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Subject of Review: Conservation Compliance ties eligibility for most Federal farm program benefits to soil and wetland conservation. Farmers who participate must apply an approved soil conservation system on highly erodible cropland (Highly Erodible Land Conservation (HELC)) and refrain from draining wetlands (Wetland Conservation (WC)) or risk becoming ineligible for program benefits. This research examines the difference in soil erosion reduction between land that was and was not subject to HELC, whether incentives to comply with conservation requirements changed between the 2008 and 2014 Agricultural Act, and the importance of crop insurance premium subsidies as compliance incentives.
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