Peer Review Plan

Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB) Agency: Economic Research Service [] Highly Influential Scientific Information Agency Contact: Kelly Maguire, kelly,b.maguire@usda.gov Subject of Review: This report provides evidence on the impacts of Free Trade Agreements (FTAs) on developing countries, focusing on FTAs between the U.S. and its partners which are considered to be lower- and middle-income countries (noted as developing here and by the United Nations). To do so, we provide background information on tarifis, both those available to all members of the WTO, and those negotiated in FTAs, to highlight that FTA partners face lower tariffs than all other countries. For those countries with an FTA with both the U.S. and the EU, we provide information on the EU tariffs for comparison. To illustrate some of the benefits from FTAs, we provide changes in agricultural trade (both imports and exports), using 5 years before and 5 years after the trade agreement. Rather than comparing the 5-year import/export averages from before the trade agreement to after, we compare the average year-over-year changes from the 5 years before the trade agreement to the 5 years after. This allows us to mitigate any distortionary effects from large trade volume changes in a single year. Purpose of Review: The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience. Type of Review: [] Panel Review [X] Individual Reviewers [] Alternative Process (Briefly Explain): Timing of Review (Est.): Start: 1/24/2022	Preliminary Title:	Do Free Trade Agreements Bo Agreements	enefit D	eveloping Co	ountries? A	n Examin	ation of U.S.
Seconomic Research Service Highly Influential Scientific Information	• • •	EIB					
Agency Contact: Kelly Maguire, kelly, b.maguire@usda.gov This report provides evidence on the impacts of Free Trade Agreements (FTAs) on developing countries, focusing on FTAs between the U.S. and its partners which are considered to be lower- and middle-income countries (noted as developing here and by the United Nations). To do so, we provide background information on tariffs, both those available to all members of the WTO, and those negotiated in FTAs, to highlight that FTA partners face lower tariffs than all other countries. For those countries with an FTA with both the U.S. and the EU, we provide information on the EU tariffs for comparison. To illustrate some of the benefits from FTAs, we provide changes in agricultural trade (both imports and exports), using 5 years before and 5 years after the trade agreement. Rather than comparing the 5-year import/export averages from before the trade agreement to after, we compare the average year-over-year changes from the 5 years before the trade agreement to the 5 years after. This allows us to mitigate any distortionary effects from large trade volume changes in a single year. Purpose of Review: The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience. Type of Review: [] Panel Review [] Panel Review [X] Individual Reviewers [] Alternative Process (Briefly Explain): Timing of Review (Est.): Start: 1/24/2022 Completed: 04/19/2022 Number of Reviewers: [] Agency [] Designated Outside Organization Opportunities for Public Comment? [] Yes [X] No [] Yes [X] No [] Yes [X] No [] Yes [X] No [] Per Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? [] Yes [] Yes [X] No	(EKK, EID, ED)		[X]	Influential S	Scientific I	nformation	1
Subject of Review: This report provides evidence on the impacts of Free Trade Agreements (FTAs) on developing countries, focusing on FTAs between the U.S. and its partners which are considered to be lower- and middle-income countries (noted as developing here and by the United Nations). To do so, we provide background information on tariffs, both those available to all members of the WTO, and those negotiated in FTAs, to highlight that FTA partners face lower tariffs than all other countries. For those countries with an FTA with both the U.S. and the EU, we provide information on the EU tariffs for comparison. To illustrate some of the benefits from FTAs, we provide changes in agricultural trade (both imports and exports), using 5 years before and 5 years after the trade agreement. Rather than comparing the 5-year import/export averages from before the trade agreement to after, we compare the average year-over-year changes from the 5 years before the 5-year import export averages from before the trade agreement to after, we compare the average year-over-year changes from the 5 years before the 5-year import export averages from before the trade agreement to after, we compare the average year-over-year changes from the 5 years before the 5-year import export averages from before the trade agreement to after, we compare the average year-over-year changes from the 5 years before the 5-year import export averages from before the trade agreement to after, we compare the average year-over-year changes from the 5 years after. This allows us to mitigate any distortionary effects from large trade volume changes in a single year. Purpose of Review: The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience. Type of Review (Est.): Start: 1/24/2022	Agency:		[]	Highly Infl	uential Scie	entific Ass	essment
