ERS Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title: Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB)	The Prevalence of the Natural Claim on Food Product Packaging EB			
(ERR, EID, ED)		[X]	Influential Scientific	Information
Agency:	Economic Research Serv USDA		Highly Influential Sc	ientific Assessment
Agency Contact:	Kelly Maguire, kelly.b.maguire@usda.gov			
Subject of Review:	Food suppliers make a wide variety of claims about their production processes on food packaging, some of which are regulated and some of which are not. For example, the organic claim is regulated by USDA, with product standards, auditing of production processes by government-approved certifiers, and enforcement. USDA also authenticates claims about production methods, like No antibiotics ever, auditing suppliers' production processes on a fee for-service basis. In contrast, regulatory agencies make relatively modest requirements for use of the natural claim. Numerous studies of consumers' food choices have concluded that natural claims are often confused with verified claims, including those that signal healthier food choices and environmental stewardship. This paper offers quantitative estimates of the frequency with which food suppliers make the natural claim on food packaging. Estimates are based on scanner data and comprehensive label data. We calculated expenditure shares, unit sales shares, and Universal Product Code shares for products making the natural claim and for those that do not.			
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.			
Type of Review:	[] F	anel Review	[X]	Individual Reviewers
	[]	Alternative Pr	ocess (Briefly Explain):
Timing of Review (Es	t.): Start: 11/14/2	2022	Co	ompleted: 1/19/2023
Number of Reviewers:	[] 3 or fewer	[X]	4 to 10 []	More than 10
Primary Disciplines/T	ypes of Expertise Needed	for Review:	Economists	
Reviewers selected by	: [X] Agency		[] Design	nated Outside Organization
Opportunities for Publ If yes, briefly sta How: When:	ic Comment? te how and when these op	[] portunities w		No
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments?			Yes [X]	No
Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel? [] Yes [X] No				No