ERS Peer Review Plan

Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB)	EIB			
(LKK, LID, LD)		[X] Influential S	Scientific Information	
Agency:	Economic Research Service USDA		uential Scientific Assessment	
Agency Contact:	Kelly Maguire, kelly.b.maguire@usda.gov			
Subject of Review:	In this report the authors investigate the trends in job quality since 2000 for different subpopulations of workers, including workers at different places in the distribution of wages and non-wage benefits, workers in metro vs. nonmetro areas, and workers of different race, ethnicity, and sex. The authors use two measures of job quality: One measure is based on whether workers earned more than the median real wage in year 2000 in their census division each year, whether they received employer-sponsored healthcare insurance, and whether they received a retirement benefit from their employer. The second measure is based on the real total value of compensation provided to workers, accounting for the value of wages as well as non-wage healthcare and retirement benefits. This study addresses a lack of information in the job quality literature concerning trends in the broader components of job quality beyond wages, and how those trends vary across various subpopulations.			
Purpose of Review:		ethods, objective inter	ality of the economic analysis, pretation of results, and effective	
Type of Review:	[] Pane	l Review	[X] Individual Reviewers	
	[] Alter	native Process (Briefl	y Explain):	
Timing of Review (Es	t.): Start: 5/02/2023	}	Completed: 3/25/2024	
Number of Reviewers	: [] 3 or fewer	[X] 4 to 10	[] More than 10	
Primary Disciplines/T	ypes of Expertise Needed for I	Review: Economist	ts	
Reviewers selected by	: [X] Agency	[]	Designated Outside Organization	
How:	ic Comment? te how and when these opport	[] Yes unities will be provide	[X] No ed:	
When: Peer Reviewers Providence	led with Public Comments?	[] Yes	[X] No	

Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?	[] Yes	[X] No