developing countries, focusing on FTAs between the U.S. and its partners which are considered to be lower- and middle-income countries (noted as developing here and by the United Nations). To do so, we provide background information on tariffs, both those available to all members of the WTO, and those negotiated in FTAs, to highlight that FTA partners face lower tariffs than all other countries. For those countries with an FTA with both the U.S. and the EU, we provide information on the EU tariffs for comparison. To illustrate some of the benefits from FTAs, we provide changes in agricultural trade (both imports and exports), using 5 years before and 5 years after the trade agreement. Rather than comparing the 5-year import/export averages from before the trade agreement to after, we compare the average year-over-year changes from the 5 years before the trade agreement to the 5 years after. This allows us to mitigate any distortionary effects from large trade volume changes in a single year. Purpose of Review: The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience. Type of Review: [] Panel Review [] Alternative Process (Briefly Explain): Timing of Review (Est.): Start: 1/24/2022 Completed: 04/19/2022 Number of Reviewers: [] 3 or [X] 4 to 10 [] More than 10 fewer Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise Needed for Review: Economists Reviewers selected by: [] Yes [X] No If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided: How: When: Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? [] Yes [X] No	Agency Contact:	Kelly Maguire, kelly.b.maguire@usda.gov					
transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience. Type of Review: [] Panel Review [X] Individual Reviewers [] Alternative Process (Briefly Explain): Timing of Review (Est.): Start: 1/24/2022 Completed: 04/19/2022 Number of Reviewers: [] 3 or [X] 4 to 10 [] More than 10 fewer Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise Needed for Review: Economists Reviewers selected by: [X] Agency [] Designated Outside Organization Opportunities for Public Comment? [] Yes [X] No If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided: How: When: Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? [] Yes [X] No	Subject of Review:	developing countries, focusing on FTAs between the U.S. and its partners which are considered to be lower- and middle-income countries (noted as developing here and by the United Nations). To do so, we provide background information on tariffs, both those available to all members of the WTO, and those negotiated in FTAs, to highlight that FTA partners face lower tariffs than all other countries. For those countries with an FTA with both the U.S. and the EU, we provide information on the EU tariffs for comparison. To illustrate some of the benefits from FTAs, we provide changes in agricultural trade (both imports and exports), using 5 years before and 5 years after the trade agreement. Rather than comparing the 5-year import/export averages from before the trade agreement to after, we compare the average year-over-year changes from the 5 years before the trade agreement to the 5 years after. This allows us to mitigate any distortionary effects from large trade volume changes					
[] Alternative Process (Briefly Explain): Timing of Review (Est.): Start: 1/24/2022 Completed: 04/19/2022 Number of Reviewers: [] 3 or [X] 4 to 10 [] More than 10 Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise Needed for Review: Economists Reviewers selected by: [X] Agency [] Designated Outside Organization Opportunities for Public Comment? [] Yes [X] No If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided: How: When: Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? [] Yes [X] No	Purpose of Review:	transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective					
Timing of Review (Est.): Start: 1/24/2022 Completed: 04/19/2022 Number of Reviewers: [] 3 or [X] 4 to 10 [] More than 10 Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise Needed for Review: Economists Reviewers selected by: [X] Agency [] Designated Outside Organization Opportunities for Public Comment? [] Yes [X] No If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided: How: When: Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? [] Yes [X] No	Type of Review:	[] Panel	Review		[X]	Individua	ıl Reviewers
Number of Reviewers: [] 3 or [X] 4 to 10 [] More than 10 fewer Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise Needed for Review: Economists Reviewers selected by: [X] Agency [] Designated Outside Organization Opportunities for Public Comment? [] Yes [X] No If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided: How: When: Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? [] Yes [X] No		[] Altern	iative Pr	rocess (Briefl	y Explain):		
fewer Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise Needed for Review: Economists Reviewers selected by: [X] Agency [] Designated Outside Organization Opportunities for Public Comment? [] Yes [X] No If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided: How: When: Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? [] Yes [X] No	Timing of Review (Ea	st.): Start: 1/24/2022			Cor	npleted:	04/19/2022
Reviewers selected by: [X] Agency [] Designated Outside Organization Opportunities for Public Comment? [] Yes [X] No If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided: How: When: Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? [] Yes [X] No	Number of Reviewers		[X]	4 to 10	[]	More tha	n 10
Opportunities for Public Comment? [] Yes [X] No If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided: How: When: Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? [] Yes [X] No	Primary Disciplines/I	Types of Expertise Needed for R	eview:	Economist	ts		
If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided: How: When: Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? [] Yes [X] No	Reviewers selected by	y: [X] Agency		[]			le
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? [] Yes [X] No	If yes, briefly st How:					No	
Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel? [] Yes [X] No		ded with Public Comments?	[]	Yes	[X]	No	
	Public Nominations F	Requested for Review Panel?	[]	Yes	[X]	No	