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The Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) is the third largest food assistance program in the United States. Because WIC participants receive WIC foods free of charge, they lack an explicit incentive to minimize food costs by shopping at low-cost WIC-authorized vendors or selecting less expensive products, brands, or packages at a given food retailer. Thus, each WIC State agency faces the challenge of controlling program costs, while simultaneously maintaining participant satisfaction by providing options to participants when shopping for WIC foods. WIC State agencies seek to strike this balance in a multitude of ways. One of the most common cost-containment tools is to require participants to purchase the least expensive brand (LEB) of a WIC-authorized product in certain food categories. Little is presently known about the cost implications associated with WIC participants choosing expensive brands, products, and package sizes.
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