Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title:	The WIC Program: Background, Trends, and Economic Issues, 2015 Edition			
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB, SOR,)	ERR			
		[X]	Influential Scientific Information	
Agency:	Economic Research Service USDA	[]	Highly Influential Scientific Assess	sment
Agency Contact:	Ephraim Leibtag; eleibtag@ers.usda.gov			
Subject of Review:	The Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) provides supplemental food, nutrition education, and referrals to health care and other social services to low income, nutritionally at risk women, infants, and children up to 5 years of age. On average, over half of all infants born in the United States, and over a quarter of all pregnant and postpartum women and children less than 5 years of age participate in the program. The Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010 which authorized funds for WIC, is set to expire on September 30, 2015. The reauthorization process provides an important opportunity to re-examine the operation and effectiveness of the program and to consider improvements to the program. In anticipation of the discussions surrounding the reauthorization process, this report provides a better understanding of how WIC works, examines program trends, and informs public debate on some of the major economic issues facing the program, including the impact of economic conditions on participation, the direct and indirect effects of WIC, equity-related concerns, and various cost management issues.			
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.			
Type of Review:	[] Panel l	Review	[X] Individual I	Reviewers
	[] Alterna	ative Pr	ocess (Briefly Explain):	
Timing of Review (Es	st.): Start: 06/25/14	End:	09/19/14 Completed:	09/19/14
Number of Reviewers	s: [] 3 or fewer	[X]	4 to 10 [] More than 3	10
Primary Disciplines/T	Types of Expertise Needed for Re	eview:	Economists	
Reviewers selected by: [X] Agency [] Designated Outside Organization				
		Orgai	nization's Name:	
Opportunities for Pub If yes, briefly sta How: When:	olic Comment? ate how and when these opportur	[]	Yes [X] No ill be provided:	
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments?			Yes [X] No	
Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?			Yes [X] No	

