
| 2007 | 379.58 | 368.76 | 362.84 | 360.37 | 354.51 | 347.82 | 344.46 | 345.76 | 330.06 | 316.49 | 297.68 | 298.73 | 342.25 | 369.60 |
| 2008 | 287.66 | 275.46 | 269.30 | 280.80 | 272.14 | 263.24 | 273.44 | 278.77 | 280.02 | 272.45 | 269.05 | 271.83 | 274.51 | 282.81 |
| 2009 | 266.53 | 263.91 | 270.11 | 287.57 | 319.45 | 346.82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | U.S. cents per pound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Calendar | Fiscal |
| 1994 | 29.73 | 29.59 | 27.97 | 27.58 | 27.85 | 27.44 | 27.15 | 27.32 | 27.15 | 27.00 | 26.84 | 23.28 | 27.41 | 27.64 |
| 1995 | 16.41 | 17.65 | 15.77 | 17.21 | 18.66 | 18.82 | 19.16 | 19.23 | 22.16 | 20.81 | 18.85 | 19.47 | 18.68 | 20.18 |
| 1996 | 19.56 | 20.15 | 21.23 | 21.75 | 21.81 | 21.74 | 21.84 | 22.49 | 22.65 | 22.01 | 21.37 | 21.47 | 21.51 | 21.03 |
| 1997 | 22.59 | 25.19 | 24.63 | 24.76 | 24.26 | 24.12 | 24.57 | 24.68 | 24.56 | 23.75 | 22.66 | 22.81 | 24.05 | 23.69 |
| 1998 | 23.05 | 22.11 | 21.42 | 21.17 | 21.71 | 21.35 | 21.64 | 22.24 | 20.41 | 21.82 | 22.75 | 22.58 | 21.86 | 22.03 |
| 1999 | 22.41 | 22.78 | 22.55 | 22.99 | 22.53 | 23.15 | 24.38 | 23.52 | 23.28 | 25.71 | 25.76 | 25.31 | 23.70 | 22.90 |
| 2000 | 24.75 | 24.30 | 24.43 | 23.99 | 23.44 | 21.91 | 23.55 | 24.13 | 23.83 | 23.31 | 24.77 | 26.02 | 24.03 | 24.26 |
| 2001 | 25.72 | 25.65 | 25.19 | 24.90 | 24.82 | 25.64 | 25.81 | 26.01 | 26.60 | 27.17 | 27.29 | 27.17 | 26.00 | 25.37 |
| 2002 | 28.55 | 28.25 | 28.43 | 27.77 | 26.57 | 25.95 | 26.53 | 26.98 | 26.89 | 27.24 | 27.31 | 27.46 | 27.33 | 27.30 |
| 2003 | 26.55 | 25.76 | 25.63 | 26.83 | 27.89 | 27.67 | 28.99 | 28.59 | 30.15 | 29.21 | 29.70 | 29.03 | 28.00 | 27.51 |
| 2004 | 29.28 | 27.96 | 27.76 | 27.37 | 26.58 | 27.12 | 27.29 | 26.86 | 26.81 | 26.99 | 26.98 | 27.62 | 27.39 | 27.91 |
| 2005 | 27.39 | 27.65 | 27.29 | 27.68 | 28.00 | 28.15 | 28.54 | 28.27 | 27.82 | 27.63 | 28.53 | 28.61 | 27.96 | 27.70 |
| 2006 | 28.64 | 28.79 | 29.54 | 29.15 | 30.72 | 32.81 | 34.30 | 38.36 | 43.97 | 40.52 | 36.22 | 35.50 | 34.04 | 31.75 |
| 2007 | 34.16 | 33.29 | 32.67 | 32.95 | 32.69 | 32.17 | 32.14 | 31.28 | 30.13 | 29.49 | 27.68 | 27.87 | 31.38 | 33.64 |
| 2008 | 26.91 | 26.39 | 26.15 | 28.04 | 27.49 | 27.04 | 28.65 | 29.52 | 28.28 | 23.77 | 22.85 | 22.65 | 26.48 | 27.79 |
| 2009 | 21.53 | 20.45 | 21.00 | 24.20 | 27.17 | 29.19 | 30.66 | 33.80 | 46.56 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]Table 24--Maple syrup: U.S. production and yield

