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Analysts in U.S. wheat production, milling, baking, and marketing industries utilize the benchmark USDA all wheat season-average price (SAP), as reported in the World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimates (WASDE) to inform their own forecasts. A futures-based forecasting model linked to hard red winter (HRW) futures prices provides important input into the development of the monthly WASDE all wheat SAP projection, however, in recent years price relationships among the major classes of wheat have changed which suggests the need for additional wheat futures prices be included in the model. This report presents an alternative, “aggregate” futures-based forecasting model which utilizes the three available wheat futures contract prices: HRW, soft red winter (SRW), and hard red spring (HRS).
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