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This report provides an overview of the U.S.-Mexico sweetener market and explains how the agreements suspending the U.S. antidumping and countervailing duty investigations on sugar imports from Mexico are likely to reshape this market’s outlook. It examines the circumstances leading up to the investigations, including how the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) liberalized bilateral trade in sugar and high fructose corn syrup (HFCS) but allowed each country to continue determining its own domestic sugar policies. Increased sugarcane area in Mexico and higher than average yields in both countries led to the abundant crops of 2013-14, which in turn placed downward pressure on prices in the integrated U.S.-Mexico market. By altering the supply of Mexican sugar in the U.S. and Mexican markets, the suspension agreements are likely to affect U.S. and Mexican demand for HFCS, while the development of an ethanol fuel industry in Mexico might temper the effects of the agreements.
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