ERS Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title:	Exploring USDA Rural Development Programs							
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB)	EB							
Agency:	[X]Influential Scientific InformationEconomic Research Service[]Highly Influential Scientific AssessmentUSDA[]							
Agency Contact:	Jeffrey Hopkins, jeffrey.w.hopkins@usda.gov							
Subject of Review:	This report examines federal investment for rural America administered by the USDA Rural Development (RD) Mission Area funding obligations, including those programs authorized by the Farm Bill. The report provides an overview of USDA RD programs, highlighting their objectives, funding mechanisms, regional distribution, and association with economic outcomes. The funding structure of RD programs relies heavily on guaranteed and direct loans, with grants playing a smaller role. RD funding trends between 2000 and 2024 show major fluctuations during the Great Recession and COVID-19 pandemic. The funding obligations peaked during the Great Recession and COVID-19 and declined in the recovery periods. Analysis of funding trends also revealed shifts in RD investment priorities. The Single-Family Housing program consistently received the largest share, followed by electric, water, and business development programs. Overall program participation varied across regions, and regions differed in focus for specific RD programs. Nonmetro farming-dependent counties had the highest RD program participation, followed by mining-dependent and manufacturing- dependent counties. RD funding was positively correlated with county income growth, with higher investment associated with stronger growth. Single-Family Housing investment was also positively associated with homeownership in rural areas.							
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.							
Type of Review:	[] Panel Review [X] Individual Reviewers							
[] Alternative Process (Briefly Explain):								
Timing of Review (E	.): Start: 4/24/2025 Completed:							
Number of Reviewer	[] 3 or [X] 4 to 10 [] More than 10 fewer							
Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise Needed for Review: Economists								

Reviewers selected by:	[X] Agency [[]] Designated Outside Organization		
Opportunities for Public Con If yes, briefly state how	mment? w and when these oppor	[] Yes		[X] d:	No	
How:			•			
When:						
Peer Reviewers Provided wi	th Public Comments?	[] Yes	5	[X]	No	
Public Nominations Reques	[] Yes	3	[X]	No		