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Subject of Review:
Demand for convenience foods has grown in the United States, which has implications for dietary quality and health, but little is known about the drivers behind the growth in demand for such foods. Could Americans be purchasing more processed foods because of declining market price of the most convenient foods compared to their less processed counterparts? Or could it be that the most advertised foods are those that are the most convenient? Or lastly, could American households have little time for meal preparation because of labor market participation? The authors of this report construct a data set that contains variables that capture variation in consumer prices, household food expenditures, advertising expenditures, and household characteristics between 1999 and 2010 for six types of foods by convenience type (basic ingredients, complex ingredients, ready-to-cook foods, ready-to-eat foods, fast food, and sit-down restaurant meals and snacks). These data can then be used to model demand for food by convenience type using the linear almost ideal demand system.
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