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### Subject of Review:
The 2011 Food Safety Modernization Act (FSMA) mandated a rule on new standards for produce safety entitled “Standards for the Growing, Harvesting, Packing and Holding of Produce for Human Consumption”—often called the “Produce Rule” (PR)—as the first on-farm FDA regulation for U.S. produce growers. Regulators and organizations that advise growers need to understand the challenges facing growers to ensure successful implementation of the Produce Rule. The Economic Research Service (ERS) interviewed about 50 produce growers, food safety supervisors, officials from State departments of agriculture, agricultural extension personnel, and trade organization representatives between 2015 and 2017 to explore the complex reasons why produce growers chose to use certain food safety practices. All growers embrace the importance of growing safe food to protect consumers and their businesses. However, interviews reveal that food safety practices followed by growers vary widely.
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