ERS Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title: Type of Report	The role of carbon payments in anaerobic digester profitability on U.S. swine farms ERR				
(ERR, EIB, EB)		[X]	Influential Scientific Information		
Agency:	Economic Research Service USDA	[]	Highly Influential Scientific Assessment		
Agency Contact:	Kelly Maguire, kelly.b.maguire@usda.gov				
Subject of Review:	The United States produces less biogas from animal manure than Europe and China. Using anaerobic digesters (AD) to produce biogas is a strategy to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and contribute to renewable energy production, either in the form of electricity or renewable natural gas (RNG). Current rates of return to investment and lower, uncertain energy prices are insufficient to trigger large scale adoption of ADs. However, payments to livestock producers to reduce GHG emissions could increase the number of ADs. Opportunities for payments to producers are increasing given interest in GHG reductions and the naissance of agricultural carbon markets. In this report, we quantify the financial returns from constructing ADs on swine farms given carbon payments are made under a variety of situations related to, (i) Farm characteristics: Swine inventory, current manure management system, type of swine (i.e., market versus breeding), and location affect the potential GHG reduction and biogas production; (ii) Biogas use: State-specific energy prices and biogas end-use (e.g., RNG, electricity) 16 determine the profitability of an AD in addition to carbon payments.				
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.				
Type of Review:	[] Panel R	eview	[X] Individual Reviewers		
[] Alternative Process (Briefly Explain):					
Timing of Review (E	st.): Start: 3/22/2024		Completed:		
Number of Reviewers	s: [X] 3 or fewer	[]	4 to 10 [] More than 10		
Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise Needed for Review: Economists					
Reviewers selected by	y: [X] Agency		[] Designated Outside Organization		
Opportunities for Pub	blic Comment?	[]	Yes [X] No		

If yes, briefly state how and when these opport	unities will be provided	1:
How:		
When:		
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments?	[] Yes	[X] No
Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?	[] Yes	[X] No