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Subject of Review: Between 2006 and 2009, household expenditure on food away from home (FAFH) fell 12.9 percent. Given that consumption of FAFH has been found to increase total caloric intake and reduce diet quality among adults and children, a shift away from FAFH may have positive impacts on diet and diet-related health outcomes. Using data from the 2005-10 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, this report compares a number of measures of food intake and diet quality over a pre-recession period (2005-06), a “recession” period (2007-08) and a “post-recession” period (2009-10) for adults, age 20 and older and focuses on changes in the number of FAFH meals and snacks. Changes in the number of family meals as well as changes in diet quality are also analyzed using regression analysis.
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