| State | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of taps (1,000 taps) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Connecticut | 57 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 72 | 73 | 75 | 71 | -5.3\% |
| Maine | 1,280 | 1,280 | 1,295 | 1,290 | 1,300 | 1,490 | 1,485 | 1,440 | 1,470 | 2.1\% |
| Massachesetts | 215 | 230 | 220 | 235 | 240 | 255 | 250 | 250 | 230 | -8.0\% |
| Michigan | 350 | 365 | 360 | 370 | 390 | 375 | 390 | 405 | 450 | 11.1\% |
| New Hampshire | 350 | 380 | 350 | 360 | 365 | 375 | 400 | 395 | 385 | -2.5\% |
| New York | 1,326 | 1,414 | 1,340 | 1,345 | 1,420 | 1,460 | 1,440 | 1,445 | 1,508 | 4.4\% |
| Ohio | 432 | 376 | 387 | 405 | 355 | 325 | 325 | 350 | 375 | 7.1\% |
| Pennsylvania | 360 | 355 | 383 | 404 | 428 | 449 | 445 | 475 | 464 | -2.3\% |
| Vermont | 2,100 | 2,180 | 2,030 | 2,100 | 2,140 | 2,770 | 2,770 | 2,870 | 3,030 | 5.6\% |
| Wisconsin | 436 | 440 | 400 | 385 | 400 | 500 | 600 | 620 | 670 | 8.1\% |
| United States | 6,906 | 7,082 | 6,827 | 6,956 | 7,101 | 8,071 | 8,178 | 8,325 | 8,653 | 3.9\% |
| New England | 4,002 | 4,132 | 3,957 | 4,047 | 4,108 | 4,962 | 4,978 | 5,030 | 5,186 | 3.1\% |
| New York + PA | 1,686 | 1,769 | 1,723 | 1,749 | 1,848 | 1,909 | 1,885 | 1,920 | 1,972 | 2.7\% |
| Midwest | 1,218 | 1,181 | 1,147 | 1,160 | 1,145 | 1,200 | 1,315 | 1,375 | 1,495 | 8.7\% |
| Yield per tap (gallons) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Connecticut | 0.175 | 0.161 | 0.161 | 0.169 | 0.162 | 0.153 | 0.151 | 0.253 | 0.183 | -27.7\% |
| Maine | 0.181 | 0.215 | 0.22 | 0.225 | 0.191 | 0.232 | 0.168 | 0.167 | 0.269 | 61.1\% |
| Massachesetts | 0.172 | 0.209 | 0.168 | 0.213 | 0.167 | 0.157 | 0.160 | 0.260 | 0.200 | -23.1\% |
| Michigan | 0.186 | 0.205 | 0.164 | 0.216 | 0.149 | 0.208 | 0.167 | 0.259 | 0.256 | -1.2\% |
| New Hampshire | 0.143 | 0.218 | 0.171 | 0.231 | 0.156 | 0.171 | 0.175 | 0.241 | 0.244 | 1.2\% |
| New York | 0.166 | 0.184 | 0.157 | 0.19 | 0.156 | 0.173 | 0.158 | 0.227 | 0.240 | 5.7\% |
| Ohio | 0.222 | 0.199 | 0.132 | 0.193 | 0.194 | 0.218 | 0.194 | 0.286 | 0.240 | -16.1\% |
| Pennsylvania | 0.192 | 0.169 | 0.136 | 0.149 | 0.143 | 0.147 | 0.124 | 0.211 | 0.198 | -6.2\% |
| Vermont | 0.138 | 0.234 | 0.217 | 0.239 | 0.201 | 0.235 | 0.231 | 0.247 | 0.304 | 23.1\% |
| Wisconsin | 0.156 | 0.180 | 0.181 | 0.238 | 0.111 | 0.200 | 0.158 | 0.242 | 0.299 | 23.6\% |
| United States | 0.165 | 0.208 | 0.185 | 0.217 | 0.175 | 0.208 | 0.185 | 0.230 | 0.269 | 17.0\% |
| New England | 0.155 | 0.224 | 0.215 | 0.243 | 0.215 | 0.224 | 0.203 | 0.224 | 0.283 | 26.1\% |
| New York + PA | 0.171 | 0.181 | 0.152 | 0.180 | 0.153 | 0.167 | 0.150 | 0.223 | 0.230 | 3.3\% |
| Midwest | 0.188 | 0.194 | 0.162 | 0.222 | 0.149 | 0.208 | 0.170 | 0.258 | 0.271 | 4.9\% |
| Production (1,000 gallons): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Connecticut | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 19 | 13 | -31.6\% |
| Maine | 232 | 275 | 285 | 290 | 265 | 345 | 250 | 240 | 395 | 64.6\% |
| Massachesetts | 37 | 48 | 37 | 50 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 65 | 46 | -29.2\% |
| Michigan | 65 | 75 | 59 | 80 | 58 | 78 | 65 | 105 | 115 | 9.5\% |
| New Hampshire | 50 | 83 | 60 | 83 | 57 | 64 | 70 | 95 | 94 | -1.1\% |
| New York | 220 | 260 | 210 | 255 | 222 | 253 | 228 | 328 | 362 | 10.4\% |
| Ohio | 96 | 75 | 51 | 78 | 63 | 71 | 63 | 100 | 90 | -10.0\% |
| Pennsylvania | 69 | 60 | 52 | 60 | 61 | 66 | 55 | 100 | 92 | -8.0\% |
| Vermont | 290 | 510 | 460 | 550 | 510 | 650 | 640 | 710 | 920 | 29.6\% |
| Wisconsin | 68 | 79 | 76 | 100 | 50 | 100 | 95 | 150 | 200 | 33.3\% |
| United States 1/ | 1,137 | 1,475 | 1,260 | 1,507 | 1,242 | 1,678 | 1,517 | 1,912 | 2,327 | 21.7\% |
| New England | 619 | 926 | 852 | 984 | 883 | 1,110 | 1,011 | 1,129 | 1,468 | 30.0\% |
| New York + PA | 289 | 320 | 262 | 315 | 283 | 319 | 283 | 428 | 454 | 6.1\% |
| Midwest | 229 | 229 | 186 | 258 | 171 | 249 | 223 | 355 | 405 | 14.1\% |

1/ Includes 12,000 gallons from Minnesota in 1992.
Source: USDA, NASS, Crop Production .


[^0]:    4/ Aggregate sweetener consumption has not varied much since FY 2000. Figure 7 shows sweetener deliveries (i.e., the sum of refined sugar, HFCS, and sugar in product imports) from FY 1996 through projected FY 2009. Equation on chart shows sweetener deliveries as a function of trend. The result, however, shows insignificant trend coefficientnot statistically different from zero.

[^1]:    1/ D.F. - Central de Abasto de Iztapolapa, D.F

[^2]:    D.F.- Central de Abasto de Iztapolapa, D.F.

    Source: Servicio Nacional de Informacion de Mercados SNIIM-ECONOMICA.

